EDM-review meeting BNL, 7 & 8 December 2009

Concluding remarks on the R&D for a Magic Proton Ring for 10⁻²⁹e⋅cm. Yannis K. Semertzidis, BNL

•The bottom line

•Funding request

Possible action



Need support to develop (fully loaded costs) Total: ~\$4M

• SCT COSY-INF.:\$1M, UAL+SPINK: \$0.5M

• BPMs \$0.45M + hardware: ~\$1M (likely)

• Polarimeter \$1M

• E-field gradient: \$0.6M

Possible Immediate Action Plan

- SCT COSY-INFINITY: \$1M. Need a post doc and a graduate student. Collaboration with COSY/Juelich? UAL+SPINK: \$0.5M C-AD based, part of RHIC spin effort?
- BPMs: \$0.45M + hardware (need to establish team with experts including a team-leader)
- Polarimeter: \$1M (IUCF based effort?)
- E-field: \$0.6M. BNL Physics dept. based effort.
- \$0.5M for would be needed to keep the momentum in FY10

From Frank Rathmann's talk at the collaboration meeting (fully backed by H. Stroeher)

Conclusion

- 1. In the long-term perspective, more than one EDM machine is required.
- Jülich would like to play a larger/major role in the search for EDMs:
 - provide more support with R&D
 - On what?
- Start discussion with BNL and Jülich management the option to have one of the EDM rings (p, d, ³He) set up in Jülich.

Establish an agreement with FNAL: They can help with E-field & we'll help with muon g-2

3 m



- Use testing chambers with New Plates
 - High Pressure Water Rinsing

BPM Prior work (ATF of KEK/Japan)





FIG. 6. (Color) BPM support system installed in the beam line. Two blocks were rigidly mounted in the system. The three cavities named 1, 2, and 3 were used in this test. Distances between cavities were 164 and 76 mm. They determine the geometrical factor that appears in the resolution analysis to be 0.799.

BPM block



FIG. 17. (Color) Resolution measurement data. The top figure shows a scatter plot of the prediction and measurement. The bottom figure is the distribution of the residual of the prediction.

PRST , Accel & Beams, 11, 062801 (2008). Achieved res. ~8nm per ~10¹⁰ electrons/bunch

Not exactly the same application... NSLS II work (@ BNL) may be more applicable

More work preparing for DOE reviews:

- Source-beam preparation, Beamline, Injection (C-AD)
- Bunch capture with RF (develop RF) (C-AD)
- Vertical to horizontal spin precession (RF-solenoid) (COSY)
- Detailed studies of IBS, beam-beam interactions, optimize phase space (C-AD)
- Slow extraction onto an internal target for polarization monitoring (stripline kickers) (COSY)
- Use feedback to RF from polarimeter to keep spin longitudinal (IUCF/C-AD)
- Costing of experiment (plus building) (C-AD)

Technically driven Milestones

07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

- ✓ Spring 2008, Proposal to the BNL PAC
- 2010-2013 R&D phase; ring design
- Fall 2010: E vs. gap studies; simulation and design of polarimeter; 1st phase of SCT studies; design of BPM cavity
- Fall 2011: Polarimeter construction; SCT including placement errors & fringe fields; BPM cavity construction and electronics development, test in lab.
- Spring 2012: Finish first full scale E-field module test
- Fall 2012: Finish commissioning and calibration of polarimeter detector at COSY; Full scale tracking simulation package for EDM ring in place; Test cavity BPMs at a collision point using stored beams

Technically driven pEDM Timeline

07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

- ✓ Spring 2008, Proposal to the BNL PAC
- 2008-2012 R&D phase; ring design
- Fall 2009 Conceptual Technical Review at BNL
- Fall 2012, Finish R&D studies:
 a) Develop BPMs, 10 nm, 1 Hz BW resolution
 - b) spin/beam dynamics related systematic errors.
 - c) Polarimeter detector development and prepare for testing
 - d) Finalize E-field strength to use
 - e) Establish Spin Coherence Time, study systematic errors, optimize lattice
- FY 2013, start ring construction
- FY 2015, pEDM engineering run starts
- FY 2016, pEDM physics run starts

Technically driven pEDM Timeline



- June 2010 CD-0
- June 2011 CD-1
- Fall 2012 CD-2/3
- Fall 2015 CD-4

- Start of 2012, finish pEDM detailed ring design
- FY 2013, start ring construction
- FY 2015, pEDM engineering run starts
- FY 2016, pEDM physics run starts

Summary: Total R&D \$4M, 3 years

- We need a very strong endorsement to move forward.
- \$0.5M/FY10 not to lose a year
- Need to establish a Beam Position Monitoring team and to support the Spin & Beam Dynamics team. Sufficiently support the E-field and Polarimeter teams.
- Facilitate collaboration with experts around the world (NSLS II, ILC-based BPM work like ATF-KEK, etc.).
- Help with travel expenses until funding materializes to collaborating institutions
- Collaborating with COSY/Juelich and FNAL would be mutually beneficial.





A possible solution for simultaneous CW and CCW beams (Yuri Orlov)





its polarization as a function of time

SCT team, F. Lin et al.



Two sets of sextupoles are next to focusing and defocusing quads. Both horizontal and vertical motions are included.



Proton Statistical Error (230MeV):

$$\sigma_{d_p} \approx \frac{3\hbar}{E_R A P \sqrt{N_c f T_{Tot} \tau_p}}$$

- : 10³s Polarization Lifetime (Spin Coherence Time)
- τ_p : 10°s A : 0.6 Left/right asymmetry observed by the polarimeter
- **Beam polarization** P:0.8
- N_c : 2×10¹⁰p/cycle Total number of stored particles per cycle
- T_{Tot} : 10⁷s Total running time per year
- f : 0.5% Useful event rate fraction (efficiency for EDM)
- E_R : 17 MV/m Radial electric field strength (65% azim. cov.)

$$\sigma_{d_p} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-29} \,\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{cm/year}$$