$W^{\pm}$ Production at PHENIX

Nerangika Bandara
University of Massachusetts Amherst
for PHENIX Collaboration

2014 RHIC & **AGS** Annual **Users' Meeting**

Outline

- Motivation
- Mid-rapidity $W \rightarrow e$ Analysis
- Forward/backward rapidity $W \rightarrow \mu$ Analysis
- Summary

Motivation

- Flavor-separated quark and anti-quark polarized PDF measurement

de Florian et al., PRL 101, 072001 (2008)



- Polarized SIDIS measurements (SMC, HERMES, COMPASS) sensitive to flavor separated quark anti-quark spin contributions
  - limited by large uncertainties of fragmentation functions
- Current estimates => $\bar{u}(x) \neq \bar{d}(x)$
  - $\Delta\bar{u}(x) \neq \Delta\bar{d}(x)$? (Pauli-blocking)

At RHIC, (anti)quark polarizations measured using maximal parity violation in W production

- no fragmentation involved
- higher scale Q^2 set by W mass
- extract $\Delta\bar{u}(x)$ and $\Delta\bar{d}(x)$

$$W^{\pm} \rightarrow l^{\pm} + \nu$$

W Production in Polarized $p + p$



Bunce et al., Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 50:525 (2000)

- W couples to only left-handed quarks and right-handed anti-quarks
- Longitudinal single spin asymmetry

$$A_L^{W^+} = -\frac{\Delta u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) - \Delta\bar{d}(x_1)u(x_2)}{u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) + \bar{d}(x_1)u(x_2)}$$

(superposition of different W production criteria)

Flipping the spin orientation of one of the colliding protons and averaging over the other:

$$A_L = \frac{1}{P} \times \frac{N^+(e) - N^-(e)}{N^+(e) + N^-(e)}$$

where,

- N is electron yield normalized by luminosity
- P is luminosity weighted polarization

Sensitivity to Quark Polarizations

$\langle x_1 \rangle >> \langle x_2 \rangle : \quad A_L^{W^+} \approx \frac{\Delta \bar{d}}{d}$ (forward rapidity)

$\langle x_1 \rangle << \langle x_2 \rangle : \quad A_L^{W^+} \approx \frac{\Delta u}{u}$ (backward rapidity)

$\langle x_1 \rangle >> \langle x_2 \rangle : \quad A_L^{W^-} \approx \frac{\Delta d}{d}$ (forward rapidity)

$\langle x_1 \rangle << \langle x_2 \rangle : \quad A_L^{W^-} \approx \frac{\Delta \bar{u}}{\bar{u}}$ (backward rapidity)

$W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}$
(forward/backward rapidities)





$W^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm}$
(mid-rapidity)
measuring the mixture of quark flavor contribution:

- For W^+, combination of Δu and $\Delta \bar{d}$
- For W^-, combination of $\Delta \bar{u}$ and Δd

Impact on Sea-quark polarizations

Phys. Rev. D 81, 094020 (2010)

DSSV global analysis



DSSV global analysis
+ simulated 200 pb^{-1}
W A_L at proton-proton collisions in RHIC



Significant impact on uncertainties

Mid-rapidity $W^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm}$ Analysis



Central arm (|η|<0.35)

- 2 arms: Δϕ = π/2 *2
- Electromagnetic Calorimeter (PbSc,PbGl) ΔφxΔη~0.01x0.01
- Drift (and Pad) Chambers for tracking and charge separation
- VTX detector

Strategy

Looking for high energy $e^{\pm}$

- Online trigger based on EMC 4x4 tower sum
  - fully efficient at p_T>10 GeV
- High energy EMC clusters matched to DC tracks
  - (Δϕ < 0.02 rad)

Basic cuts

- Vertex cut: |z| < 30 cm
- Removal of tracks with DC |α|<1 mrad
  - α – bending angle
- Time of Flight cut
  - reduces cosmic background

Identifying Signal

- Detector is non-hermetic
- Cannot identify W's on event by event basis
- Need to form the pT spectra for decaying $e^{\pm}$
- Clear jacobian peak at ~40 GeV
  - corresponds to signal
- Looking for excess of events over background in the signal region (30-50 GeV)



Background Processes

Irreducible background:-

- $Z \rightarrow e^{+} + e^{-}$ (part of signal)
- Heavy quark decay: $c, b \rightarrow e^{\pm} + X$
- $W \rightarrow \tau + \nu_{\tau} \rightarrow e\, \nu_{e}\, \nu_{\tau}\, \overline{\nu_{\tau}}$

Reducible background:-

- Charged hadrons
- $\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$ before DC
  - VTX increases photon conversions (thickness ~14% X_0)
- Cosmic background
- Accidental track match





VTX Conversions

phiV is the angle plane of pair makes with plane normal to beam direction



VTX conversions



π^0 simulations

conversions in VTX barrels and electronic support

Isolation cut

rel. isolation cut = $\frac{(E_{tot} - E_{candidate}) + p_{DC}}{E_{candidate}}$ in a cone of R=0.4 **< 10%**



Before isolation cut



After isolation cut



Isolation Cut







The relative isolation cut removes more than a factor of 10 in the background dominated region (10-20 GeV) while leaving the signal region (30-50 GeV) relatively untouched

Run 13 $W^{\pm}$ Spectra

Signal region: $30 < p_T < 50$ GeV Background region: $10 < p_T < 20$ GeV

Background estimation using two independent methods:

- Gaussian Processes for Regression (GPR)
- Modified power law $\{f(p_T) = \frac{1}{p_T{}^{[0]+[1]*\log(p_T)}}\}$

fit simultaneously with simulated jacobian peak shape





W^+ signal ~ 95% W^- signal ~ 81%

Background Estimation

Using Gaussian Processes for Regression (GPR)





- Use background controlled region to get a shape and extrapolate to the signal region.
- GPR gives the background contribution and its uncertainty.
- The results have been cross checked using a classic functional form (modified power law).
  - good agreement
  - any differences are included in systematic errors.

Asymmetry Calculation

$$A_L = \frac{1}{P} \times \frac{N^+(e) - N^-(e)}{N^+(e) + N^-(e)}$$

where,

- N is electron yield
- P is luminosity weighted polarization

- At RHIC, two beams in opposite directions, 120 bunches in each ring , with helicity of pairs alternating.
- Calculate asymmetry taking BLUE beam as polarized, averaging over YELLOW beam.
- Repeat by taking YELLOW beam as polarized, averaging over BLUE beam.
- Combine results (weighted averages).
- Asymmetry result corrected for background through dilution factor.



Single-Spin Asymmetry A_L

Year	√s (GeV)	∫Ldt (pb^{-1})	Pol. (%)	P^2L (pb^{-1})
2009	500	8.6	39	1.3
2011	500	16	48	3.7
2012	510	23.7	55	7.2
2013	510	114.9	55	34.8



- Run 2009 results have been published (with $W^{\pm}$ production cross section measurement).
- Run 2011, 2012 have preliminary results, nearing completion.

Single-Spin Asymmetry A_L

Year	√s (GeV)	∫Ldt (pb^{-1})	Pol. (%)	P^2L (pb^{-1})
2009	500	8.6	39	1.3
2011	500	16	48	3.7
2012	510	23.7	55	7.2
2013	510	114.9	55	34.8



- Run 2009 results have been published (with $W^{\pm}$ production cross section measurement).
- Run 2011, 2012 and 2013 have preliminary results, nearing completion.
- A_L measurements are overall consistent with theoretical predictions.

Forward $W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}$ Analysis



Muon arms

- 1.2<η<2.4 (North), -2.2<η<1.2 (South)
  Δϕ = 2π
- Muon Tracker (MuTr)
  - tracking, momentum measurement
- Muon Identifier (MuID)
  - particle ID

- Resistive Plate Chamber (RPC)
  - timing improvement, background rejection
- Forward Vertex Detector (FVTX)
  - high resolution tracking
- Fully upgraded in 2012
  - trigger to reject low momentum muons

Background Processes



No jacobean peak to distinguish signal from background



- **Hadronic BG:**

Low energetic hadrons decay within MuTr, misreconstructed as high pT track =>"fake BG"

- **Muon BG:**

From heavy flavor, quarkonia, Drell-Yan; get smeared to high pT



Analysis Strategy

Multivariate cut for pre-selection:

- Determine likelihood "λ" of an event to be signal or background

$$\lambda = p(DG0, DDG0)p(chi2)p(DCA_r)p(Rpc1/3dca)p(dr_{fvtx} * d\theta_{fvtx})p(d\phi_{fvtx})$$



- Calculate "Wness" defined as

$$Wness = \frac{\lambda_{sig}}{\lambda_{sig}+\lambda_{bkg}}$$

λ_{sig} - from Pythia+PISA MC simulation
λ_{bkg} - from data

- Events with Wness > 0.92 are selected



Background Estimation

Unbinned maximum likelihood fit

- Signal and background fractions calculated minimizing likelihood function

$$\mathrm{L}(\theta \mid X) \equiv \frac{n^N e^{-n}}{N!} \prod_{x_i \in X}^{N} \left[\sum_c \frac{n_c}{n} p_c(x_i) \right], \qquad n = \sum_c n_c$$

$p_c(x_i)$ – probability distribution functions from simulation (W signal, muon BGs) and data (hadron BGs) using η, dw23

$$x_i = (\eta_i, \mathrm{dw}_{23i}) \qquad \theta = (n_{sig}, n_\mu, n_{had})$$

- Hadronic BG dominates at low Wness
  - extrapolate dw23 to Wness > 0.92



$$\mathrm{dw23} \equiv p_T \times \sin(\theta) \times d\phi_{23}$$

(reduced azimuthal bending)

dw23 distributions (16 < p_T < 60 GeV/c, f > 0.02)



Signal / Background Ratio





1D projections of 2D unbinned maximum likelihood fit

- $16 < p_T < 60$ GeV/c, $f > 0.92$
  - Use η and dw23 fits to count and calculate S/B

S/B for Run 2012

	South	North
μ+	0.30	0.24
μ-	0.58	0.15

  - S/B ratio used as a dilution factor to calculate the corrected asymmetry.

Forward Asymmetry Results





- Run 2012 preliminary results.
- Results are in agreement with theoretical predictions within uncertainties.
- Run 2011 and 2012 analyses are being finalized.

Forward Asymmetry Results



- Run 2012 preliminary results.
- Results are in agreement with theoretical predictions within uncertainties.
- Run 2011 and 2012 analyses are being finalized.
- Run 2013 analysis is ongoing.
- Projected uncertainties for Run 2013 asymmetries (three rapidity bins).
- Inclusion of FVTX information should improve background rejection.

Summary

- Run 2011 and Run 2012 W asymmetry analyses (both central and forward rapidity) are being finalized.

- Run 2013 :-
  - PHENIX recorded more than two times the statistics from Run 2009, 2011 and 2012 combined
  - single spin asymmetries A_L have been measured for $W \rightarrow e$ and are consistent with DSSV global analysis
  - $W \rightarrow \mu$ analysis ongoing.
  - improved precision will reduce uncertainties on $\Delta\bar{u}(x)$ and $\Delta\bar{d}(x)$

- With Run 2013 and previous results, RHIC W program is expected to improve our knowledge on polarized sea quark distributions.

GPR





- Through the use of a covariance function determined from the data the GPR can make predictions for data sufficiently close to the input set.
- It samples over a whole class of functional forms and returns predictions that are consistent with the data.
  - The class is determined by the covariance function
- Sampling over these functions and filling a 2D histogram will give a Gaussian distribution for each prediction point
- The mean of the Gaussian distribution is the prediction and the sigma is the uncertainty