Microcrystal electron diffraction theory and application

Cryo-EM Course at the Laboratory for BioMolecular Structure (LBMS)

6.23.2023

JCL

Max T.B. Clabbers

Department of Biological Chemistry David Geffen School of Medicine University of California, Los Angeles clabbers@ucla.edu



Typical workflow in macromolecular structure determination using electron crystallography



Electrons are several orders of magnitude less damaging than X-rays



per useful diffracted quantum

per useful diffracted quantum

- Electrons are scattered by the electrostatic potential
- □ 10³ times less energy per useful diffracted quantum
- □ Increased contrast for resolving hydrogen atoms
- Potential to visualize charge distribution of atoms



- Diffraction geometry and Ewald construction
- The Ewald sphere is virtually flat
- Generally do not observe higher order Laue zones
- Friedel pairs can be measured on the same frame

Microcrystalline samples suitable for electron diffraction structure determination



MyD88 TIR domain higher order assembly interactions revealed by MicroED



Solving the structure of a previously unknown R2lox metalloenzyme by MicroED



Microcrystals grown in 44% (v/v) PEG 400 \longrightarrow Highly viscous

Xu, Lebrette, Clabbers et al., Sci. Adv. 5, eaax4621 (2019)

Fragmentation of macrocrystals to smaller microcrystalline fragments



de la Cruz et al., Nat. Methods 14, 399-402 (2017)

Preparing thin crystalline lamellae using focused ion beam (FIB) milling

SEM



FIB



Benchmarking the ideal sample thickness for cryo-EM experiments



1× inelastic mean free path (MFP) at 300 kV is approximately 312 nm

High-quality data up to 2× the inelastic mean free path (MFP)



● 120 kV ● 200 kV ● 300 kV

Electron crystallography of membrane protein crystals



Locating protein crystals embedded in highly viscous detergent-lipid bicelles



Martyonowycz et al., PNAS 117, 32380-32385 (2020)

Uncovering the embedded crystals using a dual-beam FIB/SEM



Martyonowycz et al., PNAS 117, 32380-32385 (2020)

Structure of the lipid-embedded mammalian mitochondrial voltage-dependent anion channel



Phase conversion of lipid cubic phase (LCP) crystals to a less viscous sponge phase



Zhu et al., Structure 28, 1-11 (2020)

Martyonowycz et al., PNAS 118, e2106041118 (2021)

Targeting GPCR crystals embedded in LCP using pFIB/SEM with integrated FLM module



Localizing crystals embedded in LCP by correlating SEM images and FLM stacks



SEM+FLM

SEM

Using plasma FIB milling to target and access the crystals directly in LCP



SEM+FLM

Structure of the human adenosine receptor $A_{2A}AR$ at 2.0 Å resolution



Optimized sample preparation workflow for fluorescently labeled microcrystals



The continuous rotation method in MicroED is analogous to X-ray crystallography







Continuous rotation electron diffraction data collection of protein nanocrystals using a hybrid pixel detector

Nederlof et al., Acta Cryst. D69, 1223-1230 (2013)

Continuous rotation MicroED data collection and structure determination from protein microcrystals

Nannenga et al., Nat. Methods 11, 927-930 (2014)

Radiation damage for crystalline biological specimens in electron microscopy



Dose fractioning for optimal data quality



Global and site-specific radiation damage

Half of the mean diffracted intensity is lost after an exposure of $\sim 2.2 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{Å}^{2}$

Optimal data quality at an exposure of $\sim 2.6 \text{ e}^2/\text{Å}^2$ for lysozyme microcrystals, and ~4.7 $e^{-}/Å^2$ for granulovirus occlusion bodies

Hattne et al., Structure 26, 759-766 (2018)

Camera requirements for continuous MicroED data collection

Continuous rotation requires rapid readout

- $\hfill\square$ Less gaps are introduced in the data
- Even if camera supports gapless data collection, data collection software may not

Diffraction can sacrifice spatial resolution Depending on unit cell size and resolution

Diffraction requires high dynamic range Spots are strong, background is faint



Exposure, frame rate, and linear range for electron-counting cameras



Facilitating low exposure electron-counting MicroED data collection

Lower the exposure rate to sample

- □ Smaller C2 aperture
- □ Larger spot size
- □ Larger beam size

Reduce counts on camera

□ Use selected area (SA) aperture

Improve low count statistics

- □ Extend the exposure time
- □ Optimize SNR using FIB/SEM



Beyond molecular replacement

Whereas structures of short peptide fragments can be solved by direct methods from MicroED data, to date - all macromolecular structures have been phased by molecular replacement



Ab initio protein structure determination using electron-counted MicroED data



Triclinic lysozyme

Proteinase K

Microscope setup for low exposure electron-counting MicroED data collection



Spot size 11, 50 µm C2 aperture 25 µm diameter parallel beam



100 μm SA aperture 2 μm diameter at specimen Falcon 4 (2× binning) in counting mode 84° rotation at 0.2 °/s 0.0015 e⁻/Å²/s (total 0.64 e⁻/Å²) 420s exposure, 2fps readout

Data integration and merging of 16 crystal lamellae up to 0.87 Å resolution

No. of crystals	16
Space group	<i>P</i> 1
Unit cell dimensions	
a, b, c (Å)	26.42, 30.72, 33.01
α, β, γ (°)	88.319, 109.095, 112.075
Resolution (Å)	16.05-0.87 (0.90-0.87)
Observed reflections	569407 (5797)
Unique reflections	64986 (2783)
Multiplicity	8.8 (2.1)
Completeness (%)	87.55 (37.64)
R _{merge}	0.236 (1.035)
R _{meas}	0.248 (1.409)
R _{pim}	0.073 (0.945)
<l ol=""></l>	6.23 (0.66)
CC _{1/2}	0.990 (0.147)



Ab initio phasing of triclinic lysozyme by placing an idealized starting fragment

Idealized starting fragment

Placing the fragment by MR

Initial map calculated from starting phases







2mFo-DFc map

A single 3-residue helix (15 atoms)

Solution from MR in PHASER

Phase improvement using dynamic density modification

Initial map after placing the idealized fragment



Density modified normalized structure factor map



Automated model building and structure refinement



Automated model building and refinement using *BUCCANEER and REFMAC5* 0.87 Å resolution, R_{work}/R_{free} 0.179/0.231, 0.64 e⁻/Å² total exposure

High-quality structural model of triclinic lysozyme at atomic resolution



Density modified E map at 1.5σ , 2mFo-DFc map at 1.5σ

Hydrogen atom positions and hydrogen bonding interactions





Hydrogen atoms, protonation, and hydrogen bond networks



Multi-pass data collection on crystalline lamellae of proteinase K



High resolution pass



Low resolution pass

Data integration and merging of two crystal lamellae to 1.5 Å resolution

No. of crystals	2
Space group	P4 ₃ 2 ₁ 2
Unit cell dimensions	
<i>a, b, c</i> (Å)	67.08, 67.08, 106.78
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90
Resolution (Å)	43.35-1.50 (1.55-1.50)
Observed reflections	416133 (36794)
Unique reflections	39347 (3683)
Multiplicity	10.6 (9.9)
Completeness (%)	98.87 (94.41)
R _{merge}	0.277 (1.508)
R _{meas}	0.291 (1.590)
R _{pim}	0.087 (0.492)
<l ol=""></l>	5.65 (1.12)
CC _{1/2}	0.989 (0.310)



Ab initio structure determination of proteinase K at 1.5 Å resolution



Ab initio protein structure determination using electron-counted MicroED data



Model building and refinement



ACORN, SHELXE

∘∢

Proteinase K at 1.5

∘∢

Electron-counting data collection using the K3 direct electron detector



Triclinic lysozyme

Proteinase K

Routine structure determination of organic compounds using electron diffraction



Identifying individual compounds from heterogenous mixtures



Jones et al., ACS Cent. Sci. 4, 1587-1592 (2018)

Automation and high-throughput serial electron diffraction enables phase identification



Smeets et al., J. Appl. Cryst. 51, 1262-1273 (2017)

Luo et al., Nat. Chem. 15, 483-490 (2023)

Visualizing all non-covalent interactions in nanocrystalline organic-inorganic hybrid materials



Acknowledgements

UCLA

Tamir Gonen

- Michael Martynowycz
- Johan Hattne
- Callie Saeher
- □ Xuelang Mu
- Guanhong Bu
- Emma Danelius
- Marc Gallenito
- Cody Gillman
- William Nicolas
- □ Yasmeen Ruma
- Anna Shiriaeva
- Johan Unge
- □ Sara Weaver

ASU

Brent Nannenga

- Stockholm University
- Xiaodong Zou
- Sven Hovmöller
- 🛛 🛛 Hongyi Xu
- 🗋 Yi Luo
- Jingjing Zhao
- Martin Högbom
- Hugo Lebrette
- Mathieu Coinçon

University of Basel

Jan Pieter Abrahams

EPFL

Henning Stahlberg

University of Vienna Tim Gruene

STFC/CCP4

David Waterman

University of Queensland

- Bostjan Kobe
- Griffith University
- Thomas Ve

University of Cambridge

Tristan Croll





