BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

BNL-75459-2006-CP

# *Observation of Long-Range Beam-Beam Effect in RHIC And Plans For Compensation*

**W. Fischer, R. Calaga, BNL; U. Dorda, J.-P. Koutchouk, F. Zimmermann, CERN; V. Ranjbar, T. Sen, FNAL; J. Shi, KU; J. Qiang, LBNL; A. Kabel, SLAC**

*Presented at the 10th Biennial European Particle Accelerator Conference (EPAC)*
Edinburgh, UK
June 26 - June 30, 2006

June 2006

**Collider-Accelerator Department**

**Brookhaven National Laboratory**
P.O. Box 5000
Upton, NY 11973-5000
www.bnl.gov

Notice: This manuscript has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the manuscript for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

This preprint is intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, it may not be cited or reproduced without the author's permission.

# DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Printed on recycled paper

# OBSERVATION OF LONG-RANGE BEAM-BEAM EFFECT IN RHIC AND PLANS FOR COMPENSATION*

W. Fischer†, R. Calaga, BNL; U. Dorda, J.-P. Koutchouk, F. Zimmermann, CERN;
V. Ranjbar, T. Sen, FNAL; J. Shi, KU; J. Qiang, LBNL; A. Kabel, SLAC

*Abstract*

At large distances the electromagnetic field of a wire is the same as the field produced by a bunch. Such a long-range beam-beam wire compensator was proposed for the LHC, and single beam tests with wire compensators were successfully done in the SPS. RHIC offers the possibility to test the compensation scheme with colliding beams. We report on measurements of beam losses as a function of transverse separation in RHIC at 100GeV, and comparisons with simulations. We present a design for a long-range wire compensator in RHIC.

## INTRODUCTION

To compensate the effects of long-range beam-beam interactions, electron lenses were tested in the Tevatron [1]; wires were proposed for the LHC [2], and tested with single beams in the SPS [3]. We report on possible long-range compensations with wires in RHIC.

Like in the LHC, long-range interactions in RHIC are localized in the interaction regions (Fig. 1). In the Tevatron, long-range interactions are distributed around the circumference, which makes compensation more complex [4]. In RHIC, with a bunch spacing of 108 ns (the current minimum) or more there are no parasitic beam-beam collisions in stores. For eRHIC [5] a bunch spacing of 36 ns is considered, which would lead to 4 long-range beam-beam interactions in stores.

To test a long-range beam-beam compensator in RHIC, there must be an observable effect from long-range interactions. We report on beam loss measurements at 100GeV, in which the vertical separation was varied. Simulations were carried out in an attempt to reproduce the observations. We present a design for a long-range compensator, to be installed in RHIC in 2006.

## OBSERVATION OF LONG-RANGE BEAM-BEAM EFFECTS AT 100GEV

To test a long-range beam-beam compensator in RHIC, a single long-range interaction must produce a measurable effect (see below). As a first test, the beam loss rates of two colliding proton bunches was observed as a function of the vertical separation at the injection energy of 23 GeV [6]. Then this test was done at 100 GeV. The main parameters of the 100 GeV test are shown in Tab. 1.

Since a single long-range interaction per turn has only a small effect, a tune was chosen where the beams are less stable than in operation. Arc octupoles were turned on in the Yellow beam to increase the tune spread. 24 octupoles families with 132 magnets were used, with all magnets set to the same strength of 4 $m^{-2}$. No octupoles were used in Blue. The variation of the vertical separation creates a measurable effect in the beam loss rate when the beams are separated by less than 4 rms beam sizes (Fig. 2). This effect is still not very pronounced. To increase the signal-to-noise ratio further, 12 bunches were filled in both rings. The loss rate is averaged over these 12 bunches.




Figure 1: Location of the long-range beam-beam interaction and compensators for a beam test in RHIC.

Table 1: Main parameters for the RHIC test at 100GeV.

| quantity | unit | value |
|---|---|---|
| proton energy | GeV | 100.0 |
| bunches per beam | ... | 12 |
| bunch intensity | $10^{11}$ | 1.7 |
| long-range location | m from IP | 10.6 |
| emittances $\epsilon_{x,y}$ (95%) | mm mrad | ?? |
| $\beta_{x,y}$, long-range location | m | 105 |
| tunes $(Q_x, Q_y)$ | ... | B(0.69,0.70) |
| | | Y(0.71,0.69) |
| vertical separation | mm/$\sigma$ | 1–11/0.7–6.3 |




Figure 2: Beam loss and vertical separation at 100 GeV. Before 15:00h the Blue beam was moved to change the separation, after 15:00h the Yellow beam was moved.

* Work performed under US DOE contract DE-AC02-98CH10886, and with support from Renaissance Technology Corp. (USA).

† Wolfram.Fischer@bnl.gov

## SIMULATIONS

Beam-beam simulations have been carried out by several groups. Here we report on the work done at FNAL, LBNL and SLAC. Work at CERN is reported in [7]. The models used are similar in most respects but differ in some details. The basic model common to all the simulations includes the linear lattice, sextupoles to correct the chromaticity to 2 units in both planes and synchrotron oscillations. The nonlinearities of the IR quadrupoles which may be important have not been included in any of these models. One of the main simulation goals is to find changes in beam quality as the vertical separation between the beams is varied.

The model used in the FNAL code BBSIM is a weak-strong model and includes dipole noise to mimic gas scattering. Tune footprints, diffusion coefficients, emittance growth, and lifetimes are calculated by this code. Fig. 3 shows the tune footprints for the blue beam at the tunes (0.68, 0.69) for beam separations of 3 and 10 $\sigma$. At this working point the footprint is clear of the neighboring 3rd and 10th order resonances.




Figure 3: Tune footprints with beam separations at 3 (in green) and 10 $\sigma$ (in blue). At $10\sigma$, the beam-beam interaction has little impact on the tune spread, it is almost entirely due to the sextupoles.

Fig. 4 shows the diffusion coefficient in the vertical plane vs separation for the initial amplitudes 3 and 4 $\sigma$. At $3\sigma$ amplitude, the diffusion drops sharply as the separation increases from 5 to 6 $\sigma$ while at $4\sigma$ amplitude the change with separation is more gradual.

The diffusion coefficients $D(J)$, with $J$ as the initial action, have also been used to estimate the escape time $\tau_{esc}$ to an absorbing boundary at action $J_A$ as $\tau_{esc} = \int_0^{J_A} J\, dJ/D(J)$. We interpret the escape time as a measure of the beam lifetime. Fig. 5 shows this lifetime as a function of the beam separation. The lifetime varies nearly linearly with separation over this range of separations.

The SLAC code PlibB was used in the weak-strong mode to track $\approx 1.3 \cdot 10^5$ Gaussian-weighted macroparticles for $\approx 10^5$ turns and calculate the loss rates of particles. Loss rates were determined by weighing the particles having crossed a given aperture at regular intervals during the tracking process. Linearly fitting the second half of the lost




Figure 4: Diffusion coefficients (from BBSIM) vs beam separation at initial amplitudes of 3 and $4\sigma$. Tunes at (0.68, 0.69).




Figure 5: Relative lifetime vs separation with BBSIM.

particle weight vs. time behavior so obtained results in a single loss rate. Fig. 6 shows the loss rate as a function of the beam separation. This calculation predicts a qualitative change in the losses at a separation of $5\sigma$ - losses are flat for smaller separations but fall steeply at larger separations.

The LBNL strong-strong code BeamBeam3D has been used to examine emittance growth of the two beams at different separations. These simulations show that there is significant emittance growth at separations below $4\sigma$ and there is little sensitivity of the emittance growth to tune values at separations above $6\sigma$.




Figure 6: Loss rates vs beam separation with PLIBB.

## COMPENSATOR DESIGN

The compensator design is based on experience gained with the SPS units. Design considerations are: the location in ring, the integrated strength $(IL)$, the wire temperature $T$ in operation, the positioning range and accuracy, power supply requirements, and controls and diagnostics [6]. The design parameters are shown in Tab. 2.

*Location in the ring.* For a successful compensation the phase advance between the long-range interaction and the compensator should be no larger than about 10 degrees [8]. Lattices with $\beta^* \leq 1.0$ m have such small phase advances between the entrance to the DX and the exit of Q3. Thus it is possible to place a wire in the warm region after Q3 to compensate for a long-range beam-beam interaction near the DX magnet (Fig. 1). One compensator can be installed in each ring (see Fig. 7), one above and one below the beam axis, to compensate for a vertical long-range interaction.



Figure 7: View of two long-range beam-beam compensators in the RHIC ring, mounted on a vertically movable stand.

*Integrated strength.* To compensate a single long-range interaction, the compensator's integrated strength $(IL)$ must be the same as a bunch's current integrated over its length $(IL) = N_b ec$, where $I$ is the current in the wire, $L$ its length, $N_b$ the bunch intensity, $e$ the elementary charge, and $c$ the speed of light (see Tab. 2).

In the LHC, an integrated strength of 80 Am is required to correct for the 16 long-range interactions on either side of an IR [2]. Such a strength is also expected to lead to enhanced diffusion at amplitudes larger than 6 rms transverse beam sizes [8]. To study the enhanced diffusion in RHIC, the compensator is designed for $(IL)_{max} = 125$ Am.

*Wire temperature.* The wire's temperature should not exceed 100°C to avoid increased outgassing of the vacuum components. We will use $n$ heat sinks cooled with forced air, spaced apart by $L/(n-1)$. The maximum temperature increase in the center between 2 heat sinks is [9]

$$\Delta T_{max} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{\rho_e}{\lambda} \frac{(IL)^2}{(n-1)^2 r^4}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\rho_e$ is the electrical resistivity, $\lambda$ the heat conductivity, and $r$ the wire radius. To move the wire compensator close to the beam, its radius should not be much larger than an rms transverse beam size. The calculated temperature change with 3 heat sinks is shown in Tab. 2.

Table 2: Parameters for RHIC compensators. The wire material is Cu at 20°C. The nominal strenght is for a single long-range interaction.

| quantity | unit | value |
|---|---|---|
| strength $(IL)$, nominal | Am | 9.6 |
| max. strength $(IL)_{max}$ | Am | 125 |
| length of wire $L$ | m | 2.5 |
| radius of wire $r$ | mm | 3.5 |
| number of heat sinks $n$ | ... | 3 |
| electrical resistivity $\rho_e$ | $\Omega$m | $1.72\times10^{-8}$ |
| heat conductivity $\lambda$ | $Wm^{-1}K^{-1}$ | 384 |
| thermal expansion coeff. | $K^{-1}$ | $1.68\times10^{-5}$ |
| radius of existing pipe $r_p$ | mm | 60 |
| current $I$, nominal | A | 3.8 |
| max. current in wire $I_{max}$ | A | 50 |
| electric resistance $R$ | m$\Omega$ | 1.12 |
| max. voltage $U_{max}$ | mV | 55.9 |
| max. power $P_{max}$ | W | 2.8 |
| max. temp. change $\Delta T_{max}$ | K | 15 |
| max. length change $\Delta L_{max}$ | mm | 0.4 |
| vertical position range | mm/$\sigma_y$ | 65/10.6 |

*Power supply requirements.* A current ripple of $\Delta I/I < 10^{-4}$ is required [8]. In a second stage a pulsed or modulated power supply can be tested, which is needed for the LHC. With 100% modulation depth, such a power supply is very challenging to avoid emittance growth.

## SUMMARY

A long-range beam-beam effect is observable in RHIC at 100 GeV, with a single interaction per turn. The beam loss rate is weakly sensitive to the transverse separation at well selected tunes. Simulation results so far seem to be consistent with this observation. Two compensators are being constructed, and it is planned to install them in 2006.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful for comments to A. Drees, H.C. Hseuh, V. Ptitsyn, T. Roser, T. Russo, and S. Tepikian.

## REFERENCES

[1] V. Shiltsev et al., "Progress report on beam-beam ..." AIP Conference Proceedings 693 (2003).
[2] J.-P. Koutchouk, "Correction of the long-range beam-beam effect in LHC using electromagnetic lenses", PAC01.
[3] J.P. Koutchouk, "Experiments on LHC long-range beam-beam compensation in the SPS", EPAC04.
[4] B. Erdelyi and T. Sen, "Feasibility study of beam-beam compensation in the Tevatron with wires", EPAC05.
[5] V. Ptitsyn et al., "eRHIC - future machine for experiements on electron-ion collisions", these proceedings.
[6] W. Fischer et al., BNL C-A/AP/236 (2006).
[7] U. Dorda, these proceedings.
[8] F. Zimmermann, "Weak-strong simulation studies for the LHC long-range beam-beam compensation", proceedings of the Beam-Beam Workshop at Fermilab, FERMILAB-Conf-01/390-T, CERN LHC Project Report 502 (2001)
[9] J.-P. Koutchouk, G. de Rijk, F. Zimmermann, "Simulation of the LHC Long-Range Beam-Beam Effect at the SPS", Draft Note, (2002).