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Abstract

The kink instability is one of the major beam dynamics issues of the linac-ring based electron ion collider. This head-tail type instability arises from the oscillation of the electron beam inside the opposing ion beam. It must be suppressed to achieve the desired luminosity. There are various ways to suppress the instability, such as tuning the chromaticity in the ion ring or by a dedicated feedback system of the electron beam position at IP, etc. However, each method has its own limitation. In this paper, we will discuss an alternative opportunity of suppressing the kink instability of the proposed eRHIC at BNL using the existing pickup-kicker system of the stochastic cooling system in RHIC.

INTRODUCTION

The main advantage of an energy recovery linac (ERL) based electron ion collider (EIC) over a ring-ring type counterpart is the higher achievable luminosity. In ERL-based version, one electron bunch collides with the opposing ion beam only once so that the beam-beam parameter can largely exceed the usual limitation in an electron collider ring, while the beam-beam parameter for the ion beam remains small. In this, so called, linac-ring collision scheme the resulting luminosity may be enhanced by one order of magnitude.

The beam dynamics related challenges also arise as the luminosity boost in the ERL based EIC due to the significant beam-beam effect on the electron beam. The effects on the electron beam are discussed in [1]. The ion beam may develop a head-tail type instability, referred as 'kink instability', through the interaction with the electron beam.

A special active feedback system [2] was carried out to suppress the kink instability without any modification to the ion ring (i.e. existing RHIC ring for eRHIC project). However, limitation was found in that method, which requires the disruption parameter for the electron beam to be less than 20. For suppressing the kink instability with larger disruption parameter, in this paper, we are exploring the method with pickups and kickers, similar to transverse stochastic cooling layout. The inner structure of the beam is detected by the pickup and passed to the kicker, while the high frequency kick corrects the beam accordingly.

Table 1: Kink instability main parameters of eRHIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disruption parameter for e-beam</td>
<td>up to 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam-beam parameter for ion beam</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms ion bunch length (m)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromaticity of ion ring, x/y</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchrotron tune of the ion ring</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE KINK INSTABILITY ANALYSIS

Before applying the feedback system, we will first demonstrate the effect of the kink instability and analyze the frequency contents of the modes. Simplest 2-particle linear model predicts the threshold of this instability as

$$d_e \xi_i < \frac{4\nu_s}{\pi}$$

where $\nu_s$ is the synchrotron tune, $\xi_i$ is the beam-beam parameter of the ion beam, $d_e$ is the disruption parameter which is defined as $d_e = l_i/f_e$ with given ion rms bunch length $l_i$ and beam-beam focal length $f_e$. This only holds when $d_e$ is small (less than 5). For large $d_e$ case, the threshold show complicate patterns[2] with multi-particle linear models.

We use simulation code, EPIC[3], to predict the behavior of instability. The key parameters are listed in table 1. In simulations, we fix the ion beam-beam parameter and vary the disruption parameter by modifying the energy of the electron beam.

Figure 1 (top) shows that the emittance of the ion beam grow because of the interaction. This confirms that the parameters is beyond the kink instability for all disruption parameter cases. Illustrated earlier work[1], the electron beam oscillates inside the opposing ion/proton beam; if the ion beam has Gaussian longitudinal distribution, the oscillation time is given by $\sqrt{d_e}/4$. This generate a strong and high frequency wake field around the interaction region for the ion beam, when disruption parameter is high.

We record the turn by turn data of the centroid of each ion slices, then use Fast Fourier Transform to analyze the frequency components of the modes from in the kink instability, as shown in bottom one of figure 1. There are rich information in the frequency spectrum. Each peak corresponds to a mode excited by the effective wake field that the proton beam encounters. The feedback system we study below has a specific bandwidth and correct the beam in this bandwidth coherently to suppress the instability. It is worthwhile to note that, the frequency of each peak (mode)
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is only the function of the bunch length and longitudinal distribution of the ion beam. In this study, we are varying the disruption parameter by the electron beam energy (hence varying $f_e$), therefore the position of the peaks for different $d_e$ resides at same frequency with their own amplitudes.

**PICKUP-KICKER FEEDBACK SYSTEM**

This feedback system consists a high bandwidth pick-up (BPMs) that samples the offset within one ion bunch, and a set of wide bandwidth kicker (usually RF cavities or strip lines) that correct the offsets accordingly. It is expected that the instability will be suppress if the instability mode frequencies fall in the bandwidth of the feedback system.

To simulate the effect of this system, we model this system with a band pass filter in the beam-beam interaction code, EPIC[3]. For a sharp edges at a low and high frequency limit $f_L$ and $f_H$. The corresponding wake field of this system reads[4]:

$$W(\tau) = R \int_{f_L}^{f_H} \cos(2\pi f \tau) df$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $R$ is related to gain of the amplifier between the pickup and the kicker.

To suppress the kink instability for specific disruption parameter $d_e$, the frequency range and the gain has to be determined from simulation. However, it is very consuming to find the exact $f_H$ and $f_L$ for each $d_e$ that in the interest range as shown in table 1. Instead we divide the frequency range to subranges with 300 MHz bandwidth, and find the disruption parameter that can be suppressed within, as shown in table 2. In the first subrange, we avoid DC components and select the lower boundary as 50 MHz, which is less than the frequency of the first peak.

From table 2, we observe general trend that higher $d_e$ requires higher frequency with same bandwidth. If disruption parameter is higher than 90, 300 MHz bandwidth is too small, even $f_H$ and $f_L$ continue grows together. This indicates the requirement for larger bandwidth. For one specific disruption parameter, there is more than one possible range that can suppress the instability due to the coupling between modes. For instance, the case with $d_e = 30$ can be suppress by frequency range 2 to 4 in table 2. However,
in different ranges, the required minimum amplitude of the feedback system differs, since the coupling strength varies. Figure 2 shows relative minimum amplitude to suppress the instability for different disruption parameter at certain frequency range number as shown in table 2. Each disruption parameter case has its best suitable frequency range, in which requires minimum amplitude of the feedback system. The value of the amplitude is function of the optics functions of the pickup and kicker, and the phase advance between them. In this paper, we only intend to compare its relative value.

Since eRHIC requires the disruption from 5 up to 150, we need much larger bandwidth than 300 MHz to cover the whole range from above analysis. To simplify the problem we fix the low frequency limit $f_L$ is to stay at 50 MHz and scan the high limit $f_H$ to suppress the instability for the interested range of disruption parameter listed in table 1.

Figure 3 shows that the required $f_H$ is at least 2.1 GHz to suppress the kink instability when $d_e$ is 150. If we vary the high frequency limit values according to the third column of table 2, as expected, we conclude that the required $f_H$ is a monotonically increasing function of $d_e$ as shown in figure 4. The minimum amplitude can be found for each disruption parameter cases. It also monotonically increases as higher $d_e$ case is considered. It is worthwhile to note that there is also a maximum amplitude for each case. The system gets “over kicked” and becomes unstable again, if the amplitude exceeds the limit. Simulation gives the estimate of this limit is 0.16 for this frequency range. This is limit is not sensitive to the different disruption parameters.

**CONCLUSION**

We demonstrated that the kink instability will be suppress by a pickup and kicker system with whole electron beam disruption parameter range (5-150), if proper frequency bandwidth is selected. Through parameter scan in simulation we determined the higher limit of frequency window. Other detail studies, including the lower limit of the range and the power requirement of the feedback system are undergoing.
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