



**BNL-98366-2012-CP**

## A $k_T$ -dependent sea-quark density for the CASADE Monte Carlo event generator

**F. Hautmann<sup>\*</sup>, M. Hentschinski<sup>†, \*\*</sup>, and H. Jung<sup>‡, §</sup>**

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3NP

<sup>†</sup>Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,  
E-28049 Madrid

<sup>\*\*</sup>Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

<sup>‡</sup>Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany

<sup>§</sup>CERN, Physics Department, CH-1211 Geneva 23

*Presented at the XIX International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering  
and Related Subjects (DIS 2011)*

Newport News, Virginia

April 11 to 15, 2011

July 2012

**Physics Department/Nuclear Theory Group/Office of Science**

**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

**[DOE Program Office of Science, Nuclear Physics]**

Notice: This manuscript has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the manuscript for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

This preprint is intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, it may not be cited or reproduced without the author's permission.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# A $k_T$ -dependent sea-quark density for the CASCADE Monte Carlo event generator

F. Hautmann\*, M. Hentschinski<sup>†,\*\*</sup> and H. Jung<sup>‡,§</sup>

*\*Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3NP*

*†Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, E-28049 Madrid*

*\*\*Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA*

*‡Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany*

*§CERN, Physics Department, CH-1211 Geneva 23*

**Abstract.** Parton-shower event generators that go beyond the collinear-ordering approximation at small  $x$  have so far included only gluon and valence quark channels at transverse momentum dependent level. We describe results of recent work to include effects of the sea-quark distribution with explicit dependence on the transverse quark-momentum. This sea-quark density is then applied to the description of forward  $Z$ -production. The  $qq^* \rightarrow Z$  matrix element (with one off-shell quark) is calculated in an explicit gauge invariant way, making use of high energy factorization. The  $k_T$ -factorized result has been implemented into the CCFM Monte-Carlo CASCADE and a numerical comparison with the  $qg^* \rightarrow Zq$  matrix element has been carried out.

**PACS:** 12.38.-t,12.38.Cy,12.39.St, 14.70.Hp

## INTRODUCTION

Transverse momentum dependent parton distribution arise at small  $x$  naturally as a consequence of high energy factorization and BFKL-evolution [1]. A formulation of high energy factorization which is in accordance with conventional collinear factorization is provided by  $k_T$ -factorization as defined in [2, 3]: at high center of mass energies, the resummation of high energy logarithms (BFKL) can be brought into a form consistent with conventional collinear resummation (DGLAP). The CCFM evolution equation [4], which interpolates between DGLAP and BFKL evolution, allows then for a Monte Carlo implementation of  $k_T$ -factorization, which is realized by the Monte Carlo event generator CASCADE [5].

Based on the principle of color coherence, the CCFM parton shower describes only the emission of gluons, while real quarks emissions are left aside. Note that such an approximation is in principle justified as enhanced regions of phase space for  $x \rightarrow 1$  and  $x \rightarrow 0$  are dominated by gluonic dynamics at leading logarithmic level. For the description of unintegrated parton densities this implies that the CCFM evolution describes only the distinct evolution of unintegrated gluon and valence quarks [6] while non-diagonal transitions between quarks and gluons are absent. On the other hand, it is necessary to include quark emissions into the parton shower to take into account subleading effects. Also the determination of  $k_T$ -dependent hard matrix elements is affected by the absence of quark emissions: gluon-to-quark splittings needed for the generation of seaquarks are to be included into matrix elements, which complicates their structure, see *e.g.* [7]. In order to supplement the CCFM evolution with quarks

we present in this contribution a definition of a partonic matrix element involving off-shell quarks and the definition of an off-shell sea-quark density. For the latter we restrict here to the case where the gluon-to-quark splitting occurs as the last evolution step. To test our procedure numerically, we apply it to the production of a  $Z$ -boson in the forward direction at LHC energies. For a detailed discussion we refer to [8, 9].

## DEFINITION OF A $q_T$ -DEPENDENT SEA-QUARK

Let us take the approach of generalizing  $k_T$ -factorization to the case of quarks by mimicking the already existing gluonic case. There off-shell gauge invariance is ensured through a reformulation of QCD at high center of mass energies in terms of effective degrees of freedom, reggeized gluons. The latter coincide in their on-shell limit with conventional collinear QCD gluons, while one uses for the general off-shell case effective vertices which contain additional induced terms ensuring off-shell gauge invariance<sup>1</sup>. In complete analogy one can construct a reggeized quark formalism for the description of the high energy limit of scattering amplitudes with quark exchange in the  $t$ -channel [12]. As (reggeized) quark exchanges are suppressed by powers of  $s$  in comparison to (reggeized) gluon exchanges, they generally do not occur in the high energy resummation of total cross-sections. They can however be used as a starting point for the construction of an off-shell factorization of matrix elements which are limited to quark exchange in the  $t$ -channel. This is the case for the  $g^*q \rightarrow Zq$  matrix element for which we construct [8] an off-shell factorization into an off-shell matrix element  $q^*q \rightarrow Z$  and a corresponding gluon-to-quark splitting function. Making use of the reggeized quark formalism, we arrive at the off-shell partonic cross-section  $qq^* \rightarrow Z$ ,

$$\hat{\sigma}(x_1x_2s, M_Z^2, \mathbf{q}^2) = \sqrt{2}G_F M_Z^2 (V_q^2 + A_q^2) \frac{\pi}{N_c} \delta(x_1x_2s - \mathbf{q}^2 - M_Z^2). \quad (1)$$

Here  $x_1\sqrt{s}$  and  $x_2\sqrt{s}$  are the light-cone momenta of the two incoming quarks, while  $\mathbf{q}$  denotes the transverse momentum of the off-shell quark;  $M_Z$  denotes the mass of the  $Z$ -boson, while  $\sqrt{2}G_F M_Z^2 (V_q^2 + A_q^2)$  describes the coupling of the  $Z$ -boson to the quark. Eq. (1) agrees for  $\mathbf{q}^2 \rightarrow 0$  with the  $qq \rightarrow Z$  cross-section, while the splitting function is obtained as a pure color factor if the reggeized quark formalism is taken literally — a crude approximation which can be traced back to non-conservation of energy at the level of high-energy leading logarithms. It is possible however [8] to supply energy conservation while maintaining all desired gauge invariance properties. Doing so we arrive at the  $k_T$ -dependent [3] gluon-to-quark splitting function

$$P_{qg}\left(z, \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\mathbf{q}^2}\right) = T_R \left( \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{\mathbf{q}^2 + z(1-z)\mathbf{k}^2} \right)^2 \left[ (1-z)^2 + z^2 + 4z^2(1-z)^2 \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\mathbf{q}^2} \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  denote transverse momenta of the off-shell gluon and quark respectively, while  $z$  denotes the fraction of gluon light cone momentum which is carried on by the

---

<sup>1</sup> For an effective action approach to the reggeized gluon formalism see [10, 11].

$t$ -channel quark. In the on-shell limit  $\mathbf{k}^2 \rightarrow 0$  eq. (2) reduces to the collinear DGLAP splitting function  $T_R[z^2 + (1-z)^2]$  at lowest order; in the high-energy limit however it factorizes correctly the finite  $\mathbf{k}$  dependence to all orders. At the next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy  $\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln x)^n$ , the  $q_T$ -dependent sea-quark density is defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{sea}}(x, \mathbf{q}^2, \mu^2) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^2} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int_0^{\mathbf{k}_{\text{max}}^2} d\mathbf{k}^2 \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{2\pi} P_{qg} \left( z, \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\mathbf{q}^2} \right) \mathcal{G} \left( \frac{x}{z}, \mathbf{k}^2, \bar{\mu}^2 \right), \quad (3)$$

with  $\mathbf{k}_{\text{max}}^2 = \mu^2/z - \mathbf{q}^2/(z(1-z))$ . For the scale  $\bar{\mu}$  of the unintegrated gluon density, we will investigate two possible choices. One choice, closer in spirit to inclusive calculations [3], is to set simply  $\bar{\mu}^2 = \mu^2$ . A choice based on angular ordering, which is more natural from the point of view of the CCFM evolution, is given by  $\bar{\mu}^2 = \mathbf{q}^2/(1-z)^2 + \mathbf{k}^2/(1-z)$ . The complete  $q_T$ -factorized cross-section for forward  $Z$ -production is given by

$$\sigma_{pp \rightarrow Z}^{q_T\text{-fact.}}(s, M_Z^2) = \sum_f \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^\infty d\mathbf{q}^2 \int_0^1 dx_2 \hat{\sigma}_{qg^* \rightarrow Z}^f \mathcal{Q}^{\text{sea}}(x_1, \mathbf{q}^2, \mu^2) Q^f(x_2, \mu^2) \quad (4)$$

with  $Q^f(x_2, \mu^2)$  the valence quark distribution, implemented as in the first paper of [6].

## NUMERICAL TEST OF THE OFF-SHELL FACTORIZATION




FIGURE 1.

We next compare numerically the factorized expression eq. (4) with the result of implementing the  $g^*q \rightarrow Zq$  off-shell cross-section [8, 13] in the CASCADE Monte Carlo generator [5]. The results are summarized in fig. 1 and fig. 2. For the factorized result both choices for the hard scale  $\bar{\mu}^2$  have been implemented. The differences between the matrix element (ME) and the factorized results are discussed in [9]. We note that the factorized result contains the  $t$ -channel contribution, while the  $s$ -channel contribution is sub-leading both in the collinear and high energy limit. The factorized expression also contains a kinematical approximation [8, 12] in comparison to the full  $g^*q \rightarrow Zq$  cross-section, which leads to a small, but finite correction.

In summary, we achieved an off-shell factorization of the  $g^*q \rightarrow Zq$  process, where the factorized expression can both analytically and numerically be shown to coincide with the full  $g^*q \rightarrow Zq$  cross-section up to a finite remainder, sub-leading in the collinear and the high energy limit.




FIGURE 2.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.H. acknowledges support from the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), DESY, the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC02-98CH10886 and a BNL “Laboratory Directed Research and Development” grant (LDRD 12-034).

## REFERENCES

1. L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **23** (1976) 338 [Yad. Fiz. **23** (1976) 642]; V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev and L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B **60** (1975) 50; I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28** (1978) 822 [Yad. Fiz. **28** (1978) 1597].
2. S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, Phys. Lett. B **307** (1993) 147; Nucl. Phys. B **366** (1991) 135; Phys. Lett. **B242** (1990) 97.
3. S. Catani and F. Hautmann, Nucl. Phys. B **427** (1994) 475; Phys. Lett. **B315** (1993) 157.
4. M. Ciafaloni, Nucl. Phys. **B296** (1988) 49, S. Catani, F. Fiorani, G. Marchesini, Phys. Lett. **B234** (1990) 339, S. Catani, F. Fiorani, G. Marchesini, Nucl. Phys. **B336** (1990) 18, G. Marchesini, Nucl. Phys. **B445** (1995) 49-80. [hep-ph/9412327].
5. H. Jung and G. P. Salam, Eur. Phys. J. C **19** (2001) 351 [arXiv:hep-ph/0012143]; H. Jung *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **70**, 1237 (2010) [arXiv:1008.0152 [hep-ph]].
6. M. Deak, F. Hautmann, H. Jung and K. Kutak, arXiv:1012.6037 [hep-ph]; JHEP **0909**, 121 (2009); arXiv:0908.1870 [hep-ph]; arXiv:1112.6354 [hep-ph].
7. M. Deak and F. Schwennsen, JHEP **0809** (2008) 035 [arXiv:0805.3763 [hep-ph]], S. P. Baranov, A. V. Lipatov and N. P. Zotov, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 014025 [arXiv:0805.4821 [hep-ph]].
8. F. Hautmann, M. Hentschinski and H. Jung, arXiv:1205.1759 [hep-ph].
9. F. Hautmann, M. Hentschinski and H. Jung, arXiv:1205.6358 [hep-ph]; in preparation.
10. L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. **B452** (1995) 369-400 [arXiv:hep-ph/9502308 [hep-ph]]; L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Rept. **286** (1997) 131-198 [hep-ph/9610276]; M. Hentschinski, [arXiv:0908.2576 [hep-ph]]; M. Hentschinski, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **198** (2010) 108-111 [arXiv:0910.2981 [hep-ph]].
11. M. Hentschinski and A. Sabio Vera, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 056006 [arXiv:1110.6741 [hep-ph]]; M. Hentschinski, Nucl. Phys. B **859** (2012) 129 [arXiv:1112.4509 [hep-ph]]; G. Chachamis, M. Hentschinski, J.D. Madrigal Martinez and A. Sabio Vera, Nucl. Phys. B **861** (2012) 133 [arXiv:1202.0649 [hep-ph]].
12. L. N. Lipatov and M. I. Vyazovsky, Nucl. Phys. B **597** (2001) 399 [arXiv:hep-ph/0009340]; A. V. Bogdan and V. S. Fadin, Nucl. Phys. B **740**, 36 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0601117]; V. A. Saleev, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 114031 (2008) [arXiv:0812.0946 [hep-ph]].
13. S. Marzani and R. D. Ball, Nucl. Phys. B **814**, 246 (2009) [arXiv:0812.3602 [hep-ph]].