



**BNL-98662-2012-CP**

***Improvements at the BNL 200 MeV LINAC***

**D. Raparia, J. Alessi, B. Briscoe, J. Fite, O. Gould, V. LoDestro,  
M. Okamura, J. Ritter, A. Zelenski**

*Presented at the 26<sup>th</sup> Linear Accelerator Conference (LINAC12)*  
Tel Aviv, Israel  
September 9-14, 2012

**Collider-Accelerator Department**

**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy  
DOE Office of Science**

Notice: This manuscript has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the manuscript for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

This preprint is intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, it may not be cited or reproduced without the author's permission.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



current than design current but performed well and transmission through RFQ was increased by 10% as predicted by the calculations.

We use xenon gas in the low energy beam transport to compensate space charge for high intensity beam. We keep the line pressure about  $3.6 \times 10^{-6}$  torr. There is about 5% per meter loss due to stripping of H<sup>-</sup> at this pressure. To reduce the pressure in the polarized beam line, we have install aperture in the line just before it meets the high intensity part of the beam line. We were able to keep lower pressure  $2 \times 10^{-7}$  torr.

## 2.2 Changes in the Medium Energy Beam Transport

As reported in PAC 2011 that buncher performance in the MEBT is limited by the available power even though the Q value was 10 times higher than the old buncher. For Run 12 we install still new buncher made out of copper instead of aluminium to increase the Q value by 75%. Table 1 shows buncher performance over the years.

Table 1: Buncher performance over the years

Parameters	1970	2009	2011	2012
Loaded Q	300	300	2000	3500
Power (kW)	5	10	5	5
Voltage (kV)	25	35	65	85
Trans. (%)	58	68	80	85




Figure 3: New 201.25 MHz copper buncher for the MEBT with Q (loaded) 3500.

## 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 Polarized H<sup>-</sup>

For polarized H<sup>-</sup>, transmission from RFQ to Tank 9 was little better about 73% from 65% and polarization remain same although source current was increased by factor of two. Table 2 show the improvement of linac performance for polarized H<sup>-</sup> over the years.

Table 2: Linac performance for polarized H<sup>-</sup>

RUN	$\bullet_x$ (N,95%) $\bullet$ mm mrad	$\bullet_y$ (N,95%) $\bullet$ mm mrad	Trans. (%)
2008	10.7	15.9	50
2010	4.5	5.5	65
2012	3.5	4.0	73

### 3.2 High Intensity H<sup>-</sup>

The average intensity for the BLIP increased to 48 mA. The modification in the LEBT improved RFQ transmission by 10% and rest of the gain come from the new buncher in the MEBT. Table 3 show the comparison for the transmission for last three years

Table 3: Linac performance for high intensity

Intensity	2010	2011	2012
LEBT(mA)	70	70	70
MEBT (mA)	57	50	56
BLIP Target(mA)	39	41	48
Ave Cur.(• A)	120	125	130

Buncher did not perform as expected, after 3.5 kW, transmission is flat. We are planning to open the buncher and inspect this summer.

Run 12 for the polarized proton was very successful. Ion source (OPPIS) could produce higher current and linac transmission efficiencies are little better than the last year. Due to higher voltage in the buncher emittance for the polarized proton was about 25% better than the last year. In process of optimizing momentum spread out of linac, we discovered that Tank 7 was running about 1 MW lower power than the design.

This year average current delivered to the BLIP was lower than the last year in spite of higher beam current was available for the delivery. BLIP-targets had the problem of survivability.

## 4 REFERENCES

- [1] D. Raparia, *et. al.*, "Proposal for Reduction of Transverse Emittance of BNL 200 MeV linac", Proceedings of Linac 2004, Lubeck, Germany, 2004
- [2] D. Raparia, *et. al.*, "Results of LEBT/MEBT Reconfiguration at BNL 200 MeV Linac", Proceedings of PAC09, Vancouver, Canada.
- [3] D. Raparia, *et. al.*, "Low and Medium Energy Beam Transport Upgrade at BNL 200 MeV Linac", Proceeding of Linac 2010, Tsukuba, Japan
- [4] D. Raparia, *et. al.*, "Changes in LEBT/MEBT at the BNL 200 MeV Linac" Proceedings of PAC11, New York, USA