BNL-105973-2014-CP

CdTeSe1-x: A potential candidate for room-temperature radiation detector applications

Utpal Roy

A. Bolotnikov, G. Camarda, Y. Cui, A. Hossain, G. Yang, R. Tappero, R. James

August 2014

Nonproliferation and National Security

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

Office of Nonproliferation and Verification Research and Development

Notice: This manuscript has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the manuscript for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

$\text{CdTe}_x\text{Se}_{1-x}$: A potential candidate for room-temperature radiation detector applications

U. N. Roy, A. E. Bolotnikov, G. S. Camarda, Y. Cui, A. Hossain, G.
Yang, R. Tappero and R. B. James

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Office of
Science

Introduction: Why do we search for an alternate material to CdZnTe?

CdZnTe suffers from three major detrimental defects that limit the charge transport properties and their non-uniformity

- **Non-unity segregation coefficient of Zn (~ 1.35) in CdTe matrix results in a compositional gradient along the ingot, hence limiting the thickness of detectors and lowering the production yield of useful detector material.**
- **Presence of a large concentration of sub-grain boundaries and their networks. These defects also lower the $\mu\tau$ product, as well as impose a spatial non-uniformity in the charge transport properties that reduces the energy resolution of the device.**
- **Presence of large amounts of Te inclusions/precipitates (on the order of $2\text{-}8 \times 10^5 / \text{cm}^3$ with a diameter larger than $\sim 2 \mu\text{m}$). These secondary phases have a deleterious effect on the $\mu\tau$ product and create non-uniformities in the charge transport properties and electric field inside the devices that cannot be corrected electronically.**

Comparison of CdTeSe and CdZnTe

Material Properties	Segregation coefficient	Te-inclusions /precipitations (/cm ³)	Sub-grain boundary network	Charge transport	Etchpit density (/cm ²)
CdZnTe	~ 1.35 for Zn	2-8x10 ⁵	High density	High $\mu\tau$	~ 10 ⁵
CdTeSe	~0.98 for Se	-----	Almost free (solution hardening effect of Se is more effective)	Effective electron mass is lower than CdTe: higher $\mu\tau$ is expected	~10 ⁴

From the stand point of segregation coefficient and defect densities, CdTeSe has tremendous potential for room-temperature semiconductor detectors as an lower-cost replacement for CZT.

Growth of CdTeSe

- 6N purity CdSe and CdTe were taken for the initial charge
- The compound was synthesized and purified by sublimation
- In was used as the compensating dopant for achieving high resistivity
- Ampoule diameter used was 22-mm ID

Various crystals were grown by the vertical Bridgman as well as THM technique with 5, 7 and 10% Se composition.

- For THM, the growth temperature and growth rate were 850 °C and ~3 mm/day, respectively.
- For Bridgman growth the growth rate used was ~3 cm/day

CTS ingots grown by Bridgman and THM

CTS ingot grown by THM

Lapped wafer

IR transmission image

Typical THM grown CTS ingot

Lapped wafer

IR transmission image

Compositional homogeneity (Bridgman grown CTS)

Se composition measured by EDS for typical CTS ingot with nominal concentration of 5% Se grown by the Bridgman technique

Very high compositional homogeneity for the CTS ingots, similar radial compositional homogeneity was also observed for THM grown CTS ingots

Cd L α_1 map

Te L α_1 map

Se K α map

X-ray fluorescence elemental map for Cd L α_1 , Te L α_1 and Se K α line of Bridgman grown CdTe_{0.95}Se_{0.05} wafer

X-ray diffraction topography of CTS

Lapped wafer

X-ray diffraction topography of the wafer

Bridgman grown $\text{CdTe}_{0.95}\text{Se}_{0.05}$ wafer

Lapped wafer along the length of the ingot

X-ray diffraction topography of the grains shown by the arrows

Diffraction topographs showing $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^2$ areas of detector-grade CZT samples supplied by seven different vendors.

A. E. Bolotnikov et al. J. Cryst. Growth 379 (2013) 46.

X-ray diffraction topography of CTS

- Highly reduced sub-grain boundary
- Almost stress-free ingot
- No sub-grain boundary network
- No mosaic structure
- No cell structures

Our etch-pit study also showed absence of sub-grain boundary network

Se in CTS is indeed is very effective in solid solution hardening in arresting the formation of sub-grain boundary networks compared to Zn in CZT.

Concentration and size distribution of secondary phase in BM grown CTS

Size distribution of secondary phases over 1.1x1.5x8mm³ of Bridgman grown CdTe_{0.9}Se_{0.1}. Total average concentration is 3-7 x10⁴ cm⁻³.

Concentration and size distribution of secondary phases in THM as-grown CTS

Size distribution of secondary phases over $1.1 \times 1.5 \times 6.65 \text{ mm}^3$ of as-grown $\text{CdTe}_{0.9}\text{Se}_{0.1}$ by THM. Total average concentration is $2\text{-}7 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

Average concentration of secondary phases is roughly one order of magnitude less than conventional CZT

Phase diagram of CdTe and CZT

T-X projection of CdTe solidus

Taken from Greenberg, J. Cryst. Growth 161 (1996) 1.

Material	Temperature (°C)	x_s at% of Te
CdTe	~ 900	~ 50.014
$\text{Cd}_{0.9}\text{Zn}_{0.1}\text{Te}$	~ 900	~ 50.052
$\text{Cd}_{0.85}\text{Zn}_{0.15}\text{Te}$	~ 900	~ 50.1

We believe that in CTS, the bulging of the retrograde solubility curve is reduced compared to CZT

T-X projection of $\text{Cd}_{0.9}\text{Zn}_{0.1}\text{Te}$ and $\text{Cd}_{0.85}\text{Zn}_{0.15}\text{Te}$ solidus

Taken from Greenberg and Guskov, J. Cryst. Growth 289 (2006) 552.

Theoretical prediction of Te inclusions on device performance and our measured values

The average concentration is roughly one order of magnitude lower than commercial CZT available today.

Concentration and size distribution of secondary phase in CTS (10% Se) grown by a) Bridgman and b) THM technique

Important Point: The distribution (size and concentration) of inclusions in CTS may have no adverse effect on detector performance.

FWHM (%) at 662 keV, of the cumulative effect of Te inclusions to energy resolution (after bi-parametric correction) versus their concentration calculated for 1-, 3-, 5-, 10-, and 20- μm inclusions. The detector's length is 15 mm.
A. E. Bolotnikov et al., [IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science](#) 54, 821 (2007).

Size distribution and concentration of secondary phases for standard CZT from different vendors.

A. E. Bolotnikov et al., [IEEE Trans. on Nucl. Science](#) 57 (2010) 910.

Charge transport and device characteristics of THM grown CTS

I-V characteristics of CTS (10%Se) as-grown sample

Alpha response from an Am-241 source for CTS (10% Se) sample grown at BNL. Sample size: 5.5x5.5x2 mm³

Mobility-lifetime plot for CTS (10%Se) sample
Sample size: 5.5x5.5x2 mm³

Charge transport and device characteristics of THM grown CTS

I-V characteristics of CTS (5%) as-grown sample

a

b

Mobility-lifetime plot for CTS (5%) sample. a) For alpha-particle line, and b) for gamma-ray line of ^{241}Am source.

Sample size: $6.5 \times 6 \times 1.8 \text{ mm}^3$

Charge transport and device characteristics of THM-grown CTS (continued)

Room temperature detector response of the same planar detector for the gamma lines of ^{241}Am source. The resistivity of the sample is $4\text{-}5 \times 10^9$ ohm-cm.

Comparison of CdTeSe and CdZnTe: Present status

Material Properties	Segregation coefficient	Te-inclusions /precipitations (/cm ³)	Sub-grain boundary network	Charge transport	Etchpit density (/cm ²)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)
CdZnTe	~ 1.35 for Zn	2-8x10 ⁵	High density	High $\mu\tau$	~ 10 ⁵	2-4x10 ¹⁰
CdTeSe	~0.98 for Se	-----	Almost free (solution hardening effect of Se is more effective)	Effective electron mass is lower than CdTe: higher $\mu\tau$ is expected	~10 ⁴	~ 7x10 ⁹
CdTeSe (our result)	≈ 1	2-7x10 ⁴	Almost free from sub- grain boundary network	Fairly high $\mu\tau$	10 ⁴ -10 ⁵	~ 4-5x10 ⁹

CdTeSe has tremendous potential for room-temperature semiconductor detectors as a lower-cost replacement for CZT, however more effort to increase the resistivity is required.

Summary of observation

- The effective segregation coefficient of Se is ≈ 1 : very high compositional uniformity throughout the ingot.
- Se solid solution hardening is efficient in arresting the sub-grain boundary and their network.
- Se has a strong effect in reducing the dislocation density (etch-pit density), which might be due to effective solid solution hardening.
- Se is possibly responsible in reducing the bulging of the retrograde solidus line near stoichiometry and effective in reducing the concentration of secondary phases by an order of magnitude compared to conventional CZT.
- Obtained fairly high $\mu\tau$ value for as-grown CTS by THM.
- Effort is being made to increase the resistivity of as-grown CTS.

Very high compositional uniformity, absence of sub-grain boundary network, and one order of magnitude less concentration of secondary phases are very encouraging for the future of CTS for both substrate and detector applications.

Acknowledgement

*This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy,
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D.*

Thank you for your attention!