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Abstract We report a size-controllable synthesis of monodisperse core/shell Ni/FePt nanoparticles (NPs) via a seed-mediated growth and their subsequent conversion to Ni/Pt NPs. Preventing surface oxidation of the Ni seeds is essential for the growth of uniform FePt shells. These Ni/FePt NPs have a thin (∼1 nm) FePt shell, and can be converted to Ni/Pt by acetic acid wash to yield active catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). Tuning the core size allow for optimization of their electrocatalytic activity. The specific activity and mass activity of 4.2 nm/0.8 nm core/shell Ni/FePt reach 1.95 mA/cm² and 490 mA/mgPt at 0.9 V (vs. reversible hydrogen electrode, RHE), which are much higher than those of benchmark commercial Pt catalyst (0.34 mA/cm² and 92 mA/mgPt at 0.9 V). Our studies provide a robust approach to monodisperse core/shell NPs with non-precious metal core, making it possible to develop advanced NP catalysts with ultralow Pt content for ORR and many other heterogeneous reactions.

Precise control of Pt-based nanoparticle (NP) architecture at the atomic level has been demonstrated as an important approach to highly efficient catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), a key cathodic reaction in polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells and metal-air batteries.1,2 This control over NP sizes,3,4 shapes,5-14 alloy compositions15-17 and intermetallic structures18-22 has provided model catalysts for understanding the correlation of NP’s architecture to the desired catalytic properties of high activity, durability and selectivity. For example, alloying Pt with early transition metals, such as Ni, Co, Fe, can down-shift the d-band center of Pt, producing catalytic surfaces which weakly bind oxygenated intermediates, resulting in higher ORR activity.1,6,14 Furthermore, by tailoring the Pt alloy/intermetallic NPs’ shapes and compositions, their ORR efficiency can be enhanced by surface geometric and strain effects.13,18,21 Despite these advances in NP synthesis, elemental Pt and Pt alloy/intermetallic NPs still suffer from the low-utilization of Pt, as the majority of Pt atoms are trapped in the interior of NPs and are not exposed to reactants.

Fabricating core/shell nanostructures with Pt atoms only positioned in a thin shell (<2 nm) is a promising strategy to increase the Pt surface exposure as well as to enhance the Pt activity for ORR through core/shell interaction.25,26 Herein, we present the synthesis of monodisperse core/shell NPs with a thin FePt shell (<1 nm) surrounding a Ni core and demonstrate their subsequent conversion to Ni/Pt core/shell NPs. In previous studies of core/shell NPs, one often prepares them by growing Pt or Pt alloy onto non-Pt seeds through seed-mediate growth25-27 or selective galvanic replacement.5-7. But the choice of NP seed (core) materials used thus far has always been precious metals such as Au, Pd, and their alloys, as these Au, Pd-related cores have the stable metallic nature and the minimal crystal lattice mismatches with Pt. However, it would be preferable to choose a non-precious metal as the core, ideally Ni, Co or Fe. Considering the NPs of Ni, Co, Fe are subject to rapid surface oxidation, we envisioned that the surface oxidation of these seeds might interfere with the growth of uniform Pt or Pt alloy shell around them. Using the Ni NP seeds protected from oxidation, we obtained monodisperse core/shell Ni/FePt NPs with a thin FePt shell (∼1 nm) (Scheme 1). Conversely, the surface oxidized Ni seeds led exclusively to the separated FePt NPs. The Ni/FePt NPs were surface-activated and transformed to Ni/Pt by acetic acid wash, becoming a highly active catalyst for ORR. The catalytic activity of Ni/Pt was optimized by tuning Ni core size from 4.2 to 9 nm. The specific activity and mass activity of 4.2 nm/0.8 nm core/shell Ni/FePt reached 1.95 mA/cm² and 490 mA/mgPt at 0.9 V (vs. reversible hydrogen electrode, RHE), while those of commercial Pt catalyst are only 0.34 mA/cm² and 92 mA/mgPt at 0.9 V.

Ni/FePt NPs were synthesized by the reduction of platinum acetylacetonate (Pt(acac)2) and the thermal decomposition of iron pentacarbonyl (Fe(CO)5) in the presence of Ni NPs. (Supporting Information). In the synthesis, the monodisperse Ni NPs were made by modifying a previously reported method.18 These Ni NPs were stabilized by a surfactant mixture of trioctylphosphine (TOP) (or tributylphosphine (TBP)) and oleylamine which bound to the surface Ni atoms while leaving Ni in its metallic zero-valence state. By changing the choice of surfactants (TOP or TBP) and molar ratio of the Ni precursor (Ni(acac)3)/surfactant, the sizes of Ni NPs were readily tuned to be 4.2 nm ± 0.2 nm, 7.4 nm ± 0.3 nm and 9 nm ± 0.5 nm, as shown in the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Figure 1A-C). To protect the Ni NPs from oxidation, we synthesized the NPs using Schlenk technique under static N2 atmosphere and purified with degassed and dried solvents in N2-filled glovebox. Using these size-tunable Ni seeds, the uniform coating of ∼1 nm FePt were achieved and NP’s sizes were increased to 5.8 nm ± 0.3 nm (4.2 nm Ni), 8.9 nm ± 0.4 nm
(7.4 nm Ni) and 10.6 nm ± 0.6 nm (9 nm Ni), respectively (Figure 1D–F). The monodisperse Ni/FePt NPs could be produced without any size screening processes, and could easily form large area superlattices due to their highly uniform sizes (Figure S1-3).

The control experiments demonstrated that suppressing the surface oxidation of Ni seeds was crucial for the uniform coating of FePt shell. If the Ni seeds purified under the ambient conditions (surface-oxidized) were used as seeds, the product was the mixture of self-nucleated 3-5 nm FePt NPs and Ni NPs which were unchanged in size (Figure S4). The dramatic difference in the NP growth may result from two effects related to Ni’s surface oxidation. Firstly, oxidized Ni surface (normally NiO) has a large crystal lattice mismatch with FePt and Pt. Secondly, the lattice difference and strain between Ni and FePt can be buffered by the alloying of Ni and FePt in the interface which would not be possible with NiO surface.35 Apart from using the protected Ni seeds, the reaction conditions for FePt coating should also be adjusted to ensure the slow growth of FePt. Specifically, our method of using mixed surfactant of oleylamine and oleic acid is highly efficient in slowing the FePt nucleation/growth 39 and making FePt shell uniform. In contrast, if only oleic acid were used in the reaction, the FePt tended to burst-nucleate into separate 3 nm FePt NPs (Figure S5).

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the Ni and Ni/FePt NPs were shown in Figure 2A. The 4.2 nm Ni NPs show a poor crystallinity with a broadened peak close to (111) peak of face centered cubic (fcc) Ni.40 The 5.8 nm Ni/FePt based on 4.2 nm Ni also shows weak peak intensity in its XRD pattern and a down-shifted (111) peak located between standard (111) peak positions of fcc-Ni and fcc-FePt, which is similar to previously reported Au/FePt, Ag/Pt/FePt NPs.28, 31 But in the case of 8.9 nm Ni/FePt NPs, the (111) peaks of fcc-Ni and fcc-FePt can be readily distinguished due to the improved crystallinity with larger NP’s size, which clearly demonstrates the Ni core is present in the Ni/FePt NPs. The as-prepared Ni/FePt NPs were also characterized with aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) and STEM-electron energy-loss spectroscopy (STEM-EELS). A bright ~1 nm shell can be visualized in the high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) image of the NPs due to the higher Z-contrast of Pt compared to Ni (Figure 2B & S6). Figure S6 and 2C are the STEM-EELS line scans of the representative 5.8 nm and 8.9 nm Ni/FePt NPs. It is clearly seen that Ni is located in the core and displays a spherical-like symmetry in 1-D elemental distribution, while Pt shows the typical shell-like distribution with a ≈1 nm shell thickness and a plateau over the core region.

Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) was used to characterize the compositions of the as-prepared Ni/FePt NPs. The 5.8 nm, 8.9 nm and 10.6 nm Ni/FePt NPs show the atomic compositions of Ni₃₋₅Pt₂Fe₁₇, Ni₁₋₃Pt₃Fe₁₁, and Ni₂Pt₉Fe₂₃ respectively, suggesting more Pt was needed to grow ~1 nm shell on larger Ni seeds (Table S1). Along with the formation of FePt shells, the surfactant bound to NPs also changed. The Ni NPs were surrounded by a large amount of TBP/TOP (Ni:P=70:30 in 4.2 nm Ni), as indicated by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum in Figure S7. But after coating with FePt, the P signal was undetectable in the EELS spectrum (Figure S8) (P Kα and Pt M peaks overlap in EDS). Clearly, the as-synthesized Ni/FePt NPs were stabilized by OAm/OAc.

To remove the surfactants of OAm/OAc surrounding NPs, the Ni/FePt NPs were deposited on Ketjen carbon (C) support (denoted as C-NPs) and then treated with glacial acetic acid (AA) at 70 °C for 24 hours (Supporting Information). This approach has been widely used to remove the hydrophobic OAm/OAc from other Pt-based NPs.32 Figure S9 shows the typical TEM images of the Ni/FePt NPs before and after the AA wash. NP morphology is maintained after the AA wash, indicating the Ni core is stabilized by the uniform Pt-rich shell. The preservation of Ni core after AA treatment was also validated by the XRD pattern of AA-treated 8.9 nm Ni/FePt supported on C (Figure 2A). Correspondingly, the Ni/Pt atomic ratio was only slightly decreased in all Ni/FePt NPs after AA treatment (Table S1). But the most of Fe located in the shell were lost, making Fe atomic content in FePt less than 5% in the AA-treated NPs (We still use the Ni/FePt in the following description for consistency). We also characterized the AA-treated C-NPs by using STEM, STEM-EELS line scan on the AA-treated 5.8 nm and 8.9 nm NPs further confirmed the Ni/Pt core/shell structures in both NP samples (Figure 2D & S10).
The AA-treated C-NPs and commercial Pt catalyst (2.5-3.5 nm Pt particles on C, Fuel Cells Store, denoted as Comm-Pt) were dispersed in the mixture of isopropanol and water containing Nafton under sonication. The catalyst ink was transferred onto a glassy carbon surface of the rotating disk electrode (RDE), forming an electrode decorated by a thin film of catalyst for electrochemical testing. Figure S11 shows the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of Comm-Pt and three different sizes of Ni/FePt NPs with the similar Pt weight loading in the N$_2$-saturated 0.1 M HClO$_4$. All the catalysts showed the typical hydrogen underpotential formation/stripping peaks ($\Delta H_{UPF}$) in the potential range of 0.05 to 0.35 V (vs. RHE), the integral areas of which were used to estimate the electrochemically active surface areas (ECASA) of the catalysts. With the similar Pt weight loading, the smaller NP size yielded larger ECASA. The catalysts also exhibited Pt metal oxidation/reduction peaks in the potential range of 0.6-1.06 V (vs. RHE).
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**Figure 3.** (A) ORR polarization curves of the Comm-Pt, 5.8 nm Ni/FePt, 8.9 nm Ni/FePt and 10.6 nm Ni/FePt catalysts; (B) The mass and specific activities the Comm-Pt, 5.8 nm Ni/FePt, 8.9 nm Ni/FePt and 10.6 nm Ni/FePt catalysts at 0.9 V (vs. RHE); (C) ORR polarization curves of the 5.8 nm Ni/FePt catalyst before and after stability test of 10000 potential scans; (D) TEM image of the 5.8 nm Ni/FePt catalyst after stability test of 10000 potential scans.

The Ni/FePt NPs with the different core sizes and the same shell thickness were studied for ORR catalysis. Figure 3A shows the ORR polarization curves of the Ni/FePt core/shell NPs and Comm-Pt NPs in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M HClO$_4$ with the electrode rotation rate of 1600 rpm. Each curve contains the diffusion-limited current region from 0.26 to ~0.65 V and the mixed kinetic–diffusion control region from ~0.65 to ~1 V. All the Ni/FePt NPs show the ORR curves positively shifted relative to Comm-Pt, indicating the Ni/FePt NPs have the higher activities than Comm-Pt. The best half-wave potential ($E_{1/2}$) occurs in 5.8 nm Ni/FePt (0.913 V), higher than that of 8.9 nm Ni/FePt (0.901 V), 10.6 nm Ni/FePt (0.888 V) and Comm-Pt catalyst (0.865 V). The specific activities and mass activities of the catalysts were extracted by normalizing their ORR kinetic current densities over ECASA and Pt mass, respectively. At 0.9 V (vs. RHE), three sizes of the Ni/FePt NPs exhibited similar specific activities in the range of 1.95-2.18 mA/cm$^2$, while the Comm-Pt had a specific activity of only 0.34 mA/cm$^2$ (Figure 3B). This indicates that Pt’s inherent surface activity is dramatically enhanced due to the core/shell structure. According to the previous theoretical and experimental reports, the Pt surface energetics can be tuned by the core when the Pt shell is very thin (<2 nm). Since the Ni is one of the most effective metals to optimize the Pt d-band center through electronic and strain effects, the ~1 nm Pt shell supported by Ni core becomes highly active in catalyzing ORR. Ni/FePt NPs exhibited core size-dependant mass activities at 0.9 V in the order of 5.8 nm (490 mA/mgPt) > 8.9 nm (328 mA/mgPt) > 10.6 nm (242 mA/mgPt), which was consistent with the ECASA trend of the Ni/FePt NPs (Figure 3B). All the Ni/FePt NP catalysts had mass activities higher than Comm-Pt at 0.9 V (92 mA/mgPt) with the 5.8 nm one exceeding the 2017 DOE target for an ORR catalyst (440 mA/mgPt).

We also investigated the catalytic stability of Ni/FePt NPs by cycling them in the potential range of 0.66 to 1.06 V (vs. RHE) in the O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M HClO$_4$. After 10000 sweeps, we observed no change in NP morphology (Figure 3D). This provides further evidence that the compact ~1 nm Pt shell can protect the Ni core. Moreover, no obvious shift in ORR polarization curve was found after stability test on 5.8 nm Ni/FePt, suggesting the Ni/FePt NPs were highly stable under the ORR condition (Figure 3C).

This communication presents the synthesis of monodisperse Ni/FePt and their conversion to Ni/Pt core/shell NPs with high catalytic activity and durability for ORR. The core/shell Ni/FePt NPs were synthesized by a solution-phase seed-mediated growth where the FePt shell thickness was ~1 nm and the core size was tuned from 4.2 nm to 9 nm. Carefully minimizing surface oxidation of Ni seeds was crucial for the core/shell formation - only Ni protected from oxidation led to uniform FePt coating. The Ni/FePt NPs were converted to Ni/Pt after acetic acid wash, resulting in an active catalyst enhanced for ORR. Their ORR catalytic efficiency could be further improved by tuning NP’s core size. The best 5.8 nm Ni/FePt was a highly active and durable catalyst with the mass activity and specific activity reaching 1.95 mA/cm$^2$ and 490 mA/mgPt at 0.9 V, whereas the benchmark commercial Pt catalyst showed only 0.34 mA/cm$^2$ and 92 mA/mgPt. The work highlights the great potentials of core/shell NPs as highly efficient catalysts for ORR, which could be further generalized for many other heterogeneous catalytic reactions.
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