Magnetic field induced anisotropy of $^{139}$La spin-lattice relaxation rates in stripe ordered $\text{La}_{1.875}\text{Ba}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$
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We report $^{139}$La nuclear magnetic resonance studies performed on a $\text{La}_{1.875}\text{Ba}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$ single crystal. The data show that the structural phase transitions (high-temperature tetragonal $\rightarrow$ low-temperature orthorhombic $\rightarrow$ low-temperature tetragonal phase) are of the displacive type in this material. The $^{139}$La spin-lattice relaxation rate $T_1^{-1}$ sharply upturns at the charge-ordering temperature $T_{\text{CO}} = 54$ K, indicating that charge order triggers the slowing down of spin fluctuations. Detailed temperature and field dependencies of the $T_1^{-1}$ below the spin-ordering temperature $T_{\text{SO}} = 40$ K reveal the development of enhanced spin fluctuations in the spin-ordered state for $H \parallel [001]$, which are completely suppressed for large fields along the CuO$_2$ planes. Our results shed light on the unusual spin fluctuations in the charge and spin stripe ordered lanthanum cuprates.

Numerous diffraction experiments have established the unidirectional spin/charge stripe model in the single-layer lanthanum-based cuprates, $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{(Ba,Sr)}_x\text{CuO}_4$ and $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{M}_x\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_4$ ($M = \text{Nd, Eu}$). The simple stripe picture, however, misses the leading electronic instability of stripe order and its relation to superconductivity. For example, it is largely unclear how charge order preceding spin order evolves to uniaxially modulated charge/spin stripe order.

X-ray diffraction experiments in high magnetic fields have shown that charge order is enhanced when superconductivity is suppressed by the magnetic field. However, in 1/8 doped $\text{La}_{1.875}\text{Ba}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$ where the stripe order is most stable and bulk superconductivity is absent already in the zero field, high magnetic fields have little effect on the charge order. Not much is known about anisotropic effects of magnetic fields applied along [001] and [100]. Measurements of the static susceptibility indicate that the spin order is stabilized for high magnetic fields $H \parallel [100]$. Furthermore, a spin flop occurs at a magnetic field $H \geq 6$ T along this direction. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) evidences unusual glassy spin fluctuations (SFs) below the spin-ordering temperature, but whether these spin fluctuations are related to charge order and whether there are anisotropy effects are not known.

Another issue of current research is the coupling of the charge order to the lattice. It is widely believed that the low-temperature orthorhombic (LTO) $\rightarrow$ low-temperature tetragonal (LTT) structural phase transition has a profound effect on the stabilization of static charge/spin order which then suppresses superconductivity. Recent studies show that long range LTT ordering may not be essential for stripe order, but that local distortions may be enough to pin charge order. This indicates that the coupling mechanism among the lattice, spin/charge stripes, and superconductivity is far more complex and remains to be fully understood.

NMR is an ideal technique to investigate such a complex coupling mechanism, because it probes the local spin/charge environment surrounding a nucleus, in particular, low-frequency spin fluctuations associated with various phase transitions. Since the $^{63}$Cu is too strongly influenced by the Cu moments leading to the wipeout of the NMR signal, the $^{139}$La nucleus is better suited to investigate the stripe phase and the structural phase transitions (SPTs). Here, we show by means of $^{139}$La NMR that additional spin fluctuations develop in the spin-ordered state. These SFs are strongly anisotropic in large magnetic fields: applied along the CuO$_2$ planes, the large magnetic fields lead to a suppression of these additional SFs, and static hyperfine fields lead to a broadening and loss of the $^{139}$La signal intensity. In contrast, magnetic fields perpendicular to the CuO$_2$ planes have a weak effect on the spin fluctuations, and an additional relaxation mechanism enhances the $^{139}$La nuclear spin-lattice relaxation. The observed anisotropy goes along with the enhanced spin order for a magnetic field parallel to the CuO$_2$ planes.

Our experiments also allowed for a detailed look at the local crystal structure, which has been the subject of a long debate. We find that structural phase transitions in $\text{La}_{1.875}\text{Ba}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$ are of the displacive type, locally probing the average structure given by diffraction studies.

The $\text{La}_{1.875}\text{Ba}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$ (LBCO:1/8) single crystal was grown with the traveling solvent floating zone method described in Ref. The sample was accurately aligned along the magnetic field using a goniometer. $^{139}$La (nuclear spin $I = 7/2$) NMR spectra were obtained by sweeping frequency at a fixed external field ($H$) in the temperature ($T$) range 10 $\rightarrow$ 300 K. Spin-echo signals each were taken by shifting 50 kHz and their Fourier-transformed spectra were summed up to give rise to the full spectrum. Since the range of the sweeping frequency is quite narrow, i.e., much less than 2% of the Larmor frequency, the frequency correction was not made.
The spin-lattice relaxation rates $T_1^{-1}$ were measured at the central transition $(+1/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2)$ of $^{139}$La by monitoring the recovery of the echo signal after a saturating single $\pi/2$ pulse which, depending on the experimental conditions, ranges from 2 to 4 $\mu$s. When the $^{139}$La spectral width becomes broader at low temperatures, we carefully carried out the $T_1$ measurements to avoid any spectral diffusion. Then the following formula was used to fit the relaxation data to obtain $T_1^{-1}$:

$$1 - \frac{M(t)}{M(\infty)} = a \left( \frac{1}{84} e^{-(t/T_1)^\beta} + \frac{3}{44} e^{-(6t/T_1)^\beta} + \frac{75}{364} e^{-(15t/T_1)^\beta} + \frac{1225}{1716} e^{-(28t/T_1)^\beta} \right),$$

where $M$ is the nuclear magnetization and $a$ is a fitting parameter that is ideally one. $\beta$ is the stretching exponent, which becomes less than unity when $T_1^{-1}$ is spatially distributed, for example, in a spin glass.$^{15,28}$

Figure 1 shows the $^{139}$La NMR central transition $(1/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2)$ as a function of $T$ obtained at $H = 10.7$ T applied along the crystallographic directions $[001]$ and $[100]$ of the high-temperature tetragonal (HTT) unit cell. Different colors of spectra denote different structural phases. For $H \parallel [001]$, the $^{139}$La NMR line is quite narrow (full width at half maximum $\sim 30$ kHz) and almost independent of $T$ in the HTT phase. Just below the HTT $\rightarrow$ LTO transition temperature $T_{\text{HT}}$, the $^{139}$La line undergoes an anomalous change and, upon further cooling, continues to broaden and shift to lower frequency. The $T$ evolution of the $^{139}$La resonance frequency $\nu_0$ through $T_{\text{HT}}$ can be explained in terms of a second-order quadrupole shift which depends on the angle between the principal axis of the electric field gradient (i.e., the axis of $V_{zz}$) and $H$:

$$\nu_0 = \gamma_n (1 + \kappa) H + \frac{15\nu_Q^2}{16\nu_0} \left[ 1 - \cos^2(\theta \pm \alpha) \right] \left[ 1 - 9 \cos^2(\theta \pm \alpha) \right],$$

where $\gamma_n$ is the nuclear gyromagnetic ratio, $\kappa$ is the Knight shift, $\nu_Q$ is the quadrupole frequency, $\theta$ is the angle between $[001]$ and $H$, and $\alpha$ is the tilt angle of the $\text{CuO}_6$ octahedra with respect to $[001]$. Since $\theta = 0$ for $H \parallel [001]$ and $\kappa$ for $^{139}$La is very small in La-based cuprates,$^{24}$ the abrupt decrease of $\nu_0$ below $T_{\text{HT}}$ indicates that $\alpha$ of the second term in Eq. (2) becomes non-zero and gradually increases with decreasing $T$ in the LTO phase. The much larger linewidth in the LTT phase is then ascribed to local tilt disorder, i.e., a spatial distribution of $\alpha$.

This is strong evidence that the HTT $\rightarrow$ LTO transition can be described as a transition from flat $\text{CuO}_2$ planes in the HTT phase to a phase with tilted $\text{CuO}_6$ octahedra.$^{24}$ Note that we do not observe LTT-type tilt fluctuations persisting through the transitions, as has been found recently by a combination of neutron powder diffraction and inelastic neutron scattering,$^{28}$ most likely due to the different time scales of neutron-scattering and NMR experiments. For NMR linewidth measurements, fluctuations on a $10^{-2}$ ms timescale are already enough to average out the effects on the resonance lines.

However, our results are in agreement with the average structure from conventional diffraction studies$^{30-33}$ rather than the local structure model which proposes an order-disorder type transition.$^{31,33}$ In the average structure model there is no tilt of the $\text{CuO}_6$ octahedra in the HTT phase, and the HTT $\rightarrow$ LTO transition is determined basically by the tilt angle of the octahedra. At the LTO $\rightarrow$ LTT transition, the tilt axis rotates by 45$^\circ$ in this model. On the other hand, in the local structure model the LTO structure is built up from the coherent spatial superposition of local LTT structures. Here, the tilt axis does not rotate at the LTO $\rightarrow$ LTT transition, and does not vanish at the HTT $\rightarrow$ LTO transition, which is not consistent with our data. Note, however, that for $H \parallel [100]$ ($\theta = 90^\circ$), the quadrupole broadening is very
large and obscures the tilting effect at $T_{HT}$. On the other hand, through the LTO $\rightarrow$ LTT transition at $T_{LT}$, only for $H \parallel [100]$ is a clear anomaly observed. This observation as well is consistent with the average structure model. As illustrated in Fig. 1(c), when $H \parallel [100]$, the rotation of the octahedral tilt direction below $T_{LT}$ should lead to a change of the direction of $V_{zz}$ with respect to $H$. This is different from the case of $H \parallel [001]$ where $\alpha$ remains the same. We conclude that all structural phase transitions in Ba-doped La$_2$CuO$_4$ are in agreement with the average structure model.

The dynamic properties of the structural phase transitions and, in particular, of the spin fluctuations in the stripe ordered phase can be probed by the $^{139}$La spin lattice relaxation rate $T_1^{-1}$. Figure 2(a) shows $T_1^{-1}$ as a function of $T$ at $H = 10.7$ T applied along [001] and [100], revealing sharp anomalies at $T_{HT}$ and $T_{LT}$ regardless of the field orientation. While the sharp peak at $T_{HT}$ represents the thermodynamic critical mode associated with the HTT $\rightarrow$ LTO transition, the rapid upturn at $T_{LT}$ is most likely not caused by the LTO $\rightarrow$ LTT transition itself, because $T_1^{-1}$ is expected to drop sharply below $T_{LT}$, as was detected in La$_{1.88}$Eu$_{0.2}$Sr$_2$CuO$_4$ (LESCO)\cite{12,13}. Indeed, a close look at Fig. 2(b) implies that the rapid upturn of $T_1^{-1}$ by up to three orders of magnitude is most likely due to the spin ordering at $40$ K. The $T_1^{-1}$ upturn starts at the charge ordering temperature\cite{29} $T_{SO} \sim T_{LT} \sim 54$ K suggesting that the charge ordering triggers the critical slowing down of SFs toward spin ordering\cite{13,40}.

Further, in Fig. 2(b) the field dependence of $T_1^{-1}$ reveals interesting features in the stripe phase. In the temperature range $T_{SO} < T \leq T_{CO}$, despite the huge enhancement of $T_1^{-1}$ by more than three orders of magnitude, $T_1^{-1}(T)$ is independent of orientation and strength of $H$. This suggests that the spin fluctuations are still isotropic and independent of $H$ above $T_{SO}$, consistent with spin fluctuations of a two-dimensional (2D) quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet or an effective spin-liquid state\cite{31}. On the other hand, the static susceptibility indicates that the spin dimensionality is already effectively reduced from 2D Heisenberg to 2D XY below $T_{CO}$\cite{30}. However, once the spins are ordered below $T_{SO} = 40$ K, $T_1^{-1}(T)$ also reveals a strongly anisotropic field dependence.

At $10.7$ T $\parallel [100]$, $T_1^{-1}(T)$ displays the expected behavior for slow spin dynamics driven by conventional antiferromagnetic (AFM) correlations with a glassy nature: on the low temperature side, $T_1^{-1}$ decreases steeply consistent with a conventional Bloembergen, Purcell, and Pound (BPP) mechanism\cite{11,12}. In contrast, for small fields parallel to [100] as well as for all studied fields $H \parallel [001]$, the relaxation rate remains significantly enhanced below $T_{SO}$. This enhanced relaxation has already been observed in the stripe ordered phase of L(E)SCO, and led the authors to modify or even abandon the simple BPP model\cite{11,12,14,15}. Our results show that the spin-lattice relaxation deviates from the simple BPP model for low fields and for $H \parallel [001]$. A possible reason for this deviation is that the field along the planes stabilizes the spin order\cite{2}. Small fields or a field perpendicular to the planes allow for the peculiar spin fluctuations that lead to the enhanced spin lattice relaxation and deviation from the simple BPP model below $T_{SO}$, as will be discussed in detail below.
3 typical recovery curves and fits for $T^1$ present. However, not reflected in the distribution of spin lattice relaxation rates: $R_i = T_n^{-2} \sum \chi_i^{\prime \prime}(q, \omega_0)/\omega_n$, where $i = a, b, c$ represents one of the crystallographic axes, $\chi''$ is the imaginary part of the dynamical susceptibility, and $A_i$ is the hyperfine coupling constant. This notation emphasizes the fact that $T^1$ probes only the SFs perpendicular to the nuclear quantization axis, i.e., $(T^{-1})_{[100]} = R_a + R_b$ and $(T^{-1})_{[001]} = R_b + R_c$ for a given temperature. Above $T_{SO}$, our data indicate that $R_a = R_b = R_c$, i.e., isotropic hyperfine coupling and Heisenberg-type SFs. Now, let us take two $T^{-1}$ values at 24 K and 10.7 K where $T^{-1}$ is different by more than an order of magnitude for the different field orientations [see Fig. 2(b)]. Then, we have $(R_a + R_b)_{[001]} \approx 10(R_b + R_c)_{[100]}$. With $R_a = R_b$ due to the macroscopic tetragonal symmetry with $H \parallel [001]$, we get $2(R_b)_{[001]} \approx 10(R_b + R_c)_{[100]}$. Therefore, no matter how small $R_c$ may be, $(R_b)_{[001]} \gg (R_b)_{[100]}$. In other words, a field parallel to [100] strongly suppresses all SFs, whereas the spin fluctuations parallel to the CuO$_2$ planes are not affected for $H \parallel [001]$. This is because the spins are confined to the CuO$_2$ planes at least below $T_{SO}$. Due to the strong AFM coupling, the spins orient perpendicular to the external magnetic field. For $H \parallel [001]$, they are already perpendicular, and thus the fluctuations parallel to the planes are not affected by $H \parallel [001]$, and $T^{-1}$ is enhanced. In contrast, a field $H \parallel [100]$ creates an in-plane anisotropy that tends to align the spins perpendicular to the field. Now, the spins cannot fluctuate as freely within the planes as for the zero field or as for $H \parallel [001]$, and the larger the applied magnetic field, the stronger is this effect.

Interestingly, we observed a small but clear anomaly at $H_s \approx 7$ T for $H \parallel [100]$, which is attributed to the spin flop transition. In the simple stripe picture, the direction of spins alternates between [100] and [010] in neighboring planes owing to the coupling to the LTT structure. For $H \parallel [100]$, spins along [010] are further stabilized, but those along [100] at first are destabilized when the field becomes of the order of the in-plane spin-wave gap. The consequence is a spin-flop transition at $H = H_{sf}$, where these spins change their direction from [100] to [010]. Right at $H_s \approx 7$ T, we indeed observe a local maximum in $T^{-1}$ for $H \parallel [100]$, which reflects the enhanced fluctuations of the destabilized spin sublattice. Upon further increasing $H > H_{sf}$, $T^{-1}$ decreases rapidly again reflecting the stabilized spin order, and indicating that now these spins are also stabilized in an in-plane direction perpendicular to the field.

Further evidence for a stabilization of the spin order for large fields parallel to [100] is provided by the strong
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anisotropy of the $^{139}$La signal intensity below the spin-ordering temperature $T_{SO}$. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the integrated NMR signal intensity $I_{int}$ for $H \parallel [100]$ is rapidly reduced below $T_{SO}$, in stark contrast to that for $H \parallel [001]$ which is constant or even appears to increase at low temperatures. Whereas the NMR intensity can be easily affected by the temperature-dependent gain arising from, e.g., the change of the $Q$ factor of the NMR circuit, the relative intensity at a given temperature should not. Therefore, the clearly different temperature dependence of $I_{int}$ for the two field orientations evidences the strong anisotropy of $I_{int}$ at low temperatures. Since the enhancement of the $^{139}$La signal intensity is unlikely intrinsic, the strong anisotropy is ascribed to the loss of $I_{int}$ for $H \parallel [100]$. This rapidly disappearing $^{139}$La signal intensity looks similar to the wipeout of the $^{63,65}$Cu spectra, which is caused by a dramatic shortening of the relaxation times ($T_2$ and $T_1$) due to a high spectral density of electronic fluctuations at the Larmor frequency. While this wipeout effect is not known to depend on the field orientation, the loss of the $^{139}$La signal intensity for $H \parallel [100]$ differs from that of the $^{63,65}$Cu spectra and may be caused by static internal hyperfine fields that mainly shift, and, due to a distribution of hyperfine fields, may also spread the $^{139}$La intensity over a broad frequency range. On the other hand, the significantly larger $T_1^{-1}$ below $T_{SO}$ for $H \parallel [001]$ [see Fig. 2(b)] indicates the persistence of strong spin fluctuations, which could induce incomplete spin ordering in this field direction. Then this naturally accounts for the strongly anisotropic $^{139}$La signal intensity below $T_{SO}$.

In summary, our NMR results reveal the displacive type of all structural phase transitions in La$_{1.875}$Ba$_{0.125}$CuO$_4$ and that the local structure is compatible with the average structure determined by diffraction experiments. The slowing down of AFM spin fluctuations below the LTO $\rightarrow$ LT transition is triggered by the concomitant onset of charge order. Below the spin ordering temperature, $T_{SO}$, we observed a strong anisotropy of the spin-lattice relaxation rate at large fields. With increasing field, the spin fluctuations are rapidly suppressed for $H \parallel [100]$, while they are weakly suppressed for $H \parallel [001]$. We conclude that the spin order is stabilized at large fields only for $H \parallel [100]$ involving the spin flop transition at $\sim 7$ T $\parallel [001]$. Our results resolve the reason for the deviations from the simple BPP model below the spin-ordering temperature.
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\caption{Detailed field dependence taken at a fixed temperature of 24 K [see the green vertical arrow in Fig. 2(b)] reveals that the $T_1^{-1}$ anisotropy rapidly increases with increasing $H$. Small anomaly at $\sim 7$ T is ascribed to the spin flop transition. The dotted and dashed lines are guides to the eye. The inset reveals that $\beta$ is almost independent of $H$.}
\end{figure}
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