



# Project 38 success requires ModSim

## David J. Mountain Advanced Computing Systems Research Program (ACS)

Laboratory for Physical Sciences, Research Park

davidjmountain@ieee.org





# Outline

# What the heck is Project 38?

How is Project 38 using ModSim?

Why is ModSim valuable?





# Project 38 is a team effort







# Background

September 2016 meeting(s) with HPC experts, held to discuss/respond to the June 2016 announcement of China's TaihuLight supercomputer, reached the following consensus (a report [1] is available):

- The HPC technology ecosystem is changing in ways that are less favorable to HPC
- There are national security implications to this change
- Leadership in innovative architectures is critical
- Joint architectural explorations might be useful and interesting

September 2017 technical deep dive plus follow on meetings, VTC, telecons Improved understanding of key applications Specialized architecture development process and examples Possible architectures and applications of interest Value proposition for collaborating Refinement of exploration space and exploration process

Joint explorations/collaborations on purpose built architectures have promise It requires a new approach to exploring and developing HPC systems Project 38 is an attempt to define this new approach

 $[1] https://www.nitrd.gov/nitrdgroups/images/b/b4/NSA\_DOE\_HPC\_TechMeetingReport.pdf$ 





# Project 38 at a glance

Project 38 is a set of vendor-agnostic architectural explorations involving NSA, the DOE Office of Science, and NNSA (these latter 2 organizations are referred to below as "DOE"). These explorations are expected to accomplish the following:

Near-term goal: Quantify the performance value and identify the potential costs of specific architectural concepts against a limited set of applications of interest to both the DOE and NSA.

Long-term goal: Develop an enduring capability for DOE and NSA to jointly explore architectural innovations and quantify their value.





# High level guidelines

Improvements in data access are the initial focus for architectural ideas and applications – primarily on the node

Cost-benefit analysis

Baseline comparison is to expected roadmaps/ECP<sup>+</sup> (business as usual) Primarily a performance comparison

"Cost" is adverse changes to programming models, SW stacks, etc.





# Milestones

- 2018 Quantify the benefits of the explorations ModSim is the primary exploration path
- 2019 Complete the existing explorations -- define the primary SW issues Document the results
- 2020 Improve cost-benefit analyses Push best ideas towards implementation





Tools





# **Application Kernels**

## 1D FFT

HPC Challenge benchmark

## Kripke

Kunen et al, "Kripke – A massively parallel transport mini-app", American Nuclear Society M&C, April 2015.

## HPGMG

Adams et al, "HPGMG 1.0: A benchmark for ranking high performance computing systems," Tech report, hpgmg.org, 2014.

## **Tensor Contraction Engine**

Baumgartner et al, "Synthesis of High-Performance Parallel Programs for a Class of Ab Initio Quantum Chemistry Models," Proceedings of the IEEE, Feb 2005.

## PIC codes, represented by PICSAR

picsar.net

## HipMer

Georganas et al, "MerBench: PGAS benchmarks for High Performance Genome Assembly," PAW17, November 2017.

## Sparse Matrix Trisolve and other common sparse matrix operations





# Architectural ideas



Sub-word granularity

Handles branch-heavy code (avg. 20x improvement over using processor core)



Stream Prefetc

#### Hardware Message Queues (with atomic queue/dequeue)

Gather-scatter between processor tiles Async between tiles to eliminate overhead of barriers





# ModSim tools used

Higher level architectural exploration







# More ModSim tools used

#### lower level design explorations **Empirical GPP Roofline on KNL**

#### **Performance Counters...**

- Full apps in distributed memory
- DRAM, Cache, FPU, IPC Performance Counters w/LIKWID, VTune, NVProf
- x86, KNL, NVIDIA GPU support
- **Analytic Modeling** 
  - Code Analysis: ExaSAT (code opt design space)
  - SRAM Model: Cacti and p-Cacti for sub-22nm
  - Microsoft Excel
- **Roofline Model** (+roofline advisor)
  - x86, KNL, and GPU support
  - Multilevel hierarchy, Multi-bottleneck analysis, stride-k acc divides....
- Simulation & Instrumentation...
  - Kernels (trade speed for detail)
  - Cache Simulator: SDE (Intel Advisor, x86)
  - Core: Chisel "Spike" simulator and Verilator
  - NOC Model: OpenSoC + BookSim for Parameter sweeps
- **Emulation (Chisel HW Generators)** 
  - FPGA and synthesis



**RISC-V** 

Open Source Extensible ISA/Cores

RISC·V

Re-implement processor

With different devices or

Extend w/accelerators

#### OpenSOC

Open Source fabric To integrate accelerators And logic into SOC



Platform for experimentation Parameterized hardware generation

#### DSL for rapid prototyping of circuits, systems, and arch simulator components



Back-end to synthesize HW with different devices Or new logic families

Chisel





# **Stencil Study** (effect of coherence and word granularity DMA on basic stencil performance)







# Scatter/Gather results

## Simple IPC Calculation Lots of caveats

## Effect 1: Reduce \$ Misses Assume covered L1 misses become hits 13-40% IPC improvement

## Effect 2: Integer offload

Assume half of address-calc integer operations are offloaded, require 0.1 cycles (vs. 0.4) 17-44% IPC Improvement

## Effect 3: Improved \$ performance

If covered cache accesses go to scratchpad, other accesses more efficient?







# Here's a great idea!

## Scatter/Gather

Could have a substantial positive impact on performance Possible to identify regions amenable to lots of in-memory operations, high reuse, good compaction Good progress on design (DRE work) – high feasibility

## Word-Granularity Scratchpad

Can reduce post-\$ accesses / make better use of cache Not useful for all apps (Kripke, XSBench?)

## Verdict: Combine them!

Focus on S/G Target Arch: S/G to/from scratchpad Option: w/ HW Synchronization Option: w/ Recoding engine

## **Combine!**







# High level results

Three Architectures

- Scatter-Gather: Good body of evidence for performance, programmability, good foundation for design
- Word-granularity Scratchpad: Some evidence for improved performance,
- programmability
- Atomics: Chicken-and-Egg, coherency issues, need better application drivers

Benefit	Scatter/Gather	Word-granularity Scratchpad
Kripke	Good >20%	Not Word-Gran
hpgmg	Good >15%	Ongoing
XSBench	Good >28%	Mild?





# Additional value of ModSim

Detailed knowledge transfer OCCAM

Extension of knowledge Classified/unclassified boundaries Proprietary/open boundaries





# Thanks!



Special thanks to Arun Rodrigues and John Shalf