Brookhaven National Laboratory - Core Facility Revitilization (CFR) Project Project Contingency Analysis - 8/19/2016

| No. | Risk Title | Probability of Event | | ost Impact Es otimistic, ML: N Pessimistic | lost Likely, P: | time Optimisti | lule Impa in months ic, ML: Mo Pessimis | s) O: ost Likely, | | Prob | ted Impact ability x Cost histic, ML: Mo Pessimis | st Likely, P: | Months) O: Optim | ighted Impact (Time in s) Probability x Duration ptimistic, ML: Most Likely, P: Pessimistic | | |
|-----|---|-------------------------|-------|--|-----------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|-----|-------|---|---------------|---------------------|--|-----|--|
| | | (percentage) | 0 | ML | Р | ο | ML | Ρ | | 0 | ML | Р | ο | ML | Р | |
| | | | | W | BS 1.0- C | Senera | al Pro | ject R | isk | s | | | | | | |
| 1 | Continuing Resolution Beyond Base Assumptions Cause Delays | 0.65 | \$0 | \$430 | \$860 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | \$0 | \$280 | \$559 | 0 | 0.65 | 1.3 | |
| 2 | Late Approval of Critical Decisions - BNL | 0.1 | \$0 | \$188 | \$376 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | \$0 | \$19 | \$38 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | |
| 3 | Late Approval of Critical Decisions - DOE | 0.1 | \$O | \$188 | \$376 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | \$0 | \$19 | \$38 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | |
| 4 | Funding Profile Changes | 0.25 | \$0 | \$430 | \$860 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | \$0 | \$108 | \$215 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | |
| 5 | Change in Management / BNL Project Personnel | 0.1 | \$0 | \$215 | \$430 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | | \$0 | \$22 | \$43 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.1 | |
| 6 | Inadequate BNL Resources | 0.1 | \$0 | \$215 | \$430 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | | \$0 | \$22 | \$43 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.1 | |
| | WBS 1.0 Totals | | \$0 | \$1,666 | \$3,332 | 0 | 5.0 | 10 | | \$0 | \$468 | \$935 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.4 | |
| | | | | WBS | 6 1.01 - P | roject | Desi | gn and | d E | ngine | ering | | | | | |
| 7 | Failure to Capture User Requirements | 0.2 | \$329 | \$873 | \$1,524 | 0.25 | 1 | 2 | | \$66 | \$175 | \$305 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.4 | |

| 7 | Failure to Capture User Requirements | 0.2 | \$329 | \$873 | \$1,524 | 0.25 | 1 | 2 | \$66 | \$175 | \$305 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
|----|--|------|---------|---------|----------|------|------|-----|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|
| 8 | Significant Increase in Project Scope (Creep)/Design Contingency | 0.75 | \$1,436 | \$2,872 | \$4,416 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | \$1,077 | \$2,154 | \$3,312 | 0.1875 | 0.375 | 0.75 |
| 9 | Failure to Comply with Code and Regulatory Requirements During Design | 0.2 | \$218 | \$436 | \$762 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | \$44 | \$87 | \$152 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 10 | Limited Competition results in higher than expected cost | 0.3 | \$1,107 | \$2,429 | \$3,752 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | \$332 | \$729 | \$1,125 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.3 |
| 11 | Design Estimate exceeds project budget | 0.2 | \$0 | \$188 | \$376 | 0 | 1 | 2 | \$0 | \$38 | \$75 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 12 | Increasing Cost Escalation Rates Results in Higher Construction Cost | 0.25 | \$443 | \$1,215 | \$2,429 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | \$111 | \$304 | \$607 | 0 | 0.0625 | 0.125 |
| | WBS 1.01 Totals | | \$3,533 | \$8,014 | \$13,259 | 0.75 | 3.8 | 7.5 | \$1,629 | \$3,486 | \$5,577 | 0.2875 | 1.1 | 2.175 |

| No. | Risk Title | Probability of Event | | | stimates (Use Aost Likely, P: | time Optimisti | in months c, ML: Mo Pessimis | s) O: st Likely, | |
|-----|------------|-------------------------|---|----|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | (percentage) | 0 | ML | Ρ | 0 | ML | Ρ | |

| Proba | ted Impact ability x Cost istic, ML: Mo Pessimis | st Likely, P: | Months) O: Optim | | y x Duration Most Likely, |
|-------|---|---------------|---------------------|----|------------------------------|
| 0 | ML | Р | 0 | ML | Р |

| | WBS 1.02 - Construction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|------|-------|---------|---------|------|------|------|--|-------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| 13 | User Generated Scope Changes During Construction | 0.9 | \$658 | \$1,094 | \$1,531 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | | \$592 | \$985 | \$1,378 | 0.45 | 0.9 | 1.35 |
| 14 | Design Errors and/or Omissions | 0.6 | \$329 | \$658 | \$1,094 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | | \$197 | \$395 | \$657 | 0.15 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| 15 | Failure to comply with OCMED / Safety Program requirements | 0.1 | \$0 | \$94 | \$188 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | | \$0 | \$9 | \$19 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| 16 | CM/GC Construction Contract Not Awarded | 0.05 | \$564 | \$752 | \$940 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | \$28 | \$38 | \$47 | 0.15 | 0.2 | 0.25 |
| 17 | Logistical issues with the isolation of existing building systems. | 0.6 | \$158 | \$315 | \$580 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | | \$95 | \$189 | \$348 | 0.15 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| 18 | Construction delays due to work in occupied areas of buildings. | 0.3 | \$158 | \$315 | \$473 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | | \$47 | \$95 | \$142 | 0.075 | 0.15 | 0.225 |
| 19 | Sub-contractor Default | 0.05 | \$188 | \$376 | \$564 | 1 | 2 | 3 | | \$9 | \$19 | \$28 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.15 |
| 20 | A/E failure to provide engineering support in a timely fashion | 0.1 | \$0 | \$108 | \$215 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | | \$0 | \$11 | \$22 | 0 | 0.025 | 0.05 |
| 21 | Unforeseen field conditions | 0.95 | \$265 | \$530 | \$795 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | | \$252 | \$504 | \$755 | 0.475 | 0.95 | 1.425 |
| 22 | Minor Event or Injury that does not trigger an investigation | 0.15 | \$0 | \$108 | \$215 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | | \$0 | \$16 | \$32 | 0 | 0.0375 | 0.075 |
| 23 | Significant Event or Injury that does trigger an investigation | 0.01 | \$108 | \$215 | \$430 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | | \$1 | \$2 | \$4 | 0.0025 | 0.005 | 0.01 |
| 24 | Construction delays attributable to BNL Management and/or policy changes | 0.25 | \$108 | \$215 | \$323 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | | \$27 | \$54 | \$81 | 0.0625 | 0.125 | 0.1875 |
| 25 | Failure to remove all known contaminated materials/equipment from work site prior to start of construction. (Legacy contamination)Unknown Hazardous Material | 0.75 | \$208 | \$415 | \$730 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | | \$156 | \$311 | \$548 | 0.1875 | 0.375 | 0.75 |

| No. | Risk Title | Probability of Event (percentage) | | ost Impact Es timistic, ML: M Pessimistic | lost Likely, P: | Schedule Impact (Use time in months) O: Optimistic, ML: Most Likely, P: Pessimistic | | | | Proba | ted Impact ability x Cost istic, ML: Mo Pessimis | st Likely, P: | Weighted Impact (Time in Months) Probability x Duration O: Optimistic, ML: Most Likely, P: Pessimistic | | |
|---------|---|---|---------|---|-----------------|--|------|------|--|---------|---|---------------|---|--------|-------|
| | | (percentage) | ο | ML | Ρ | 0 | ML | Ρ | | 0 | ML | Ρ | O: Months) Prof O: Optimistic, P: P: O 0 N 0 0 N 0 0 N 0 0 N 0 0 N 0 0 N 0 0 125 0 0.125 0. 61 0.125 0. 61 0.125 0. 61 0.125 0. 61 0.125 0. 61 0.125 0. 63 0.5 398 2.7 5 | ML | Р |
| 26 | Not Used | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | Construction Material / Raw Material Shortfall / Availability | 0.25 | \$265 | \$530 | \$795 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | | \$66 | \$133 | \$199 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.375 |
| 28 | Delays in procurement or the approval process | 0.25 | \$215 | \$430 | \$645 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | | \$54 | \$108 | \$161 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.375 |
| 29 | Building system performance, materials, or components do not meet design criteria. | 0.25 | \$215 | \$430 | \$645 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | | \$54 | \$108 | \$161 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.375 |
| 30 | Inclement Weather "Act of God" Natural Disasters | 0.95 | \$104 | \$208 | \$365 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.5 | | \$99 | \$197 | \$347 | 0.1188 | 0.2375 | 0.475 |
| 31 | Delays Due to Organized Labor | 0.5 | \$0 | \$108 | \$215 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | | \$0 | \$54 | \$108 | 0 | 0.125 | 0.25 |
| 32 | Claims & Disputes | 0.5 | \$242 | \$484 | \$726 | 1 | 2 | 3 | | \$121 | \$242 | \$363 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 |
| | | | \$3,782 | \$7,383 | \$11,468 | 9.125 | 17.5 | 27.0 | | \$1,797 | \$3,467 | \$5,398 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 9.1 |
| | Total | | \$7,315 | \$17,063 | \$28,059 | 9.9 | 26.3 | 44.5 | | \$3,427 | \$7,421 | \$5,398 | 5.03 | 7.9 | 13.7 |
| Total " | Total "Most Likely" Weighted Impact \$7,421 | | | | | | | | | | 7.9 | Months | | | |