PHENIX Beam Use Proposal for Runs 11 & 12

- PHENIX productivity
- Accomplishments in Run-10
- Upgrades for Run-11 Big drivers of our beam use proposal!
- Beam Use Proposal
 - Run-11

W asymmetry, heavy flavor in medium Flow/jet quenching excitation function U+U "engineering run" for event selection Run-12

Barbara Jacak for the PHENIX Collaboration Special thanks to Stefan Bathe (Run-10 Coordinator) <u>http://www.phenix.bnl.gov/WWW/publish/jacak/sp/presentations/BeamUse10/BUP10.pdf</u>

What does PHENIX do?

Our key physics goals



Establish nature of RHIC's new state of matter sensitive, rare probes: di-leptons, heavy flavor, jets Spin of the proton:

g, \overline{q} polarization & parton/nucleon spin correlation

• PHENIX philosophy

Rare process sensitivity High rate capability + selective triggers Precision measurement in multiple channels Incremental upgrades of capabilities

 Keep up with data analysis in parallel with: taking data constructing upgrades writing high impact papers (27 topcite 100+, 29 topcite 50+, and 3 with 49 citations)

How well do we do it?

- 88 papers published, 51 of them PRL's
- + one in proof, 6 in referee process

• 3 major archival papers within the last 12 months!





Recent scientific accomplishments

- Thermal radiation at RHIC PRL 104, 132301 (2009)
- Di-electrons in Au+Au & p+p PRC81, 034911 (2010)
- heavy flavor R_{AA} and v₂ 1005.1627
- γ-h and h-h correlations 1006.1347, 1002.1077
- J/ψ polarization *0912.2082*
- η, φ suppression 1005.4916, 1004.3532
- high p_T π⁰ v₂ PRC80, 054907 (2009), 1006.3740
- Meson systematics in p+p 1005.3674
- Charged hadron v₄, v₂ 1003.5586
- Helicity sorted jet k_T PRD81, 012002 (2010)



PHENIX is productive & educational

- 104 Ph.D's granted, to date
- 24 Masters' degrees
- >90 students currently working on PHENIX





<u>Milestone! PHENIX data rate >1 PB/year</u>



Production teams drawn from collaboration Run-10: Jeff Mitchell, Nathan Grau



Unprecedented data range & precision



So, how are we doing?

NB: Run 10 *just* ended, have *unprecedented* >1 *PB* in the "can"!

PHENIX physics in Run-10 : low mass dilepton excess J/ψ suppression excitation function for flow and jet quenching

But, we have analyzed Run-9...



Run-10 focus: Hadron Blind Detector



HBD response in Au+Au same as Run-9

From initial analysis of peripheral Au+Au events Expect good separation of signal & background! Background suppression: effective statistics up by 6-16

Signal (separated electrons):

~ 20 photo-electrons

2 e backgrd (Dalitz, conversion):40 photo-electrons



Excellent Collider performance!

Table 1: PHENIX Data Sets in Run-10

SPECIES	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$	Requested	Recorded	Recorded (eve	ents)
Au+Au	200	$1.4 \ {\rm nb}^{-1}$	$1.3 \ {\rm nb}^{-1}$		8.2G
Au+Au	62.4	350M events	$0.11 \ {\rm nb}^{-1}$		700M
Au+Au	39	50M events	$40 \ \mu b^{-1}$	()	250M
Au+Au	7.7		$0.26 \ \mu b^{-1}$		1.6M
					$ \rightarrow $

So, what do the larger than expected data sets allow?



Q1: low mass di-electron excess?

In central collisions dN/dm_{ee} (c²/GeV) IN PHENIX ACCEPTANCE -0 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 -0 01 01 01 -0 01 -0 01 104 0<p_<5 GeV/c p+p & Au+Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV Au+Au min. bias $\times 10^5$ 10^{3} Au+Au 0-10% × 10³ Au+Au 10-20% × 10 Au+Au 20-40% Au+Au 40-60% × 10⁻¹ Au+Au 60-92% $\times 10^{-2}$ p+p $\times 10^{-3}$ Au+Au MB 0-10% 10-6 10-20% 10-20-40% 10⁻⁸ 10⁻⁹ Ξ 40-60% 10⁻¹⁰ 60-92% 10⁻¹ 10⁻¹² p+p 3.5 4.5 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 3 0 4 m_{ee} (GeV/c²)

PH*ENIX

Run-4 *PRC81, 034911 (2010)*



and low p_T



HBD impact in Run-10 200 GeV Au+Au



Constraints on in-medium ρ?



62 GeV goal: Dilepton physics

With ~400 million recorded in ± 20cm minimum bias events and

- 1) a similar low mass enhancement to our published Run-04 AuAu @ 200 GeV result
- 2) predicted background rejection

increase statistical significance x 2.

The Run-04 @ 200 GeV low mass enhancement is 2.6 σ effect.

Thus, the Run-10 @ 62 GeV result would be a 5.2 sigma effect.

62.4 GeV improvement factor w.r.t. Run-4@200GeV as function of # of events





Dilepton Measurement at 39 GeV

How do dilepton excess and ρ modification at SPS evolve into the large low-mass excess at RHIC?



• 200M events in ± 20cm vertex

 If excess same at 39 GeV as 200 Measure x4.7 ± 0.77(total); 6 σ result
 If excess is 1/3 that at 200 GeV: Measure x1.57 ± 0.77(total)
 *NB: BUP request was 400M

NB: study is tricky, no *simple* scaling rules combinatorial background $\downarrow N_{ch}^2$ and $\downarrow \sim 8-16$ (HBD) foreground: inclusive - remaining background (S/B~1/10-1/20) physics signal is ?



Q2: Initial temperature at 62.4 GeV?

- Hard to measure, quality of result hard to predict!
 Extraction requires constraining hydro with data
- Simulation efforts were focused on Run-11 physics!



T_{init} = 300-600 MeV at 200 GeV = 170-190 MeV at 17 GeV Fact: recorded 700M events at 62.4 GeV Fact: Run-4 analysis used 800M events Fact: in Run-4 S/B is $\sim 1:1 p_T > 2 \text{ GeV/c}$ $\sim 0.05-0.2 1-2 \text{ GeV/c}$

What can I guess?

Both S and B differ from 200 GeV case!

- Signal scales as T⁴
 - T goes as $dE_T/d\eta$ and $1/\tau_0$ $\tau_0 \sim 0.5$ fm/c at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV, 1 fm/c at 62, 2 at 17 GeV E_T ratio to 200 GeV ~ 0.4 at 17, 0.7 at 62.4 GeV Expect T_{init} ~170 MeV $\sqrt{s}=17$ and ~230 MeV at $\sqrt{s}=62$ Steeper spectrum & fewer measurable points
- Combinatorial background reduced by factor ~6-16 based upon data sample size and HBD rejection Being conservative: γ statistical significance x2
- But, PHYSICS background: $\gamma_{th} / \gamma_{decay} \sim 0.1$ for $\int p_T$ (according to hydro calculations at RHIC, SPS) Virtual photon method yields $\gamma *_{th} / \gamma_{cocktail} \sim 0.5$
 - $_\odot$ S/B goes from 1/10 to 1/2
- ... should be able to measure slope to ~3 GeV/c



<u>Q3: What's going on with the J/ ψ ?</u>



Suppression larger at forward rapidity!

Doesn't track maximum energy density!

Final state cc̄ coalescence (aka recombination)?

PH*ENIX

<u>J/ψ in Muon Arms in Run-10 @ 200 GeV</u>



J/ψ yield as expected

Analyzed Luminosity (for mass plots): 147.7 μ b⁻¹ gives 18.8 +- 0.4 (stat) J/ Ψ per μ b⁻¹ Compared to Run7 Au+Au which had about 18.2 J/ Ψ per μ b⁻¹



Stefan Bathe Users Meeting 06/10/2010 21



600 M min. bias events \rightarrow 500 J/ ψ \therefore measure J/ ψ suppression

Key test of recombination!



Stefan Bathe Users Meeting 06/10/2010 22

Success at 7.7 GeV Au+Au!

The trick?

Tight timing cut on BBC N vs. S!



URQMD normalized to match real data integral for PC1 hits > 40.

URQMD not matched to z distribution in real data. <u>However,</u> note that there is no rescaling of the x-axis.

Then comparing the integrals implies (as a first look) that the BBCLL1(>0 tubes) fires on 77% of the cross section and the BBCLL1(> 1 tubes) fires on 70% of the cross section.

No indication of deviation at low PC1 hits from background (at least by this s particular check).

Looking ahead to Runs 11 and 12

How's the detector doing?



Muon Trigger Upgrade



Upgrade:

o muTr trigger electronics: muTr 1-3 → send tracking info to level-1 trigger

o RPC stations: RPC 1+3

→ tracking + timing info to level-1 trigger

note: RPC1 has larger acceptance than RPC3 at large radii, RPC1+ RPC3 give best coverage for timing needed for background rejection.

RPCs: trigger level timing

- Timing used in Run-9 to characterize background
- RPC3-N installed for Run-10
- Commissioned & ready





MUTRIG ready for physics in Run-11



Minimum Ionizing Particle





- Good efficiency for MIPs
- MUTR.N installed for Run-9
- MUTR.s installed for Run-10
- ready to go



Muon arm background reduction

Stainless steel SS-130 absorber 2 interaction lengths, based upon simulations



Install on muon arms during shutdown Parts are ordered.



Silicon Vertex (VTX & FVTX)



-FVTX endcaps 1.2<|η|<2.7 mini strips



VTX: silicon Vertex barrel tracker Fine granularity, low occupancy 50μ m×425 μ m pixels for L1 and L2 R1=2.5cm and R2=5cm Stripixel detector for L3 and L4 80μ m×1000 μ m pixel pitch R3=10cm and R4=14cm Large acceptance $|\eta|$ <1.2, almost 2π in ϕ plane Standalone tracking Install for Run-11

FVTX: Forward silicon VerTeX
tracker2 endcaps with 4 disks each
pixel pad structure (75μm x 2.8 to
11.2 mm)Install for Run-1230

VTX : Strips on track to complete by RUN11



Testing a new ladder



17 ladders out of 40 done



VTX Pixels: Preparing for barrel assembly

16 ladders out of 30 assembled at RIKEN. They will start arriving at BNL soon





Test fit a ladder on the mounts



What do we want?

Physics goals: W asymmetry → q, q spin contributions Heavy flavor suppression Excitation function for constituent quark flow jet suppression First look at U+U how to select the geometry?



PHENIX beam use proposal

RUN	SPECIES	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$	PHYSICS	$\int \mathcal{L} dt$	p+p	
		$({ m GeV})$	WEEKS	(recorded)	Equivalent	Polarization
11	p+p	500	10	50 pb^{-1}	50 pb^{-1}	50%
	Au+Au	200	8	$0.7 \ {\rm nb}^{-1}$	$28 \ {\rm pb}^{-1}$	
	Au+Au	27	1	35M events		
	Au+Au	18	1.5	37M events		
	U+U	192.8	1.5	150-200M events		
10		500	0	100 -1-1	100 -1-1	F007
12	p+p	500	8	100 pb -	100 pb -	50%
	Au+Au	200	7	$0.7-0.9 \text{ nb}^{-1}$	$28-36 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	
	p+p	62.4, 22.4	2.5	$1.0, 0.01 \text{ pb}^{-1}$		0%

If less than 30 cryo weeks:

- Shorten U+U from 1.5 weeks to 0.5 weeks
- Shorten 500 GeV p+p from 10 weeks to 8.5 weeks
- Remove Au+Au at 18 GeV.



• Shorten 200 GeV Au+Au from 8 weeks to 7 weeks.

So, what are we going to measure? And how well are we going to do it?

Q.4: W cross section & asymmetry? + Raw counts (Charge combined) (EMCel eluster associated with treak)



<u>150 pb⁻¹* 500 GeV p+p, 50% polarization</u>



* (PHENIXlive = 0.97) × (PHENIXup = 0.65) × (vertex = 0.55) = 0.35%



Run-11 + Run-12: 150 pb⁻¹ sampled



Significant improvement on sea quark polarizations!



Q6: heavy quark suppression & flow?



VTX to tag displaced vertex

- Commission and take first data in Run-11!
- Commissioning plan

Run p+p first, commission with low multiplicity Longest running period → max time to study VTX Then switch to full energy Au+Au Respect CA-D guidance of max energy first Commission at high multiplicity & data rate Collect data at 200 GeV Au+Au serves both commissioning & physics

Physics goals

Demonstrate the electrons are from heavy flavor First direct look at separated b and c in Au+Au





Heavy quark flow in Run-11



Assumption: Full 8 weeks data taking

NB: simulated limited p_T range.

Good sensitivity for v₂ decrease at high p_T



Q7: Quark number scaling of v₂

 v₂/n_q vs. p_T/n_q or KE_T/n_q follows a universal curve Reproduced by hydrodynamics Evidence for collective flow developed in QGP phase



Does scaling break at same \sqrt{s} where v₂ saturates?







Q8: Jet suppression √s dependence?

 Where between 22.4 and 62.4 GeV does R_{AA} become less than 1?
 NB: firm conclusion on jet quenching will also require control of Cronin effect



PHENIX, arXiv:0801.4555 [nucl-ex]



Jet suppression in Run-10



Projection for Run-11



Vertex cut ± 10 cm

uncertainty at p_T = 3.5 GeV: ~14% at 27 GeV ~ 30% at 18 GeV



48

Basis for time estimates

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$	ave.lumi.	σ (b)	Events/Day	Events/Day
	$(cm^{-2}sec^{-1})$		in 30 cm	in 10 cm
Au+Au				
18	$6.00 \text{ E}{+}25$	6.8	$3.73~{ m M}$	$1.24 \mathrm{~M}$
27	$8.00 \text{ E}{+}25$	6.8	$4.98 {\rm M}$	$1.66 {\rm M}$
p+p				
22	$2.50 \text{ E}{+}29$	0.03	$68.6 {\rm M}$	$22.9 \mathrm{M}$
27	$6.00 \text{ E}{+}29$	0.032	$176 {\rm M}$	$58.5 \mathrm{M}$
39	$2.40 \text{ E}{+}30$	0.033	$724 \mathrm{~M}$	$241 \mathrm{M}$
62	$4.80 \text{ E}{+}30$	0.0356	$1.56 \mathrm{~B}$	$521 \mathrm{~M}$

• Projections from W. Fischer



U+U "engineering" run simulations



Run-12 Physics goals

Reach 150 pb-1 sampled for W in 500 GeV p+p

Full energy Au+Au
 Extend open heavy flavor study to forward angle

• Low energy p+p comparison running



Run-12 FVTX physics



Run-12 Goals: Commission Take first part of this data set



Low energy p+p comparison running



PHENIX beam use proposal

RUN	SPECIES	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$	PHYSICS	$\int \mathcal{L} dt$	p+p	
		$({ m GeV})$	WEEKS	(recorded)	Equivalent	Polarization
11	p+p	500	10	50 pb^{-1}	50 pb^{-1}	50%
	Au+Au	200	8	$0.7 \ {\rm nb}^{-1}$	$28 \ {\rm pb}^{-1}$	
	Au+Au	27	1	35M events		
	Au+Au	18	1.5	37M events		
	U+U	192.8	1.5	$150\mathchar`-200M$ events		
12	p+p	500	8	$100 \ {\rm pb}^{-1}$	$100 \ {\rm pb}^{-1}$	50%
	Au+Au	200	7	$0.7-0.9 \text{ nb}^{-1}$	$28-36 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	
	p+p	62.4, 22.4	2.5	$1.0, 0.01 \text{ pb}^{-1}$		0%

If less than 30 cryo weeks:

- Shorten U+U from 1.5 weeks to 0.5 weeks
- Shorten 500 GeV p+p from 10 weeks to 8.5 weeks
- Remove Au+Au at 18 GeV.



Shorten 200 GeV Au+Au from 8 weeks to 7 weeks.

backup slides



Beauty & charm separation at different <u>muon p_T</u> Extracted fraction μ from D / B / Bkgnd h_bratio N, N_{total} p ratio N_B / N_{total} • N_D / N_{total} 0.8 Extracted b/(c+b) ▲ N_{BG} / N_{total} True b/(c+b) in PYTHIA 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 0 ₀Ё⊐ 4.5 5 μp_τ (GeV/c) 4.5 5 μ p_{_} (GeV/c) 1 1.5 2.5 3.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 2 3 with 1 pb⁻¹ stat 10 pb⁻ 100 pb⁻



56

Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Física, Caixa Postal 66318, São Paulo CEP05315-970, Brazil Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE), Beijing, People's Republic of China Peking University, Beijing, People's Republic of China Charles University, Ovocnyth 5, Praha 1, 116 36, Prague, Czech Republic Czech Technical University, Zikova 4, 166 36 Prague 6, Czech Republic hstitute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Prague 8, Czech Republic Helsinki Institute of Physics and University of Jyväskylä, P.O.Box 35, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland Dapnia, CEA Saday, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS-IN2P3, Route de Saclay, F-91128, Palaiseau, France Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS-IN2P3, Clermont-Fd, 63177 Aubiere Cedex, France IPN-Orsay, Universite Paris Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, BP1, F-91406, Orsay, France SUBATECH (Ecole des Mines de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Université de Nantes) BP 20722 - 44307, Nantes, France Institut für Kemphysik, University of Münster, D-48149 Münster, Germany Debrecen University, H-4010 Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1, Hungary ELTE, Eötvös Loránd University, H - 1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. s. 1/A, Hungary KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (MTA KFKI RMKI). H-1525 Budapest 114, POBox 49, Budapest, Hungary Department of Physics, Banaras Hndu University, Varanasi 221005, India Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay 400 085, India Weizmann Institute, Rehov of 76100, Israel Center for Nuclear Study, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Burkyo, Toky o 113-0033, Japan Hroshima University, Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan Ky oto University, Ky oto 606-8502, Japan Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki-shi, Nagasaki 851-0193. Japan RIKEN, The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan Physics Department, Rikkyo University, 3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Oh-okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan hstitute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan Chonbuk National University, Jeoniu, Korea Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea Hany ang University, Seoul 133-792, Korea KAERI, Cyclotron Application Laboratory, Seoul, South Korea Korea University, Seoul, 136-701, Korea Myongji University, Yongin, Kyonggido 449-728, Korea System Electronics Laboratory, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea Yonsei University, IPAP, Seoul 120-749, Korea IHEP Protvino, State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, 142281, Russia Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia Russian Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow, Russia PNPI, Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Leningrad region, 188300, Russia Saint Petersburg State Polytechnic University, St. Petersburg, Russia Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia Department of Physics, Lund University, Box 118, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden

PH KENIX 14 Countries; 70 Institutions



Abilene Christian University, Abilene, TX 79699, U.S. Collider-Accelerator Department, Brookhav en National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, U.S. Physics Department, Brookhav en National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, U.S. University of California - Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, U.S. University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, U.S. Columbia University, New York, NY 10027 and Nev is Laboratories, Irvington, NY 10533, U.S. Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901, U.S. Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, U.S. Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303, U.S. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, U.S. bw a State University, Ames, IA 50011, U.S. Law rence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, U.S. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, U.S. University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, U.S. Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003-9337, U.S. Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD 21251, U.S. Muhlenberg College, Allentown, PA 18104-5586, U.S. University of New Mexico, Abuquerque, NM 87131, U.S. New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003, U.S. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, U.S. Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, U.S. RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhav en National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, U.S. Chemistry Department, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, SUNY, NY 11794-3400, U.S. Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794, U.S. University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, U.S. Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, U.S.

Backgrounds at 500 GeV

- Data analysis underway…
- First taste of >1 MHz interaction rates
- Demonstrated operability of detectors
- Multiple collisions per crossing and in adjacent crossings
 - Learned how to deal with it Revised drift chamber calibration approach
- Scaling the backgrounds to the collision rate worked OK as a rule of thumb
- RPCs provided key monitoring instrumentation
 Probably would like to install additional monitors





Spin Physics Milestones

	Year	#	Milestone
PHIENIX	2013	HP8	Measure flavor-identified q and \overline{q} contributions to the spin of the proton via the longitudinal-spin asymmetry of W production.
PHKENK	2013	HP12	Determine if gluons have appreciable polarization over any range of momentum fraction between 1 and 30% of the momentum of a polarized proton.
PH [*] ENIX	2015	HP13	Test unique QCD predictions for relations between single-transverse spin phenomena in p-p scattering and those observed in deep-inelastic lepton scattering.





Forward Silicon Vertex Detector (FVTX)

Single Muons:

- Precision heavy flavor and hadron measurements at forward rapidity
- Separation of charm and beauty
- Additional W background rejection

Dimuons:

- First direct bottom measurement via $B \rightarrow J/\psi$
- Separation of J/ ψ from ψ ' with improved resolution and S:B
- First Drell-Yan measurements from RHIC



<u>ΔG not large: sea quarks polarized? d vs. u?</u>

Probe $\Delta \overline{q}$ - Δq via W production

$$\Delta d + \overline{u} \rightarrow W^{-}$$

$$\Delta \overline{u} + d \rightarrow W^{-}$$

$$\Delta \overline{d} + u \rightarrow W^{+}$$

$$\Delta u + \overline{d} \rightarrow W^{+}$$
p unpol.

100% Parity-violating: $-\mathbf{A_L} = \frac{\sigma_+ - \sigma_-}{\sigma_+ + \sigma_-}$

Start: 2009(tests)/2010(trigger) with 500 GeV p+p₆₂



Charm & bottom cross section in p+p

CHARM

Dilepton measurement in agreement with single electron, single muon, and with FONLL (upper end)

BOTTOM

Dilepton measurement in agreement with measurement from e-h correlation and with FONLL (upper end)



Barrel VTX Detector

Specifications:

Large acceptance ($\Delta \phi \sim 2 \pi$ and $|\eta| < 1.2$) Displaced vertex measurement $\sigma < 40 \ \mu m$ Charged particle tracking $\sigma_p/p \sim 5\%$ p at high pT Detector must work for both HI and pp collisions.



Technology Choice

Hybrid pixel detectors developed at CERN for ALICE

Strip detectors, sensors developed at BNL with FNAL's SVX4 readout chip



Forward Silicon Vertex Detector - FVTX

FVTX Specifications:

- 2 endcaps
- 4 pixelpad layers/endcap
- ~550k channels/endcap
- Electronics a mod of BTeV readout chip
- Fully integrated mech design w/ VTX
- 2π coverage in azimuth and
 1.2 < | η | < 2.4
- Better than 100 μm displaced vertex resolution





Forward Silicon Vertex Detector - FVTX

Enhanced x coverage

0.8

(x) 0.6 ∇∇ 0.4

GS95

-- B

-- C

(displaced)

 $\cdots xG(x)$

10⁻²

Х

 $\Delta G(x, 4 \text{ GeV}^2)$, NLO

Baseline Barrel

Endcap

10

Physics Program of FVTX includes

- **Resolving J**/ ψ and ψ ' in Muon arms
- Resolving Υ at y=0 using Muon arms
- Direct measure of B meson through displaced J/ψ
- Drell-Yan Measurements in dAu at both forward and midrapidities
 - c, b ID for both HI physics & ΔG spin measurements
- Nuclear modification factor (CGC effects) in dAu using hadrons, c, b, and J/ψ

9 10

8

/ψ → μ μ Prompt J 130 micron Resolution 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 -0.2 - 0.10.2 7 vertex c, b suppression at forward η Beauty R Charm R ₹.2 ₩ 1.2 Charm with FVTX(14pb-1) Beauty with FVTX (14pb-1) Collisional Dissociation DGLV b+c dN/dy =1000 Collisional Dissociation 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2

4 5 6 7

2 3

Direct measure of B

3 4

1 2 5 6

7 8 9 10

pT (GeV)

Beauty Decays to J/psi

Vertex Position

 J/Ψ

/100 Reconstructed

