PASS System
Proposal Allocation Safety Scheduling

NSLS
NATIONAL SYNCHROTRON LIGHT SOURCE
home

End of Run Forms

View Notes

Form ID:	11291
Beamline Used:	28-ID-2
Start Date:	3/2/2018
End Date:	3/5/2018
Time requested this cycle:	6
Time received this cycle:	3
Name:	Kuo-Pin Tseng
Institution:	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Guest Number:	W8291
E-mail:	kptseng2@illinois.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?
satisfied

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?
satisfied
Comments:
The beamtime delivered on schedule and the beamtime outages kept to the minimum hours in the proposal.

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?
satisfied
Comments:
The beam was down twice for totally around 3 hours. The problem solved quickly and the beam was stable.

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?
satisfied
Comments:
The staff is helpful on solving the problem related to moving stage of quadrupole lamp furnace.

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?
satisfied
Comments:
The beamline staff set up the quadrupole lamp furnace and was running a calibration scans in the beginning of cycle.

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings

Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

---

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?
very satisfied

---

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?
satisfied

---

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?
yes

---

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

☐ Flag this form for follow up

☐ Issue has been identified

☐ Issue has been resolved

Submit