PASS System
Proposal Allocation Safety Scheduling

NSLS
NATIONAL SYNCHROTRON LIGHT SOURCE
home

End of Run Forms

View Notes

Form ID:	11383
Beamline Used:	28-ID-2
Start Date:	3/13/2018
End Date:	3/16/2018
Time requested this cycle:	3
Time received this cycle:	3
Name:	Paul Raterron

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?
satisfied

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
Comments:
On March 13, my beamtime was scheduled from 8 am, but we did not have beam until the mid afternoon.

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?
very satisfied
Comments:
The X-ray flux and energy were appropriate for my experiments.

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?
very satisfied
Comments:
This was commissioning time, yet I was the first outside user at the beamline. The NSLS-II staff staff was very helpful to make the transition as smooth as possible.

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?
very satisfied
Comments:
the beamline staff was extremely helpful.

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e.,development)
Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased

multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

---

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?
very satisfied

---

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?
very satisfied

---

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?
yes

---

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?
During commissioning, the beamline can only be used with a beamline scientist present at all time. Since there were no beamline scientist available over night, I could not work at night and lost a significant portion of my beamtime. Trained users should be allowed to work at night without beamline scientists.

☐ Flag this form for follow up

☐ Issue has been identified

☐ Issue has been resolved

Submit

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

Comments Privacy and Security Notice