

PASS Home

Logout

End Of Run Review

Search by Beamline

Search by ID

List New Forms

List Reviewed Forms

List Follow-up Forms

List Issue Identified Forms

List Issue Resolved Forms

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9357
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 7/28/2015
End Date: 8/4/2015
Time requested this cycle: 7
Time received this cycle: 7

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
dissatisfied
Comments:
 After arriving at the BNL site it seemed like nobody knew where we had to go. The 24/7 security guys should be trained much better.

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very dissatisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**

very dissatisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

no

If not, how would you change them? Write your recommendations for Training and Safety below:

Comments:

They are way to long. It took us half a day for the complete safety training. Many things are repetitive in the different trainings, the trainings are not found at one point and many obvious points made the training too long.


10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Build better guesthouse. The hotel was a disaster. Dirty water, very loud A/C and this for \$110 per night. Other synchrotrons perform much better for a much lower price. The canteen has a similar price-performance ratio.

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9414
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 11/11/2015
End Date: 11/14/2015

SAF No.: 300216
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: Dean Hesterberg
Institution: NC State University
Guest Number: H6436
E-mail: dean_hesterberg@ncsu.edu

This user would like to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?

n/a

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?

very satisfied

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?

satisfied

Comments:

As Spokesperson of the SRX Beamline Advisory Team (BAT), it was very exciting to work on the high-flux station of this beamline and have an excellent run. The main deficiency of the SRX beamline is its control system. Because several minutes are required to save data between regions mapped, overhead times were unacceptably high had to be a major consideration, along with the science, in deciding how to progress through the run as data were collected and evaluated. For example, a 100 x 100 sq. micron map collected with 0.5 micron steps required >2 hours of wait time for data saving. The lack of control system development also seems to hinder development of the user interface, which must become more user friendly. Timely development of the control system for this highly sophisticated, but complex beamline was emphasized in three of our BAT Reports (5Apr2013, 14Aug2013, 13Nov2014). Upgrading the SRX control system will make this beamline truly remarkable.

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?

very satisfied

Comments:

Once onsite, the NSLS-II staff were extremely friendly and helpful in helping us to succeed in accomplishing a successful run. In preparing for our run, interactions (with many of the same people) seemed much more "formal" compared with what I felt during >15 years working at NSLS or my recent experiences working at SSRL. Somehow, the culture of the NSLS-II felt less user-centered, although staff were very helpful.

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?

very satisfied

Comments:

The tremendous commitment and support from all of the beamline staff (Juergen, Karen, and Garth) was key to our successful first-experiments run. These individuals spent countless hours working with us to set up and troubleshoot our samples, control the beamline, provide insights on matching beamline capabilities to our science needs, and showing my students how to process and visualize data. What a team!

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?

Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)

Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature

Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?

satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

If not, how would you change them? Write your recommendations for Training and Safety below:

Comments:


It would be helpful to have Chemical Laboratory access procedures be tied directly to the SAF to eliminate redundancies in information provided (e.g., a selection of the list of chemicals from the SAF should be directly accessible for developing the list of chemicals to be brought into the wet laboratory used).

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9495
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 2/26/2016
End Date: 2/28/2016
Time requested this cycle: 3
Name: Silvia Centeno
Institution: Metropolitan Museum
E-mail: silvia.centneo@metmuseum.org

This user would like to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9555
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/12/2016
End Date: 3/15/2016

SAF No.: 300358
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: Tatjana Paunesku
Institution: Northwestern University
Guest Number: G7794
E-mail: t.paunesku@gmail.com

This user would like to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?

n/a

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?

satisfied

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?

neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

Comments:

As one of the the SRX Beamline Advisory Team (BAT), I remember that the development of the control system was emphasized in three of our BAT Reports (5Apr2013, 14Aug2013, 13Nov2014). However, the SRX control system is far from optimal and leaves a lot to be desired. For example, physical on-site presence to monitor possible local (just at 5-ID) beam loss was necessary leading to the fact that beamline staff had to provide support not just for science (which should be their only real task) but for mundane issues that should not have taxed their already short free time during the run.

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?

satisfied

Comments:

There were several minor issues with setting up the visit and getting all the work done to allow for site access. E.g. the fact that expired badge means that the ENTIRE registration needs to be redone is not clear from the website. Thus, if I have not come a day early for my experiments I would not have had a site access. In short - user office staff and administrative staff in the NSLSII building were very supportive, but the BNL stuff was in many cases unfriendly.

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?

very satisfied

Comments:

The beamline staff (Juergen, Karen, and Garth) as well as their collaborator on software (Li Li) were truly fantastic. There was not a moment when I did not feel that everything was done to support my work at the beamline and generate the very best data possible given the samples I brought. Their input into execution of my work was critical, and their attention to detail not only led to generation of excellent data but also saved me from artifacts and loss of beamtime.

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?

Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)

Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)

Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature

Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)

Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?

very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes


10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

I would like to reiterate that access to site can be a very big issue especially for users who do not know about the vagaries of BNL specifically (or do not have the time to come 24h before the experiment date). Better mechanisms to ensure smooth getting through the gate and access physical to the beamline are needed.

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9576
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 2/25/2016
End Date: 2/28/2016
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: Silvia A.Centeno
Institution: Metropolitan Museum of Art
Guest Number: 6984
E-mail: silvia.centeno@metmuseum.org

This user would like to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9577
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/23/2016
End Date: 3/26/2016

SAF No.: 300372
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: Joshua Gallaway
Institution: City College of New York
Guest Number: E6014
E-mail: joshuagallaway@gmail.com

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
Comments:
The beamline staff was extremely helpful. This was my first trip to SRX, and I was pleasantly surprised by how much data we were able to take on a first visit at a relatively new beamline. I needed a bit of help putting the data into a useable form, and the postdoc Li Li was also extremely helpful getting that done before we packed up and left. Thanks very much to everyone involved.

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
Furthered Department of Energy mission(s)
Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**

very satisfied

8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**

very satisfied

9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes

10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?**

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID:	9655
Beamline Used:	5-ID
Start Date:	4/14/2016
End Date:	4/17/2016
Time requested this cycle:	3
Time received this cycle:	3
Name:	Dean Hesterberg
Institution:	NC State University

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
satisfied
Comments:
There were several overnight beam dumps on the first night, but we revised our run plan accordingly and were able to complete our planned experiment satisfactorily.

- 3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
Comments:
Support personnel gave us prompt assistance in badging, training in labs, and other support.

- 5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
Comments:
The beamline staff (Karen and Juergen) were very diligent and attentive in ensuring that we successfully collected the data that we needed at multiple energies, both mapping and micro-XANES, to ensure a successful experiment. A major benefit was that we were able to leave at the end of the run with fully (pre-) processed data, thanks largely to the MCA fitting program and imaging program of Li Li, along with his troubleshooting assistance. In addition, the beamline has become much more user friendly since our first-experiments run, with real-time data display and an easier GUI.

- 6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings

Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?
very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?
satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?
Continue to improve the user-interface on the SRX beamline so that users can operate more independently and have a more flexible plan, and work toward implementing fly scanning on the SRX high-flux probe so that survey images are very rapid and routine, especially when the high-resolution probe is operational.

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9900
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 11/4/2016
End Date: 11/6/2016
Time requested this cycle: 2
Time received this cycle: 2
Name: scott bohle
Institution: mcgill university
E-mail: scott.bohle@mcgill.ca

This user would like to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9904
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 7/5/2016
End Date: 8/7/2016

SAF No.: 300527
Time requested this cycle: 3
Name: Deidra Hodges
Institution: University of Texas at El Paso
Guest Number: Z9605
E-mail: drhodges@utep.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 - Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 - Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 - Furthered Department of Energy mission(s)
 - Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 - Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 - Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**

very satisfied

8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**

very satisfied

9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes

10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?**

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 9917
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 12/4/2016
End Date: 12/5/2016
Time requested this cycle: 1
Time received this cycle: 1
Name: Jiefu Yin
Institution: Stony Brook University
Guest Number: P6935
E-mail: jiefu.yin@stonybrook.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

 - 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

 - 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

 - 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

 - 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

 - 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

 - 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied
-

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?


yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10019
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/25/2017
End Date: 3/27/2017
Time requested this cycle: 1

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

no

If not, how would you change them? Write your recommendations for Training and Safety below:

Comments:

We have 4 different trainings to be taken, in spite of the fact that they are on line, they take a long time. At other DOE facilities you may take one or two such courses. As it happened to one other person in the group, because the training was taken just after having received the definitive guest number some of the training certification got lost and this person had to take the course again. These are small issues but in fact quite annoying.

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

In this survey there should also be an opportunity to praise the work and attention received from the NSLS-II staff. There should be clear instructions for weekend users ... where to go, how to contact floor coordinators etc ... , and not only an email but a physical area with a phone number. If you don't have a proximity card you can not enter the building and it may not be straight forward to call the floor coordinator.

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10104
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/30/2017
End Date: 4/4/2017
Time requested this cycle: 5
Time received this cycle: 5
Name: Margaux Le Vaillant
Institution: CSIRO
Guest Number: W8945
E-mail: margaux.levaillant@csiro.au

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?
n/a

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?
very satisfied

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?
very satisfied

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?
very satisfied

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?
very satisfied
Comments:
 Beamline staff were extremely helpful during the whole duration of our experiment.

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization

processes?
very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?
very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?
As an international visitor, the main thing that could be improved is the availability of food on site.

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10105
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/29/2017
End Date: 4/4/2017
Time requested this cycle: 5
Time received this cycle: 5
Name: Chris Ryan
Institution: CSIRO
Guest Number: U9522
E-mail: chris.ryan@csiro.au

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 Culmination of a collaborative development of the Maia detector between BNL/NSLS, CSIRO and the Australian Synchrotron.
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT,**

Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes


10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

The lack of food on-site in the evening and on weekends is a great handicap for out of state or overseas visitors, and is an area where BNL/NSLS lags behind other major international facilities (e.g. APS).

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10135
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/30/2017
End Date: 4/4/2017

SAF No.: 301062
Time received this cycle: 6
Name: Iacono-Marziano Giada
Institution: CNRS
Guest Number: W8825
E-mail: Giada.iacono@cnrs-orleans.fr

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT,**

Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10299
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 2/2/2017
End Date: 2/4/2017

SAF No.: 300846
Time requested this cycle: 4
Time received this cycle: 3

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 - Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 - Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 - Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
dissatisfied
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Better procedure for approving radiological samples.

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10322
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 5/26/2017
End Date: 6/5/2017

SAF No.: 301146
Time requested this cycle: 2
Time received this cycle: 7
Name: David Bock
Institution: Brookhaven National Laboratory

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?
 very satisfied

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?
 very satisfied

Comments:

The beamtime was split over 2 weekends to allow for potential improvements or corrections to the monochromator in between the scheduled dates

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?
 satisfied

Comments:

The beamtime was based on a science commissioning proposal to develop micro-EXAFS capability at the 5-ID beamline. Results of the experiment showed that EXAFS measurements are feasible at this beamline. The largest limitation to the data collection is the current monochromator, which overheats. As a result, the data scans have to be constantly interrupted in order to allow the monochromator to cool to an acceptable temperature before resuming the scan. This causes scans to take significantly longer than they would otherwise. Improving monochromator function would result in significantly higher data quality by allowing scans to be significantly shorter and/or longer dwell times at each energy during an EXAFS scan.

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?
 very satisfied

Comments:

NSLS-II staff was very helpful in providing TLDs for the large number of experimenters that participated in this beamtime.

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?
 very satisfied

Comments:

Dr. Thieme and Dr. Williams were extremely helpful during this commissioning experiment. They clearly explained all aspects of how to operate the beamline and consistently worked with us to improve data collection throughout our allocated time.

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?

Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature

Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)

Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?

very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?


yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10343
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 6/22/2017
End Date: 6/26/2017
Time requested this cycle: 4
Time received this cycle: 4
Name: Jonathan J Sawyer
Institution: North Carolina State University
Guest Number: R6754
E-mail: jjawyer@ncsu.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10344
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 6/22/2017
End Date: 6/26/2017

SAF No.: 301274
Time requested this cycle: 4
Time received this cycle: 4

This user would like to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)
 This research conducted at the beamline is a part of the PhD dissertation.
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT,**

Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

I am very satisfied with what SRX beamline has to offer.

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10614
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 7/19/2017
End Date: 7/25/2017

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
satisfied
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
satisfied
- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes
- 10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?**

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10619
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 10/13/2017
End Date: 10/17/2017
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: David Sprouster
Institution: Brookhaven National Laboratory
E-mail: dsprouster@bnl.gov

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 - Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 - Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 - Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 - Furthered Department of Energy mission(s)
 - Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 - Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
satisfied

8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
satisfied

9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes

10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?**

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



PASS Home

Logout

End Of Run Review

Search by Beamline

Search by ID

List New Forms

List Reviewed Forms

List Follow-up Forms

List Issue Identified Forms

List Issue Resolved Forms

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10637
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 10/9/2017
End Date: 10/12/2017
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: Aakriti Sharma
Institution: NC State University
Guest Number: K7549
E-mail: asharm27@ncsu.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
 very satisfied

2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
 very satisfied

3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
 very satisfied

4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
 very satisfied

5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
 very satisfied

Comments:

It is so amazing to have such a supportive and very helpful beamline scientists. I highly appreciate that Dr. Juergen Thieme, without any hesitation, was there with us in the middle of the night to fix our problem. Juergen and Garth both have been very supportive during our experiments at the beamline. They always make sure that the users are getting what they want. This is an awesome place to be!

6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**

Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations

7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
 very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes


10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

I am very much impressed with how fast the system upgrades every cycle I have been in the beamline. Now, it has been to a position where users can run their experiments on their own more efficiently. I have achieved what I wanted.

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10694
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 10/24/2017
End Date: 10/28/2017

SAF No.: 301797
Time requested this cycle: 4
Time received this cycle: 4
Name: Carey Legett
Institution: Stony Brook University
Guest Number: K9462
E-mail: carey.legett@stonybrook.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?

satisfied

Comments:

I think the current schedule of three months up and one month down is understandable for a new facility and is comparable to the APS uptime. I hope to see this improve in the future to increase the number of shifts available.

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?

very satisfied

Comments:

Absolutely no complaints. I think we had three or four beam dumps and none of the outages lasted more than a few minutes.

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?

very satisfied

Comments:

Couldn't have asked for better.

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?

very satisfied

Comments:

The NSLS-II staff were wonderful, from the people in the User Administration Office to the engineers that stayed late on a Friday helping us troubleshoot equipment problems.

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?

very satisfied

Comments:

Juergen Thieme and Garth Williams were great. Having access to the people that designed the

beamline and the software that runs it was a fantastic resource that allowed us to maximize the science we could get out of our time.

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?

Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?

very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes


10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

The only two things lacking for users are better food options, and somewhere close to rest during long beamtimes. The cafeteria at Berkner Hall is the only dining facility within walking distance, and has very limited hours. There isn't anywhere in the building that someone could go sit on a couch and take a short nap during long (96 hours for us) beamtimes. Access to both would solve a lot of logistical problems users face.

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 10984
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 10/24/2017
End Date: 10/28/2017
Time requested this cycle: 4
Time received this cycle: 4
Name: Sarah Nicholas
Institution: Stonybrook
Guest Number: w8778
E-mail: sarah.nicholas@stonybrook.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11219
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/1/2018
End Date: 3/6/2018
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 5

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

The SRX beamline scientists, Juergen and Andy were very helpful and supportive. I am satisfied with what they have offered.

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11391
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/12/2018
End Date: 3/18/2018

SAF No.: 302074
Time requested this cycle: 7
Time received this cycle: 7

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
satisfied
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
satisfied
- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11396
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/27/2018
End Date: 3/31/2018

SAF No.: 302330
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 4
Name: Avellan
Institution: Carnegie Mellon Univeristy
Guest Number: R7893
E-mail: aavellan@andrew.cmu.edu

This user would like to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?
 very satisfied

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?
 very satisfied

Comments:

I liked that the beamline scientist checked with us our availabilities before assigning beamtime. I know it is a lot of work, we appreciated this a lot.

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?
 satisfied

Comments:

the beamline itself is great for the kind of experiment we are doing. However, during our 4 days of experiments, the beam dumped 3 times, twice during the night. This resulted in hardware issues, leading to a lot of beamtime lost during this trip (a bit more than 2 shifts total)

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?
 very satisfied

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?
 very satisfied

Comments:

The staff at 5-ID is amazing. They were very efficient at answering our questions before the beamtime. During the beamtime, they were very responding even during the night, and present very early at the beamline to help us when we needed it.

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility

this year?

Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)

Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature

Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings

Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations

Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)

Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?

very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Suggestions: - making somehow more straightforward to find the information of the schedule of allocated beamtime on the pass user portal - sending an automatic email 2 weeks before the beamtime to remind to submit the SAF

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11408
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/7/2018
End Date: 3/11/2018
Time requested this cycle: 5
Time received this cycle: 4
Name: Danielle Schlesinger
Institution: Princeton University
E-mail: drs3@princeton.edu

This user would like to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied
-
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
Comments:
We had to move our initial beamtime due to maintenance issues on the beamline, but we were able to reschedule.
-
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
dissatisfied
Comments:
XANES analysis took a lot of time due to the detector heating up, and we ended up using up a lot of time on each sample and weren't able to run some of the samples we had intended to run. The stage was not stable during imaging and moved, so the images we have at different energies for the same sample do not line up.
-
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
satisfied
-
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
Comments:
The beamline staff were all really helpful and patient.
-
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)
-

7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**

very satisfied

8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**

very satisfied

9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes

10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?**

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11586
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 6/25/2018
End Date: 6/29/2018

SAF No.: 303140
Time requested this cycle: 5
Time received this cycle: 5

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11614
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 7/10/2018
End Date: 8/10/2018
Name: John Hangley
Institution: William Floyd Highschool
Guest Number: R7825
E-mail: johnthangley@gmail.com

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Nothing

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID:	11724
Beamline Used:	5-ID
Start Date:	7/14/2018
End Date:	7/17/2018

SAF No.:	303157
Time requested this cycle:	3
Time received this cycle:	3

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied
- 2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
Comments:
Although there was a significant issue with a ring storage issue during our originally scheduled beamtime, the beamline scientists were able to re-arrange their schedule to ensure that we were able to use all of the time allocated under our proposal.
- 3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied
- 4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
Comments:
5-ID beamline scientists went above and beyond to help us complete our experimnts, including staying at night and coming in on the weekend.
- 5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)
Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
Furthered Department of Energy mission(s)
Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased

multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?

very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

It would be useful if NSLS-II had an email notification list that users could subscribe to that would notify them of beam operations events. There is something like this set up at the APS.

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11748
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 7/24/2018
End Date: 7/29/2018

SAF No.: 302813
Time requested this cycle: 5
Time received this cycle: 5
Name: Arthur Woll
Institution: Cornell University
Guest Number: W6799
E-mail: aw30@cornell.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied
Comments:
The incident flux appears to have been lower than expected -- about 6e11 photons/second at 10 keV upstream of the KB mirrors -- after optimization by beamline staff.
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
Comments:
Superlative support from the entire staff.
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**

very satisfied

8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**

very satisfied

9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes

10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?**

N/A

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11769
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 7/6/2018
End Date: 7/9/2018
Time requested this cycle: 12
Time received this cycle: 12
Name: Sarah Nicholas
Institution: Stony Brook University
Guest Number: W8778
E-mail: sarah.nicholas@stonybrook.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
 very satisfied

2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
 very satisfied

3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**

neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

Comments:

Some of my flyscans stopped during mapping and the shutter did not close. The samples were damaged where they sat under the beam ,

4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
 very satisfied

5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
 very satisfied

6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**

Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)

Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)

Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings

Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations

We are preparing a more specific GUP for these samples from 2019-1 . We wanted to find out if the lesions were associated with particular structures, what metals were co-located in the tissues and whether the lesions bordered as a gradient or were discrete. The structures question is more for the co-proposing doctor and the gradient question brings up a technical point about mapping methods because sampling intervals can create the impression of a gradient or sharp edge depending on how the user chooses her sample interval. The co-location question is very interesting and we will be using

remote sensing methods on the map data to see if we can identify suites of elements associated with lesions and healthy tissue.

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?

very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?


yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



PASS Home

Logout

End Of Run Review

Search by Beamline

Search by ID

List New Forms

List Reviewed Forms

List Follow-up Forms

List Issue Identified Forms

List Issue Resolved Forms

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11836
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 8/9/2018
End Date: 8/10/2018
Time requested this cycle: 1
Time received this cycle: 1
Name: Yan Gao
Institution: GE Global Research
Guest Number: G5549
E-mail: gaoy@ge.com

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
n/a
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT,**

Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?

satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11850
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 7/29/2018
End Date: 8/1/2018

SAF No.: 302852
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: Stanislas Petrash
Institution: Henkel Corporation
Guest Number: G7101
E-mail: stan.petrash@henkel.com

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization**

processes?
very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?
very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11856
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 8/11/2018
End Date: 8/13/2018
Time requested this cycle: 2
Time received this cycle: 2

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Furthered Department of Energy mission(s)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes

- 10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not**

mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



PASS Home

Logout

End Of Run Review

Search by Beamline

Search by ID

List New Forms

List Reviewed Forms

List Follow-up Forms

List Issue Identified Forms

List Issue Resolved Forms

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11970
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 6/9/2018
End Date: 6/10/2018
Time requested this cycle: 2
Time received this cycle: 2

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
dissatisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
no
If not, how would you change them? Write your recommendations for Training and Safety below:
Comments:
Training on line is great and the training provided on the beam line is fine. The access to training and the length of the training on line is not OK.


10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

The Pass system is very complex, the same can be said in regards to SAF submission. It takes a long time to complete all these forms. One would expect that in a cyber era submission of information and communication would be better streamlined

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 12108
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 11/15/2018
End Date: 11/20/2018
Time requested this cycle: 5
Time received this cycle: 5

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
very satisfied

- 9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**
yes

- 10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not**

mentioned above)?

nothing

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 12143
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 10/29/2018
End Date: 11/1/2018
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: Bikash Kumar Shaw
Institution: Lehigh University P C Rossin College of Engineering and Applied Science
Guest Number: 6105703259
E-mail: bikkishaw007@gmail.com

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?
 very satisfied

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?
 very satisfied
Comments:
 Yes

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?
 very satisfied
Comments:
 yes

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?
 very satisfied

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?
 very satisfied

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?
 very satisfied

8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**

very satisfied

9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes


10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?**

no it was excellent

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 12144
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 10/29/2018
End Date: 11/1/2018

SAF No.: 303267
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3
Name: Sean McAnany
Institution: Lehigh University
Guest Number: P9127
E-mail: Sdm314@lehigh.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
Comments:
 Juergen Thieme and Andrew Kiss were especially helpful with our experiments.

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings
 Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?
satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?
satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 12154
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 11/28/2018
End Date: 11/30/2018

SAF No.: 303396
Time requested this cycle: 2
Time received this cycle: 2
Name: Yan GAO
Institution: GE Global Research
Guest Number: G5549
E-mail: gaoy@ge.com

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied
- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied
- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied
- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied
- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)
 Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)
- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
satisfied
- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT,**

Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?
satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)



[PASS Home](#)

[Logout](#)

[End Of Run Review](#)

[Search by Beamline](#)

[Search by ID](#)

[List New Forms](#)

[List Reviewed Forms](#)

[List Follow-up Forms](#)

[List Issue Identified Forms](#)

[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

 [View Notes](#)

Form ID: 12170
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 11/15/2018
End Date: 11/20/2018

SAF No.: 303277
Time requested this cycle: 5
Time received this cycle: 5

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied
Comments:
 Beamline staff were very helpful in setting up experiments and troubleshooting any issues that arose in a timely manner.

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)
 Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
very satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**

very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 12474
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 12/9/2018
End Date: 12/15/2018
Time requested this cycle: 5
Time received this cycle: 5
Name: David Agyeman-Budu
Institution: Cornell University
Guest Number: B9148
E-mail: da76@cornell.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
very satisfied

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
very satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
very satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
satisfied

- 8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**
satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?

yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

This feedback form should be in sent earlier. Had to go back and search for information to fill it. The time therefore spent filling it was way over the 5 minutes suggested.

Flag this form for follow up


Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 12495
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 12/10/2018
End Date: 12/14/2018

SAF No.: 303397
E-mail: lmb327@cornell.edu

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

- 1) **How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?**
very satisfied

- 2) **How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?**
satisfied
Comments:
 Outages were low, but there was no system in place to alert users when the beam was lost in the middle of a many-hours-long (6-8+) experiment. This led to several wasted hours. It would be good to be able to sign up for an automatic alert in beam status (and also easily unsubscribe when beamtime is over).

- 3) **How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?**
satisfied

- 4) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?**
satisfied

- 5) **How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?**
very satisfied

- 6) **Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?**
 Created new fundamental knowledge (i.e., basic research)
 Developed a new or improved product, process or technology (i.e., development)
 Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature
 Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)
 Trained students (undergraduate, graduate or postdoctoral associate)

- 7) **How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?**
satisfied

8) **How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?**

satisfied

9) **Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?**

yes


10) **What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?**

Clearer guidelines for who to alert, besides beamline staff, if you are a pregnant user would be valuable.

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved

[PASS Home](#)[Logout](#)[End Of Run Review](#)[Search by Beamline](#)[Search by ID](#)[List New Forms](#)[List Reviewed Forms](#)[List Follow-up Forms](#)[List Issue Identified Forms](#)[List Issue Resolved Forms](#)

End of Run Forms

[View Notes](#)

Form ID: 11385
Beamline Used: 5-ID
Start Date: 3/8/2018
End Date: 3/10/2018

SAF No.: 302026
Time requested this cycle: 3
Time received this cycle: 3

This user does not wish to be contacted with a status report.

1) How satisfied were you with the fraction of the year that the facility operates?

very satisfied

2) How satisfied were you with the Beamtime scheduled (i.e., was beamtime delivered on schedule were beamtime outages kept to a minimum)?

very satisfied

Comments:

On schedule and ran efficiently.

3) How satisfied were you with the beam performance (i.e., was beam or service maintained close to specifications)?

very satisfied

Comments:

Service at beam was very good.

4) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the NSLS-II staff?

very satisfied

Comments:

Staff provided excellent assistance.

5) How satisfied were you with the support for users provided by the beamline staff?

very satisfied

Comments:

Staff provided excellent assistance.

6) Which of the following benefits have resulted or will soon result from your use of this facility this year?

Discovered other new knowledge (i.e., applied research)

Dissemination of new knowledge via publication in peer-reviewed open literature

Dissemination of new knowledge via presentations at professional society meetings

Dissemination of new knowledge via other presentations

Obtained access to unique capabilities not available elsewhere (e.g., forefront experiments, one-of-a-kind instruments, distinctive materials or services)

Facilitated collaborative interactions (e.g., stimulated new ideas for future experiments, increased

multidisciplinary work; enabled a new approach within your discipline)

7) How satisfied were you with the Safety Approval Form and the Beamline authorization processes?
very satisfied

8) How satisfied were you with the quality of general training (NSLS-II safety orientation, GERT, Stop Work) and BeamLine Operations and Safety Awareness (BLOSA)?
very satisfied

9) Are the training and safety procedures appropriate?
yes

10) What would you like the NSLS-II to do differently in the future that would assist users (not mentioned above)?

Flag this form for follow up

Issue has been identified

Issue has been resolved



[Comments](#)

[Privacy and Security Notice](#)