

System size, energy, centrality, and pseudorapidity dependence of charged-particle density in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC

Gábor I. Veres for the PHOBOS Collaboration

Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge

Motivation. The charged particle pseudorapidity distribution $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ is a well defined experimental quantity that reflects the initial conditions of the system, i.e. parton shadowing and gluon saturation, and also the effects of rescattering and hadronic final state interactions: it represents the time-integral of the particle production throughout the entire collision. With the Cu+Cu collisions at RHIC the system size dependence of important observables can be studied using different collision geometries. The Cu+Cu results test the simple scaling features observed previously in Au+Au collisions [2-3]. They significantly extend the N_{part} range covered in Au+Au collisions, while the two systems can also be compared at the same N_{part}, as illustrated below.



• Fig. 3b shows the $R_{PC}^{N_{part}}$ ratio for Cu+Cu and Au+Au for centrality bins where the N_{part}/2A values are matched. The latter quantity characterizes the initial geometry most precisely, and indeed, the centrality evolution of the $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distributions measured in Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions are most similar if the centrality is

quantified by N_{part}/2A.

Figure 3: The semi-peripheral to central dN/dη'/<N_{part}/2> ratio for Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions at RHIC energies. The centrality bins are selected a) according to fractional cross section (35-40%/0-6%) and z^a b) such that N_{part}/2A is matched between the two systems. Inset: the same quantity plotted for Au+Au data for 4 different energies. The error bars represent 90% C.L. systematic errors on the ratio.





Detector. The data were collected with the multiplicity array of the PHOBOS detector [5] during the RHIC 2005 run. The array consists of single-layered silicon sensors in the long, tube-shaped Octagon detector surrounding the collision point, and in the three Ring sensors on each side, detecting large- $|\eta|$ particles. Simulations of the detector performance were based on the HIJING event generator and GEANT, including the response of the scintillator Paddle trigger counters.

Data analysis. Data from the Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions were analyzed using the "hit-counting" and "analog" methods [6]. The latter was corrected for multiply-charged fragments emitted at large η . This correction decreases with centrality and collision energy, and it is <6% of the total number of charged particles.

Centrality. The centrality of the collision was estimated from the Paddle scintillator signals. The estimated trigger efficiency is 84±5% and 75±5% in Cu+Cu collisions at 200 and 62.4 GeV. At 22.4 and 19.6 GeV, the pathlength-corrected energy sum [3] deposited in the Octagon was used ($|\eta|$ <3.2). A Glauber-model calculation was used to estimate <**N**_{part}> for each centrality bin.

Results. The $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distributions in Cu+Cu collisions for various collision energies and centralities are shown in **Fig. 1**. On the right panel the Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions are compared, where the centrality bins are chosen such that <N_{part}> in both systems are similar. One can conclude that although the distributions agree at the same $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ to first order, there are <u>differences</u> at large $|\eta|$ and low energies. Note that the two nuclear spectator remnants are larger in Au+Au than in Cu+Cu collisions.

Abstract: This poster presents data from the PHOBOS experiment at RHIC on charged particle preudorapidity distributions in Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =19.6, 22.4, 62.4, 130 and 200 GeV, as a function of collision centrality. The **Cu+Cu** data at **22.4 GeV** are presented for the first time [1]. The measurements were made by the same detector over a broad range in pseudorapidity, $|\eta| < 5.4$, allowing for a reliable systematic study of particle production as a function of energy, centrality and system size. Comparing Cu+Cu and Au+Au results, we find that the total number of produced charged particles and the rough shape (height and width) of the pseudorapidity distributions are determined by the number of nucleon participants, N_{part}. Detailed comparisons reveal that the matching of the shape of the Cu+Cu and Au+Au pseudorapidity distributions over the full range of η is better for the same N_{part}/2A value rather than the same N_{part} value. In other words, it is the collision geometry rather than just the number of nucleon participants that drives the detailed shape of the pseudorapidity distribution and its centrality dependence.



Figure 2: Pseudorapidity distributions in Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions at various RHIC energies, normalized by the number of participant pairs, plotted

The total number of chargedparticles, N^{tot}, normalized by N_{part}, are pre- z^a 2 sented in Fig. 4 for Cu+Cu collisions at 22.4, 62.4 and 200 GeV collision energy per nucleon pair, and compared to smaller (p+p,

d+Au) and larger (Au+Au) systems as a function of centrality. One can conclude that N^{tot} scales approximately linearly with N_{part}, and the normalized yield has similar values for the two heavy colliding systems. The d+Au data do not seem to interpolate smoothly between the p+p and heavy ion data points.



Figure 4: The integrated number of charged particles, scaled by Npart, in



Figure 1: Left: dN/dŋ distributions of primary charged particles from Cu+Cu collisions at 22.4, 62.4 and 200 GeV collision energy per nucleon pair for various centrality bins. *Right:* Comparison of dN/dη distributions in Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions - corresponding to the same <N_{part}>. 90% C.L. systematic errors are shown as bands.

as a function of $\eta' = \eta - y_{\text{beam}}$, for a) the 6% most central events and b) central events with similar N_{part}/2A. 90% C.L. systematic errors are shown for a few typical data points.

• The $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distributions exhibit longitudinal scaling when observed from the rest frame of one of the colliding nuclei. The coordinate transformation to the 'target' frame approximately corresponds to a shift by the beam rapidity, y_{beam}.

• Figure 2 compares the dN/d η ' distributions (where η '= η -y_{beam}) after normalization by N_{part}: *a*) data from the Cu+Cu and Au+Au systems plotted at the same fraction of the total cross section (0-6% most central bin), and b) the same value of N_{part}/2A (where A is the mass number). Both cases indicate that the scaled particle density at $\eta \sim 0$ only depends on the collision energy and geometry, but not on the size of the nuclei.

• The longitudinal scaling is similarly present in the Cu+Cu and in the Au+Au data. The $dN/d\eta/\langle N_{part} \rangle$ distributions for the same centrality in both systems agree within errors, and the agreement becomes even better if the centralities are compared on the basis of the N_{part}/2A quantity (the fraction of participating nucleons).

• The factorization between collision energy and centrality be most precisely studied by examining the ratios can dN/dn'/<Npart> distributions in central and semiof the central collisions, denoted by $R_{PC}^{N_{part}}$, at various energies. The published Au+Au results [4] are shown by the inset of Fig. 3a, exhibiting the same factorization feature as the recent Cu+Cu data. The above ratio for Cu+Cu data is similar to that in Au+Au data, except at the highest η ' values.

p+p, d+Au, Cu+Cu and Au+Au collisions as a function of centrality [4,7]. The uncertainty of N_{part} has been included in the error bars.

Acknowledgements: This work was partially supported by U.S. DOE grants DE-AC02-98CH10886, DE-FG02-93ER40802, DE-FG02-94ER40818, DE-FG02-94ER40865, DE-FG02-99ER41099, and DE-AC02-06CH11357, by U.S. NSF grants 9603486, 0072204 and 0245011, by Polish KBN grant 1-P03B-062-27, by NSC of Taiwan Contract NSC 89-2112-M-008-024, by Hungarian OTKA grant F 49823 and by the Zoltán Magyary Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References:

[1] B. Alver et al., e-print arXiv:0709.4008 [2] I. G. Bearden et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 202301 (2002). [3] B. B. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 052303 (2003). [4] B. B. Back et al., Phys. Rev. C 74, 021901 (2006). [5] B. B. Back et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 499, 603 (2003). [6] B. B. Back et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 102303 (1991). [7] B. B. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C 72**, 031901(R) (2005).

The Photos Collaboration:



Burak Alver, Birger Back, Mark Baker, Maarten Ballintijn, Donald Barton, Russell Betts, Richard Bindel, Wit Busza (Spokesperson), Vasundhara Chetluru, Edmundo García, Tomasz Gburek, Joshua Hamblen, Conor Henderson, David Hofman, Richard Hollis, Roman Hołyński, Burt Holzman, Aneta Iordanova, Chia Ming Kuo, Wei Li, Willis Lin, Constantin Loizides, Steven Manly, Alice Mignerey, Gerrit van Nieuwenhuizen, Rachid Nouicer, Andrzej Olszewski, Robert Pak, Corey Reed, Christof Roland, Gunther Roland, Joe Sagerer, Peter Steinberg, George Stephans, Andrei Sukhanov, Marguerite Belt Tonjes, Adam Trzupek, Sergei Vaurynovich, Robin Verdier, Gábor Veres, Peter Walters, Edward Wenger, Frank Wolfs, Barbara Wosiek, Krzysztof Woźniak, Bolek Wysłouch

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY	BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS PAN, KRAKOW	MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY, TAIWAN	UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND	UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

