


The BRAHMS □HI and Spin Programs



Broad **R**Ange **H**adron
Magnetic **S**pectrometers

S. J. Sanders
U. Kansas



Particle Identification

TIME-OF-FLIGHT

$$m^2 = p^2 \left(\frac{c^2 \text{TOF}^2}{L^2} - 1 \right)$$


Particle Separation: p_{\max} (2σ cut) =

2σ cut	MRS		FS	
	TOFW	TOFW2	TOF1	TOF2
π / K	2.0 GeV/c	2.4 GeV/c	3.0 GeV/c	4.5 GeV/c
K / p	3.5 GeV/c	4.0 GeV/c	5.5 GeV/c	7.5 GeV/c



CHERENKOV




- RICH (FS):
- π / K separation **20 GeV/c**
 - Proton ID up to **35 GeV/c**




- C4 Threshold (MRS):
- π / K separation **9 GeV/c**

BRAHMS studies the properties of the produced medium as a function of its longitudinal expansion...

- What is the energy available for particle production?
- What is the longitudinal extent of the created medium?
- How does the “chemistry” of the medium change with rapidity?
- Are small-x effects (saturation) evident in the forward direction?
- Are there characteristic differences seen at large rapidity between AA and pp collisions?



Particle Spectra (200 GeV Au+Au)



Pions: power law

$$A \left(1 + \frac{p_T}{p_0} \right)^{-n}$$

Kaons: exponential

$$A \exp \left(-\frac{m_T - m}{T} \right)$$

Protons: Gaussian

$$A \exp \left[-\frac{p_T^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$$

Energy Balance

(200 GeV Au+Au)


Energy (in GeV)

p : 3108	π^0 : 6004
\bar{p} : 428	n : 3729
K^+ : 1628	\bar{n} : 513
K^- : 1093	K^0 : 1628
π^+ : 5888	\bar{K}^0 : 1093
π^- : 6117	Λ : 1879
	$\bar{\Lambda}$: 342

sum: 33.4 TeV

produced: 24.8TeV


$$E_{\text{total}} = \sum_{\text{species}} \left[\int \frac{dN}{dy} \langle m_T \rangle \cosh(y) dy \right]$$



Starting with ≈ 35 TeV
 $(E_{\text{beam}} \times N_{\text{part}})$,
 ≈ 25 TeV carried away by
produced particles.

Bjorken vs. Landau

PRL 94, 162301(2005)



$|y| < 1$ plateau?


Bjorken:

Boost invariance of central region.


Landau:

Isentropic expansion of 3D relativistic pion gas.

P.Carruthers, M.Duong-van, PRD 8, 859 (1973).




K/π Ratios



PRL 94, 162301(2005)


$y < 1$: consistent with
Hadron Gas Stat. Model
 $K^+/\pi^+ : 15.6 \pm 0.1\%$ (stat)
 $K^-/\pi^- : 14.7 \pm 0.1\%$ (stat)
[Phys. Lett. B 518 (2001) 41]

Is forward BRAHMS at 62.4
GeV going to approach SPS?




BRAHMS particle ratios

Au+Au and pp compared (200 GeV)




$\text{AuAu} \approx \text{pp}$

Particle Ratios (AuAu and pp) continued...




Heavy ion



$$\frac{K^-}{K^+} = e^{2\mu_S/T} e^{-2\mu_q/T} = e^{2\mu_S/T} \left(\frac{\bar{p}}{p} \right)^{1/3}$$

d+Au at 200 GeV. Initial state effects at forward rapidity? R_{dAu} and R_{cp}

PRL 93, 242303(2004)






Both saturation
and
recombination
models can
reproduce
behavior!


$$R_{dAu} = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \frac{dN/dp_T d\eta(d+Au)}{dN/dp_T d\eta(pp)}$$

$$R_{CP} = \frac{\left\langle N_{coll}^{peripheral} \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{coll}^{central} \right\rangle} \frac{dN/dp_T d\eta^{(central)}}{dN/dp_T d\eta^{(peripheral)}}$$

R_{dAu} rises faster for $p\bar{p}$ than π^-




What about forward Au+Au? Identified particle R_{AA} .




What about forward Au+Au? (cont.)

R_{cp} (AuAu)




$R_{AA}(62.4 \text{ GeV Au+Au})$



(pp reference is based on ISR collider data)

Peripheral Au+Au is not pp!

Azimuthal (*Elliptic*) Flow






An asymmetric reaction region leads to asymmetric particle production:


$$\frac{dN'}{d(\phi - \Psi_R)} = A \left(1 + \sum_n 2v_n \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_R)] \right)$$

What happens at forward rapidity?

BRAHMS Azimuthal Flow




Single Transverse Spin Asymmetry



Low energy data
(FNAL E704) show
clear differences
between π^{+-} and π^0 .

D.L.Adams (E704) Phys.Lett B264,462(1991); Phys.ReV D53, 4747 (1996).



$$A_n = (\sigma^+ - \sigma^-) / (\sigma^+ + \sigma^-)$$

With spin direction defined by $\vec{k}_b \times \vec{k}_\pi$


Early pQCD calculations predicted effect to be small.

Several models:


- Sivers effect (initial state).
- Collins effect (final state).
- Qui and Sterman (twist-3 pQCD)

Kinematic Variables and Measurement

- The kinematic variables of interest are Feynman x (x_F) and p_T .
- Shown is the BRAHMS acceptance for the data taken at $\theta = 2.3^\circ$ and the maximum field setting (7.2 Tm).




A_N for π^+ and π^- (2004 run)



Also find $A_N \approx 0$ for protons.

With 2005 pp data, BRAHMS will be able to explore p_T - x_F dependence...



Summary

- With a focus on the forward region, the BRAHMS heavy-ion program includes studies of a rich variety of topics:
 - ✓ Nuclear stopping and energy balance.
 - ✓ Low-x saturation effects. Relative importance of initial state (saturation) and final state (recombination, etc.) effects in the forward region.
 - ✓ Longitudinal extent of produced medium.
 - ✓ Nuclear chemistry of produced medium as a function of rapidity.
 - ✓ Radial and azimuthal flow.
- Initial state *vs.* final state questions of a very different type arise with the study of single transverse spin alignment of pions in polarized pp measurement. Extensive new results will become available with the analysis of the 2005 pp data.

The BRAHMS Collaboration

- 12 institutions-

I.Arsene¹⁰, I.G. Bearden⁷, D. Beavis¹, S. Bekele¹¹, C. Besliu¹⁰,
B. Budick⁶, H. Bøggild⁷, C. Chasman¹, C. H. Christensen⁷, P. Christiansen⁷,
J.Cibor⁴, R.Debbe¹, E.Enger¹², J. J. Gaardhøje⁷, K. M. Germinario⁷, K. Hagel⁸,
H. Ito¹, A. Jipa¹⁰, E. Johnson¹¹, J. I. Jordre¹⁰, F. Jundt², C.E.Jørgensen⁷, R.Karabowisz,
E. J. Kim¹¹, T. Kozik³, T.M.Larsen¹², J. H. Lee¹, Y. K.Lee⁵, S.Lindahl, R.Lystad,
G. Løvhøjden², Z. Majka³, M. Murray¹¹,
J. Natowitz⁸, B. Neuman¹¹, B.S.Nielsen⁷, D. Ouerdane⁷, R.Planeta⁴, F. Rami²,
D. Roehrich⁹, C.Ristea, O.Ristea, B. H. Samset¹², S. J. Sanders¹¹, R.A.Sheetz¹,
P. Staszek⁷, T.S. Tveter¹², F.Videbæk¹, R. Wada⁸, H. Yang⁹, Z. Yin⁹, I. S. Zgura¹⁰

¹Brookhaven National Laboratory, USA, ²IReS and Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France

³Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland, ⁴Institute of Nuclear Physics, Cracow, Poland

⁵Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA, ⁶New York University, USA

⁷Niels Bohr Institute, Blegdamsvej 17, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

⁸Texas A&M University, College Station. USA, ⁹University of Bergen, Norway

¹⁰University of Bucharest, Romania, ¹¹University of Kansas, Lawrence,USA

¹² University of Oslo Norway

Strangeness—Kaon Spectra

Top 5% central collisions

