Elliptic flow from color-dipole orientation in pp and pA collisions

Amir Rezaeian UTFSM, Valparaiso

In collaboration with **Edmond Iancu**

RBRC Workshop, BNL, April 26 - 28, 2017



UNIVERSIDAD TECNICA FEDERICO SANTA MARIA



•]

Outline:

□ Motivation

- **Color dipole orientation in the MV model.**
- **Elliptic flow in pp collisions.**
- **Elliptic flow in pA collisions.**

This talk is based on: Iancu and Rezaeian, arXiv:1702.03943; in PRD press

Can we understand this?



Different Saturation based mechanisms for elliptic flow

□ 'Saturation domains' inside a dense hadronic target

Kovner, Lublinsky, PRD83, 034017 (2011). Dumitru and Giannini, Nucl. Phys. A933, 212 (2015). Dumitru and Skokov, Phys. Rev. D91, 074006 (2015). Dumitru, McLerran, and Skokov, Phys. Lett. B743, 134 (2015). Lappi, Schenke, Schlichting, and Venugopalan, JHEP 01, 061 (2016).

Glasma-like & 'IP-Glasma' scenarios

Schenke, Tribedy, and Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 252301 (2012).
Schenke, Tribedy, and Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. C86, 034908 (2012).
Dusling and Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 262001 (2012).
Dusling and Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D87, 094034 (2013).
Dusling, Tribedy, and Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D93, 014034 (2016).
Kovchegov and Wertepny, Nucl. Phys. A925, 254 (2014).
Schenke and Schlichting, Phys. Rev. C94, 044907 (2016).
Schenke, Schlichting, Tribedy, and Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 162301 (2016).

Spatial variation of partonic density:

Levin and Rezaeian, Phys. Rev. D84, 034031 (2011).

- The effect is not 1/ N_c suppressed!.
- Can generate sizable azimuthal asymmetries already in the absence of fluctuations (it is manifest for the single particle spectrum).



Alex's talk

This talk





• 4

Color-dipole as an origin of elliptic flow

Color-dipole orientation as an origin of elliptic flow in dilute-dense scatterings



$v_n(p,b) \equiv \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} db db}{db} $	$^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi \cos(n\phi)$	$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^q(qA{ ightarrow} qX)}{\mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}^2 p\mathrm{d}^2 b}$
	$\int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^q}{\mathrm{d}\tau}$	$\frac{(qA \rightarrow qX)}{\eta d^2 \boldsymbol{p} d^2 \boldsymbol{b}}$

Color-dipole orientation as an origin of elliptic flow in dilute-dense scatterings



Color-dipole orientation: including finite-size effect of the projectile

$$\frac{d\sigma^{q}(qA \rightarrow qX)}{d\eta \, d^{2}p \, d^{2}B} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int d^{2}b \, x_{p}q(x_{p}, b - B) \,\tilde{S}(b, p, x_{g})$$

$$\frac{d\sigma^{q}(qA \rightarrow qX)}{d\eta \, d^{2}p \, d^{2}B} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int d^{2}b \, x_{p}q(x_{p}, b - B) \,\tilde{S}(b, p, x_{g})$$
Proton GPD: $x_{p}q(x_{p}, b) \simeq x_{p}q(x_{p})f(b)$, with $\int d^{2}b \, f(b) = 1$
proton distribution is isotropic: $f(b) = f(|b|)$

$$v_{n}(p, B) \equiv \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\Phi \, \cos(n\Phi) \, \frac{d\sigma^{q}(qA \rightarrow qX)}{d\eta d^{2}p d^{2}B}}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\Phi \, \frac{d\sigma^{q}(qA \rightarrow qX)}{d\eta d^{2}p d^{2}B}}$$

 \frown

Color-dipole orientation: including finite-size effect of the projectile



 $\int S(\vec{b},\vec{r}) \to S(b,r) \longrightarrow v_n = 0$ (Regardless of the shape of projectile and target)

Color-dipole orientation: including finite-size effect of the projectile



• $S(\vec{b},\vec{r}) \to S(b,r) \longrightarrow v_n = 0$ (Regardless of the shape of projectile and target)

Elliptic flow and eccentricity in dilute-dense scatterings



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^q(qA \to qX)}{\mathrm{d}\eta \,\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{p} \,\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{B}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{b} \, x_p q(x_p, \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{B}) \,\tilde{S}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{p}, x_g)$$

$$\psi_2(p,B) = -\frac{\int b db dlpha \, \cos(2lpha) f(|m{b} - m{B}|) \int r dr d heta \, \cos(2 heta) \, J_2(pr) \, S(b,r, heta)}{\int b db dlpha \, f(|m{b} - m{B}|) \int r dr d heta \, J_0(pr) \, S(b,r, heta)}$$

• Eccentricity: is a measure of the projection of the impact parameters of the participants quarks along the direction of their average impact parameter

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{2}(p,B) &= \frac{\langle x^{2} - y^{2} \rangle}{\langle x^{2} + y^{2} \rangle} = \frac{\langle b^{2} \cos(2\alpha) \rangle}{\langle b^{2} \rangle}, \\ &= \frac{\int b db d\alpha \, b^{2} \cos(2\alpha) \, f(|\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{B}|) \, \int r dr d\theta \, J_{0}(pr) \, S(b,r,\theta)}{\int b db d\alpha \, b^{2} \, f(|\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{B}|) \, \int r dr d\theta \, J_{0}(pr) \, S(b,r,\theta)} \end{split}$$

$$\epsilon_2(B=0)=0, \ \epsilon_2(B\to\infty)=1$$

 \mathbf{b}'

р

Φ

θ

α

В

• 11

Color-dipole orientation in the McLerran-Venugopalan model

$$egin{aligned} &\langle
ho^{a}(oldsymbol{x})
ho^{b}(oldsymbol{y})
angle &= \delta^{ab} \delta^{(2)}(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}) \mu(oldsymbol{x}) \ &\mu(b) &= \mu_{0} \,\mathrm{e}^{-b^{2}/4R^{2}} \,, \ & ilde{\mu}(\Delta) &= \int \mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{b} \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}oldsymbol{b}\cdot\Delta} \mu(b) &= 4\pi R^{2} \mu_{0} \,\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta^{2}R^{2}} \ &\langle A_{a}^{-}(oldsymbol{x}) A_{b}^{-}(oldsymbol{y})
angle &= \delta^{ab} \gamma(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y}) \ &\langle A_{a}^{-}(oldsymbol{x}) A_{b}^{-}(oldsymbol{y})
angle &= \delta^{ab} \gamma(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y}) \ &\gamma(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y}) &= \int \mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{z} \,G(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{z}) G(oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{z}) \mu(oldsymbol{z}) &= \int \mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{q} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{q}}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{q}'}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q}'\cdotoldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q} \cdot oldsymbol{y}} \, oldsymbol{\mu}(oldsymbol{q}) \ &\gamma(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y}) &= \int \mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{z} \, G(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{z}) G(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{z}) \mu(oldsymbol{z}) = \int \mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{q} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{q}}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q}'\cdotoldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q} \cdot oldsymbol{y}} \, \mu(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{z}) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{x}}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q}'\cdotoldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q} \cdot oldsymbol{y}} \, \mu(oldsymbol{x}) \ & \mu(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{x}) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{x}}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q}'\cdotoldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q} \cdot oldsymbol{x}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{x}}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{q}'}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q}'\cdotoldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q} \cdot oldsymbol{x}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{x}}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{q}'}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{x}}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsymbol{q}'}{(D_{a})^{2}} \, \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} oldsy$$

In the original MV model: $\mu(\mathbf{b}) = \mu_{\mathbf{0}}, \quad \tilde{\mu}(\mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{q}) = \delta^{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{q})$

 $\mu_0, R:$ fixed via a fit to the DIS & diffractive DIS data: Using IP-Sat or bCGC

$$\gamma(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{z} \, G(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{z}) G(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{z}) \mu(\boldsymbol{z}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{q}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{q}'}{(2\pi)^2} \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{q}' \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}} \, \frac{\tilde{\mu}(\boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{q})}{q'^2 q^2}$$

Color-dipole orientation in the McLerran-Venugopalan model

$$\begin{split} \langle \rho^{a}(\boldsymbol{x})\rho^{b}(\boldsymbol{y})\rangle &= \delta^{ab}\delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\mu(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \mu(b) &= \mu_{0} e^{-b^{2}/4R^{2}}, \\ \tilde{\mu}(\Delta) &= \int d^{2}\boldsymbol{b} e^{-i\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Delta}}\mu(b) = 4\pi R^{2}\mu_{0} e^{-\Delta^{2}R^{2}} \\ \langle A_{a}^{-}(\boldsymbol{x})A_{b}^{-}(\boldsymbol{y})\rangle &= \delta^{ab}\gamma(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) \\ \gamma(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) &\equiv \int d^{2}\boldsymbol{z} \, G(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{z})G(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{z})\mu(\boldsymbol{z}) = \int \frac{d^{2}\boldsymbol{q}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{d^{2}\boldsymbol{q}'}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{i\boldsymbol{q}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}+i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{y}} \frac{\tilde{\mu}(\boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{q})}{q'^{2}q^{2}} \end{split}$$

• Single-scattering limit:

In the original MV model: $\mu(\mathbf{b}) = \mu_{\mathbf{0}}, \quad \tilde{\mu}(\mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{q}) = \delta^{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{q}' + \mathbf{q})$

 k_0, R : fixed via a fit to the DIS & diffractive DIS data.

Color-dipole orientation in the McLerran-Venugopalan model

$$\langle \rho^{a}(\boldsymbol{x})\rho^{b}(\boldsymbol{y})\rangle = \delta^{ab}\delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\mu(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\mu(b) = \mu_{0} e^{-b^{2}/4R^{2}},$$

$$\tilde{\mu}(\Delta) = \int d^{2}\boldsymbol{b} e^{-i\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Delta}}\mu(b) = 4\pi R^{2}\mu_{0} e^{-\Delta^{2}R^{2}}$$

$$\mu_{0}, R : \text{fixed via a fit to the DIS & diffractive DIS & diffr$$

If
$$\tilde{\mu}(\Delta) = \tilde{\mu}(|\Delta|) \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}_{2g}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r}) \propto (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{2n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{v}_{3, \mathbf{odd}} = \mathbf{0}$$

Elliptic flow in the single scattering approximation

Single-scattering approximation:



 \star v2=0 at b=0.

★ A quark produced via a single scattering has more chances to propagate along a direction which is perpendicular on its impact parameter rather than parallel to it.

 \star Multiple scatterings will change the sign of v2.

Adding multiple scattering: $\longrightarrow S(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \exp\{-N_{2q}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{r})\}$

$$N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{g^2 C_F}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{\Delta}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\tilde{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})}{(\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}/2)^2 (\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{\Delta}/2)^2} \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}} \Big[\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}/2} + \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}/2} - 2 \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \Big]$$

In soft multiple scattering regime, $k \ll 1/r$ and $\Delta \leq 1/R$:

$$N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{r}) \simeq \frac{g^2 C_F}{2} r^i r^j \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Delta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\left(k^i k^j - \Delta^i \Delta^j / 4\right) \tilde{\mu}(\Delta)}{\left[(\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}/2)^2 + m^2\right] \left[(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{\Delta}/2)^2 + m^2\right]} \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}}$$

Infrared finite at m—> 0:

'gluon mass' m is needed in order to restrict the phase-space allowed to very soft momenta $k\sim\Lambda_{QCD}$

Adding multiple scattering: $\longrightarrow S(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \exp\{-N_{2q}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{r})\}$

$$N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{g^2 C_F}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{\Delta}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\tilde{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})}{(\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}/2)^2 (\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{\Delta}/2)^2} \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}} \Big[\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}/2} + \,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\Delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}/2} - 2 \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \Big]$$

• In soft multiple scattering regime, $k \ll 1/r$ and $\Delta \leq 1/R$:

$$N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{r}) \simeq \frac{g^2 C_F}{2} r^i r^j \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Delta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\left(k^i k^j - \Delta^i \Delta^j / 4\right) \tilde{\mu}(\Delta)}{\left[(\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}/2)^2 + m^2\right] \left[(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{\Delta}/2)^2 + m^2\right]} \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}}$$

UV regularization :

$$\frac{g^2 C_F}{4} r^2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \Delta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{k^2 \tilde{\mu}(\Delta)}{(k^2 + m^2)^2} \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{\Delta}\cdot\mathbf{b}} \equiv \frac{Q_s^2(b)r^2}{4} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r^2m^2} + \mathrm{e}\right)$$

$$Q_s^2(b) \equiv \alpha_s C_F \mu(b) = Q_{0s}^2 e^{-b^2/4R^2}$$

Adding multiple scattering:
$$S(b, r) = \exp\{-N_{2g}(b, r)\}$$

 $N_{2g}(b, r, \theta) = \mathcal{N}_0(b, r) + \mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b, r) \cos(2\theta)$
 $\mathcal{N}_0(b, r) = \frac{Q_s^2(b)r^2}{4} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r^2m^2} + e\right) \qquad \text{Original MV model}$
 $+ \frac{g^2 C_F}{4(2\pi)^2} r^2 \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta \tilde{\mu}(\Delta) J_0(\Delta b)$
 $\times \int_0^\infty dk k \left[\frac{k^2 - \Delta^2/4}{(k^2 + \Delta^2/4 + m^2)^2 - k^2 \Delta^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{k^2}{(k^2 + m^2)^2} \right]$
 $\mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b, r) = \frac{g^2 C_F}{4(2\pi)^2} r^2 \int_0^\infty d\Delta \Delta \tilde{\mu}(\Delta) J_2(\Delta b) \int_0^\infty dk k \left[\frac{k^2 + \Delta^2/4}{(k^2 + \Delta^2/4 + m^2) \left((k^2 + \Delta^2/4 + m^2)^2 - k^2 \Delta^2\right)^{1/2}} + \frac{2}{\Delta^2} - \frac{2 \left(k^2 + \Delta^2/4 + m^2\right)}{\Delta^2 \left((k^2 + \Delta^2/4 + m^2)^2 - k^2 \Delta^2\right)^{1/2}} \right].$





• The scattering is stronger when the dipole orientation is (anti)parallel to its impact parameter ($\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \pi$) than for a dipole perpendicular on b ($\theta = \pi/2$).

The difference between 'parallel' and 'perpendicular' scattering increases with the dipole size r and also with the impact parameter b.



• The scattering is stronger when the dipole orientation is (anti)parallel to its impact parameter ($\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \pi$) than for a dipole perpendicular on b ($\theta = \pi/2$).

The difference between 'parallel' and 'perpendicular' scattering increases with the dipole size r and also with the impact parameter b.

$$v_{2}(p,b) = -\frac{\int r dr e^{-\mathcal{N}_{0}(b,r)} J_{2}(pr) \int d\theta e^{-\mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b,r) \cos(2\theta)} \cos(2\theta)}{\int r dr e^{-\mathcal{N}_{0}(b,r)} J_{0}(pr) \int d\theta e^{-\mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b,r) \cos(2\theta)}}$$

$$= \frac{\int r dr e^{-\mathcal{N}_{0}(b,r)} J_{2}(pr) I_{1} (\mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b,r))}{\int r dr e^{-\mathcal{N}_{0}(b,r)} J_{0}(pr) I_{0} (\mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b,r))},$$

$$= \frac{\int r dr e^{-\mathcal{N}_{0}(b,r)} J_{2}(pr) I_{1} (\mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b,r))}{\int r dr e^{-\mathcal{N}_{0}(b,r)} J_{0}(pr) I_{0} (\mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b,r))},$$

Elliptic flow from color-dipole orientation in pp collisions



- The strength of v_2 increases with B (and b) since dipole orientation becomes important at large B (and b). The typical transverse size of the color charge distribution in the target is $2R \sim 0.6$ fm.
- v_2 and ϵ_2 show a similar trend with B, they monotonously increase with B: $v_2(p,B) \propto \epsilon_2(p,B)$
- $\varepsilon_2(p_T, B)$ is only weakly sensitive to the dipole scattering, since mostly controlled by the geometry.

Scaling property of v_2 with the saturation scale:



 v₂ develops a peak at a transverse momentum which scales with the saturation momentum in the target.

Dipole-nucleus scattering: the case of a lumpy target :

To include nucleon fluctuations in nucleus:

$$S_A(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{r}) = \int \prod_{i=1}^A \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{b}_i \, T_A(\boldsymbol{b}_i) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{i=1}^A N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{B}-\boldsymbol{b}_i,\boldsymbol{r})} = \left(\int \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{b} \, T_A\left(|\boldsymbol{B}-\boldsymbol{b}|\right) \, \mathrm{e}^{-N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{r})}\right)$$

- **B** to denote the impact parameter of the dipole w.r.t. the center of the nucleus.
- **b**, is the position of the struck nucleon w.r.t. the center of the nucleus.
- ◆ Assuming scattering between the dipole and a *single* nucleon is weak $N_{2g}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r}) \ll \mathbf{1}$

$$S_A(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{r}) \simeq \left(1 - \int \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{b} \, T_A\left(|\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{b}|\right) \, N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{r})
ight)^A \simeq \,\mathrm{e}^{-AN_{2g}^A(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{r})}$$
 $N_{2g}^A(\boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \int d^2 \boldsymbol{b} \, N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{r}) \, T_A\left(|\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{b}|
ight)^A$

bi

Nucleus

Dipole-nucleus scattering: the case of a lumpy target :

To include nucleon fluctuations in nucleus:

$$S_A(\boldsymbol{B},\boldsymbol{r}) = \int \prod_{i=1}^A \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{b}_i \, T_A(\boldsymbol{b}_i) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{i=1}^A N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{B}-\boldsymbol{b}_i,\boldsymbol{r})} = \left(\int \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{b} \, T_A\left(|\boldsymbol{B}-\boldsymbol{b}|\right) \, \mathrm{e}^{-N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{r})}\right)$$

- **B** to denote the impact parameter of the dipole w.r.t. the center of the nucleus.
- **b**, is the position of the struck nucleon w.r.t. the center of the nucleus.
- ♦ Assuming scattering between the dipole and a *single* nucleon is weak $N_{2g}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r}) \ll \mathbf{1}$

$$S_A(oldsymbol{B},oldsymbol{r}) \simeq \left(1 - \int \mathrm{d}^2 oldsymbol{b} T_A\left(|oldsymbol{B} - oldsymbol{b}|
ight) N_{2g}(oldsymbol{b},oldsymbol{r})
ight)^A \simeq \mathrm{e}^{-AN_{2g}^A(oldsymbol{B},oldsymbol{r})}$$
 $N_{2g}^A(oldsymbol{B},oldsymbol{r}) = \int d^2 oldsymbol{b} N_{2g}(oldsymbol{b},oldsymbol{r}) T_A\left(|oldsymbol{B} - oldsymbol{b}|
ight)$

Homogeneous target: $T_A(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{b}) \simeq \mathbf{T}_A(\mathbf{B})$ \longrightarrow No dipole orientation: $v_n \approx 0$

In central collisions: $v_n \approx 0$

Nucleus

Dipole-nucleus scattering: the case of a lumpy target

$$N^A_{2g}(oldsymbol{B},oldsymbol{r}) = \int d^2oldsymbol{b}\, N_{2g}(oldsymbol{b},oldsymbol{r})\, T_A\left(|oldsymbol{B}-oldsymbol{b}|
ight),$$

$$T_A(|\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{b}|) = \left(1 - b^i \frac{\partial}{\partial B^i} + \frac{1}{2} b^i b^j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial B^i \partial B^j} + \dots\right) T_A(|\boldsymbol{B}|),$$

$$\simeq T_A(B) - \frac{\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}}{B} T'_A(B) + \frac{b^i b^j}{2} \left\{\frac{B^i B^j}{B^2} T''_A(B) + \frac{1}{B} \left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{B^i B^j}{B^2}\right) T'_A(B)\right\}$$

$$N^A_{2g}(B,r, heta) = \mathcal{N}^A_0(B,r) + \mathcal{N}^A_{ heta}(B,r)\cos(2 heta)$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{0}^{A}(B,r) = 2\pi \int db \, b \, \mathcal{N}_{0}(b,r) \left\{ T_{A}(B) + \frac{b^{2}}{4} \left(T_{A}''(B) + \frac{1}{B} \, T_{A}'(B) \right) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{\theta}^{A}(B,r) = \frac{\pi}{4} \int db \, b^{3} \, \mathcal{N}_{\theta}(b,r) \left(T_{A}''(B) - \frac{1}{B} \, T_{A}'(B) \right).$$

Dipole-nucleus scattering: the case of a lumpy target

$$N^A_{2g}(oldsymbol{B},oldsymbol{r}) = \int d^2oldsymbol{b}\, N_{2g}(oldsymbol{b},oldsymbol{r})\, T_A\left(|oldsymbol{B}-oldsymbol{b}|
ight)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B} \gg \mathbf{b}: \\ T_A(|\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{b}|) &= \left(1 - b^i \frac{\partial}{\partial B^i} + \frac{1}{2} b^i b^j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial B^i \partial B^j} + \dots\right) T_A(|\mathbf{B}|), \\ &\simeq T_A(B) - \frac{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{B} T'_A(B) + \frac{b^i b^j}{2} \left\{ \frac{B^i B^j}{B^2} T''_A(B) + \frac{1}{B} \left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{B^i B^j}{B^2} \right) T'_A(B) \right\} \\ \text{correlations } (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{b}) \text{ and } (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r}) \longrightarrow \text{ correlations } (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{r}) \\ N_{2g}^A(B, r, \theta) &= \mathcal{N}_0^A(B, r) + \mathcal{N}_\theta^A(B, r) \cos(2\theta) \end{split}$$

Original MV model

$$\mathcal{N}_{0}^{A}(B,r) = \pi R^{2} Q_{0s}^{2} r^{2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{r^{2} m^{2}} + e\right) \left[T_{A}(B) + R^{2} \left(T_{A}''(B) + \frac{1}{B} T_{A}'(B)\right) \right] \\ + \frac{\pi}{3} \frac{R^{2}}{m^{2}} Q_{0s}^{2} r^{2} \left(T_{A}''(B) + \frac{1}{B} T_{A}'(B)\right), \\ \mathcal{N}_{\theta}^{A}(B,r) = \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{R^{2}}{m^{2}} Q_{0s}^{2} r^{2} \left(T_{A}''(B) - \frac{1}{B} T_{A}'(B)\right).$$

Elliptic flow as a good probe of the lumpiness of the target



pp v. pA collisions







 \checkmark The color-dipole orientation (and v_2) probes the inhomogeneity of the target.

nucleus.

Scaling property of v₂



• Similar to pp collisions, v₂ develops a peak at a transverse momentum which scales with the saturation momentum in the target.

Finite-size effect of projectile proton (GPD):



✓ The finite-size effect of proton is quite small — at most a change of 20% in the value of v_2 at its peak. This is due to the fact that the color-dipole orientation is only important for peripheral collisions.



- Assuming that the final particles are correlated with each other only through the flow correlations with the reaction plane: $v_2\{4\} = [-c_2\{4\}]^{1/4}$
- We have 3 free parameters: R²Q²_{0s}, m, B
 From HERA: R² = 2 GeV⁻², For the LHC: Q²_{0s} = 3 GeV²

This scenario is not excluded by the current data.

Geometrical picture of pp and pA collisions



Geometrical picture of pp and pA collisions

Such geometrical aspects are clearly reminiscent of the classical discussion of hydrodynamic flow in AA collisions:

✓ AA collisions: the flow is driven by the 'pressure gradient' (the final state interactions) associated with the spatial asymmetry of the interaction region.

✓ pA (pp) collisions: the flow is rather a consequence of the angular dependence of the amplitude for dipole scattering.



Geometrical picture of pp and pA collisions

The anisotropy due to the color-dipole orientation mechanism is universal for different processes in dilute-dense scatterings.

There will be the analog of azimuthal anisotropy v_n in DIS and UPC.

Stay tuned!.

Final remarks:

Typical sources of the inhomogeneity:

1. The target geometry in our scenario (dipole orientation) It can already be probed by the single inclusive particle spectrum and the effect is NOT suppressed at large N_c. The effect is important for peripheral collisions.

2. Fluctuations in the target gluon distribution (glasma scenario) Can be probed by 2-particle correlations, and are suppressed at large N_c. The effect is important for central collisions.

Final remarks:

Typical sources of the inhomogeneity:

1. The target geometry in our scenario (dipole orientation) It can already be probed by the single inclusive particle spectrum and the effect is NOT suppressed at large N_c. The effect is important for peripheral collisions.

2. Fluctuations in the target gluon distribution (glasma scenario) Can be probed by 2-particle correlations, and are suppressed at large N_c. The effect is important for central collisions.

Remains to be studied: #2 fluctuation can also be probed by the color-dipole orientation.

Backup



$$S(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \exp\{-N_{2g}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{r})\}$$



Elliptic flow and eccentricity in dilute-dense scatterings



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^q(qA \to qX)}{\mathrm{d}\eta \,\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{p} \,\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{B}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{b} \, x_p q(x_p, \boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{B}) \,\tilde{S}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{p}, x_g)$$

Proton GPD: $x_p q(x_p, \boldsymbol{b}) \simeq x_p q(x_p) f(\boldsymbol{b})$, with $\int d^2 \boldsymbol{b} f(\boldsymbol{b}) = 1$

$$\int rac{\mathrm{d}^2 oldsymbol{p}}{(2\pi)^2} \, ilde{S}(oldsymbol{b},oldsymbol{p}) = 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \int p \mathrm{d}p \int r \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d} heta \, \mathrm{J}_0(pr) \, S(b,r, heta) = 2\pi \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}$$

$$y'$$

 b
 b
 r
 b'
 r'
 b'
 b'
 b'
 r'
 b'
 b

★ Probability conservation: the total probability for the quark to emerge with any momentum must be equal to one.

$$\varepsilon_2(B) = \frac{\int b db d\alpha \, b^2 \cos(2\alpha) \, f(|\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{B}|)}{\int b db d\alpha \, b^2 \, f(|\boldsymbol{b} - \boldsymbol{B}|)}$$

★ Purely geometrical quantity, without any information about the scattering of the dipole $\epsilon_2(B=0)=0, \ \epsilon_2(B\to\infty)=1$



• 41

b-dependence of Saturation scale & applicability of the CGC

Rezaeian and Schmidt, arXiv:1307.0825



b-independent saturation models significantly overestimate Q_s.

• Proton saturation scale:

 $\begin{aligned} \text{HERA} &: Q_s < 1 \,\text{GeV} \\ \text{LHC} &: Q_s \leq 1 - 2 \,\text{GeV} \\ \text{FCC} &: Q_s \leq 2 - 4 \,\text{GeV} \end{aligned}$

• Nuclear saturation scale: $Q_{sA}^2 \approx A^{1/3}Q_s^2 \approx 6 Q_s^2$ EIC : $Q_{sA} < 2.5 \,\text{GeV}$ Diffractive Dijet production in the CGC: $\gamma^* + p(A) \rightarrow q\bar{q} + p(A)$



Diffractive dijet production is a sensitive probe of the color-dipole orientation.

Diffractive dijet v. inclusive dijet in UPC & DIS

Diffractive (averaging over color at amplitude level): $\sigma \propto |\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle_{\rho}|^2$ **Inclusive** (averaging over color at cross-section level): $\sigma \propto \langle |\mathcal{M}|^2 \rangle_{\rho}$



 In contrast to inclusive dijet production, diffractive dijet production only depends on the dipole amplitude (not WW gluon distribution) at LO.

Diffractive dijet production as a probe of color-dipole orientation

Inspired by "saturation domain" picture of Kovner & Lublinsky (2011)

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{b}) = \mathcal{N}(r,b,\theta_r-\theta_b) = 1 - e^{-\frac{Q_s^2(b)}{4}r^2\left(1+\mathbf{A}\cos^2(\theta_r-\theta_b)\right)}$$

 θ_r, θ_b are the angles of vectors \vec{r}, \vec{b} with respect to a reference vector, respectively. Assuming $Q_s^2 r^2 A/4 \ll 1$:

$$\int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{r}}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_0+\mathbf{p}_1)} e^{-i\mathbf{r}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_0-\mathbf{p}_1)/2} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{b}) \mathcal{K}_0(\varepsilon|\mathbf{r}|) \simeq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{2\pi} r \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{db}{2\pi} b J_0(b|\mathbf{p}_0+\mathbf{p}_1|) \times J_0\left(r\frac{|\mathbf{p}_0-\mathbf{p}_1|}{2}\right) \mathcal{N}(r,b,\theta_--\theta_+) \mathcal{K}_0(\varepsilon r)$$

 θ_+ , θ_- denote the angles of vectors $\vec{\Delta} = \vec{p}_0 + \vec{p}_1$ and $\vec{k} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{p}_0 - \vec{p}_1)$ with respect to a reference vector, respectively.



Diffractive dijet production as a probe of color-dipole orientation



• A nonzero A corresponding to the existence of $\vec{r} - \vec{b}$ correlations in the color dipole amplitude, induces sizeable azimuthal correlations for dijet between $\vec{\Delta}$ and \vec{k} .

Main conclusion: Small-x gluon tomography in diffractive dijet in UPC



+ Correlations between $~ec{p_1},~ec{p_2}$ probe the effective dipole size $~rpprox 1/Q_s(b)$ ·

+ Correlation between $\vec{k}, \vec{\Delta}$ probe the color-dipole orientation and correlations between \vec{r}, \vec{b} .

+ t-distribution of the diffractive dijet photo-production probes the inhomogeneity of the target •

Altinoluk, Armesto, Beuf, and Rezaeian, PLB 758 (2016) 373. Hatta, Xiao and Yuan, PRL 116 (2016) 202301.